

VaxPass: Business Violations of Customers

**Attn: Business Owner/Franchise/Supervisor/Manager/Staff Member/
Security Guard/Boarding Facility Operator, Etc.**

Name: _____

This is your official and **personal** Notice of Liability.

According to top constitutional lawyer, Rocco Galati, “both government and private businesses cannot impose mandatory vaccinations...”

I have the right to life, liberty, and security of the person, including the right to access services without discrimination or hardship. Your unlawful actions are in direct violation of the Canadian Constitution, the Coronation Oath, the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, Bill of Rights, the Canadian Human Rights Act and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. There are no provisions in any orders of any health minister, doctor, or provincial legislation, that can, nor pretend that any measures can, override Charter or other pre-Charter constitutional rights.

Even if the Federal Government had invoked the Federal Emergencies Act, which it has not, that Act states:

AND WHEREAS the Governor in Council, in taking such special temporary measures, would be subject to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms and the Canadian Bill of Rights and must have regard to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, particularly with respect to those fundamental rights that are not to be limited or abridged even in a national emergency.

Vaccination is voluntary in Canada¹. Even if the government attempts to mandate it, there is no law, nor can there be, as it is a violation of Human Rights and International Agreements. Businesses are infringing on human rights and putting themselves personally at risk of a civil lawsuit for damages, and potential imprisonment, by attempting to impose ANY vaccine including the COVID-19 experimental injections on patrons in exchange for services.

Canadian Human Rights Act²

Discriminatory Practices

Denial of good, service, facility or accommodation

- 5 It is a discriminatory practice in the provision of goods, services, facilities or accommodation customarily available to the general public
 - (a) to deny, or to deny access to, any such good, service, facility or accommodation to any individual, or
 - (b) to differentiate adversely in relation to any individual, on a prohibited ground of discrimination.

Be advised that businesses, whether private, public, or membership based, are operating under a business licence which is an open invitation to the public. Denying service would be violating the terms of that business licence. The “no shirt, no shoes” argument does not apply in this instance as it is not comparable to enforcing compliance to a “vaccine” which is a medical intervention.

You are unlawfully practicing medicine by facilitating, enforcing, incentivising, and using coercion to insist patrons submit to ANY vaccine including the experimental gene therapy injections for COVID-19, commonly referred to as “vaccines.”

Canadian law has long recognized that individuals have the right to control what happens to their bodies.

¹ https://web.archive.org/web/20080414131846/http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/ccdr-rmtc/97vol23/23s4/23s4b_e.html

² <https://laws.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/H-6/page-1.html>

The citizens of Canada are protected under the medical and legal ethics of express informed consent, and are entitled to the full protections guaranteed under:

- Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms³ (1982) Section 2a, 2b, 7, 8, 9, 15.
- Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights⁴ (2005)
- Nuremberg Code⁵ (1947)
- Helsinki Declaration⁶ (1964, Revised 2013) Article 25, 26

Citizens are under no moral or legal obligation to comply with any Orders to subject themselves to an experimental treatment. Neither you, your staff, or contracted security have been directly deputized by the government to enforce their unlawful orders. The government is using threats of fines or to revoke business/liquor licences, to force business owners to comply.

Your participation in enforcing the unlawful acts of the government makes you complicit and therefore, **personally** liable. The Nuremberg trials are evidence that, “I was just following orders” was not an acceptable defence and will not exonerate you from responsibility.

Section 52(1) of the Constitution Act, 1982⁷, clearly states that any law that is inconsistent with the Constitution is of no force and effect. Therefore, any measures taken by you to compel compliance by means of:

- refusal of service
- instigating confrontation
- threats to remove me
- harassment in an attempt to demean, humiliate, or coerce
- revoking membership

may be met with a **personal** human rights complaint, civil litigation, and/or potential criminal charges against you.

It is recommended that you advise government officials that you will not be forced to comply with orders that are in blatant violation of individual guaranteed Human Rights, the Constitution, the Criminal Code, and International Agreements, as this opens you up to not only personal/civil litigation but also damages.

I, therefore, demand you cease and desist and provide me with service. You are officially on Notice.

Signed: _____

Print Name: _____

Date: _____

Business Owners:

If you need support in standing up against the government, **email:** callto@action4canada.com
subject line: Business Support Team, for assistance.

For information on how to protect your business visit: <https://action4canada.com/business-resources/>

Source: action4canada.com © 2021

³ <https://www.canada.ca/en/canadian-heritage/services/how-rights-protected/guide-canadian-charter-rights-freedoms.html>

⁴ <https://en.unesco.org/themes/ethics-science-and-technology/bioethics-and-human-rights>

⁵ <http://www.cirp.org/library/ethics/nuremberg/>

⁶ <https://www.wma.net/what-we-do/medical-ethics/declaration-of-helsinki/>

⁷ <https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/csj-sjc/rfc-dlc/ccrf-ccdl/check/art521.html>