U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,

BUREAU OF SOILS—BULLETIN No. 65.
MILTON WHITNEY, Chief.

FERTILIZERS FOR POTATO SOILS.

BY

MILTON WHITNEY.



WASHINGTON:
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE.
1910.

BUREAU OF SOILS.

MILTON WHITNEY, Chief of Bureau. Albert G. Rice, Chief Clerk.

SCIENTIFIC STAFF.

FRANK K. CAMERON, in charge of Physical and Chemical Investigations. JAY A. BONSTEEL, in charge of Soil Survey.

OSWALD SCHREINER, in charge of Fertility Investigations.

W J McGee, in charge of Soil Water Investigations.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,

BUREAU OF SOILS—BULLETIN No. 65.
MILTON WHITNEY, Chief.

FERTILIZERS FOR POTATO SOILS.

BY

MILTON WHITNEY.



WASHINGTON:
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE.
1910.

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
BUREAU OF SOILS,

Washington, D. C., September 17, 1909.

Sir: In order to establish certain fundamental principles regarding the effect and efficiency of fertilizers on potato soils, I have had compiled all the available results of plat tests which have been carried out by the experiment stations.

It is believed that this matter will be of considerable interest to the farmers of this country, and I therefore have the honor to recommend that the article be published as Bulletin No. 65 of the Bureau of Soils.

Very respectfully,

MILTON WHITNEY, Chief of Bureau.

Hon. James Wilson,
Secretary of Agriculture.

CONTENTS.

		rage.
Introduction	 	 5
Number of experiments	 	 5
Yields on check plats	 	 6
Kinds and cost of the fertilizers used		
Value assigned potatoes	 	 8
Tabulation of results	 	 8
Effectiveness of single substances and mixtures	 	 14
Effect of using increased amounts of fertilizers	 	 16
Relation of fertilizer effect and natural productiveness of the soil		
Summary	 	 19



FERTILIZERS FOR POTATO SOILS.

INTRODUCTION.

The experiment stations have recorded in their reports and bulletins the results of 1,769 tests of substances applied to potato soils, or soils upon which potatoes were grown.

Each test represents some single substance used alone or some combination of substances, and every result has been taken when the yield of a check or unfertilized plat has been recorded, when the amount of each substance per acre could be determined, and when the yield or increased yield per acre of potatoes could be found. The results have been accepted, whether the plats were located on the station farm or the experiments were carried out by cooperating farmers. No experiments from any source, not accepted and adopted by the stations and recorded in their official publications, are considered in this bulletin.

NUMBER OF EXPERIMENTS.

The number of tests for each year is given in the following table:

Number of individual tests on potato soils arranged by years.

Year.	Num- ber.	Year.	Num- ber.	Year.	Num- ber.	Year.	Num- ber.
1869 1878 1881 1882 1883 1884 1885 1886	9 18 18 33 52	1887 1888 1889 1890 1891 1892 1893 1894	54 66 40 150 157 51 117 46	1895 1896 1897 1898 1898 1900 1900 1901	169 92 107 100 174 106 9 30	1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 Total	14 25 4 7 28 1,769

The following table shows the number of tests from each of the 23 States from which data have been secured:

Number of individual tests on potato soils arranged by States.

State.	Number.	State.	Number.
New York. Ohio New Jersey. Michigan. Maine.	88	North Carolina. Wisconsin Maryland Illinois Arkansas	36 32 31 26 26
Indiana Louisiana Connecticut. Texas	80 75 65 63 60	Rhode Island. Massachusetts. Colorado. Alabama. Minnesota.	21 16 13 8
Kentucky. Pennsylvania. Georgia. Tennessee.		Total	1,769

YIELDS ON CHECK PLATS.

The investigations have been made under a wide range of soil or of climatic conditions, or both, as measured by the yield of the unfertilized or check plats. Unfortunately, owing to the generally inadequate description of the soils in the reports of these experiments, it was found impracticable to group and analyze the data with relation either to physical or chemical differences in the soils; and the grouping employed—natural productiveness, as evidenced by the yields on the unfertilized plats—was chosen as the best available.

The following table gives the individual and average yield of potatoes on the check plats, when more than one such plat has been used and separately rendered:

Yield of potatoes, in bushels per acre, on unfertilized plats when more than one such plat is given.

Yield.	Yield.	Yield.	Yield.	Yield.	Yield.	Yield.	Yield.	Yield.	Yield.
Bushels. 6. 5 8. 1 7.3	Bushels. 21. 6 24. 6 23.1	Bushels. 35. 2 42. 7 38.9	Bushels. 22. 5 52. 0 60. 8 70. 0	Bushels. 35. 4 47. 6 49. 5 58. 5 67. 8	Bushels. 72. 0 88. 5	Bushels. 87. 0 88. 0 89. 0	Bushels. 76. 0 113. 0 114. 0	Bushels. 104. 7 110. 5 116. 2 118. 0 118. 7	Bushels. 122.8 140.3 141.8 147.8 148.5
11. 7 12. 1	16. 7 20. 2	34. 4 37. 7	51.3	61.0	55. 3 73. 2 92. 8	89. 2	100.8	119. 3 122. 7 125. 3	150. 5 158. 0 164. 2
11.9	30. 7 33. 3 25.2	53. 7 41.9	42. 9	56. 0	103. 7 81.2	89. 5	100. 8 100. 8 105. 3 110. 0	116.9	164. 7 165. 2 166. 2
12.3 16.9	5. 0	33. 8 45. 0	43. 1 45. 2 48. 2 50. 2	56. 1 67. 2 75. 6	73. 1 89. 6	59. 5 71. 5	104.2	88. 7 101. 0 102. 2	181. 1 154.3
14.6	27. 2 28. 3 45. 0	50.0	50. 5 52. 7 54. 6	63.7	81.3	79. 0 91. 8 99. 6	86. 6 123. 3	114. 2 118. 7 120. 0	
13. 1 15. 1 16. 3	26.4	33. 2	54. 7 55. 7 58. 5	52. 8 81. 6	62. 0 69. 0	91.2	104.9	121. 4 121. 5 122. 4	162. 0 176. 4
14.8	11. 8 24. 7 30. 3	34. 0 55. 2 57. 0	59. 0 62. 5	67.2 57.0	81. 5 84. 0 96. 5 103. 5	72. 0 79. 7	96. 5 101. 3	131. 7 141. 5 159. 5 175. 7	178. 0 178. 9 185. 0
12. 8 15. 2 21. 5	46. 2	44.8	52.1	77. 5 67.3	82.8	85. 5 93. 5 95. 1	110. 3 112. 0	124.5	198. 9 204. 0 206. 0
21.7	31. 0	27. 3 43. 1 68. 9	41. 6	74. 1	39. 0 46. 2	99. 8 100. 8 101. 5	105.0	106. 0 106. 3 136. 3	209. 1 214. 2 216. 4
15. 7	33. 5 35. 3 35. 8	46.4	65. 0 53.3	78. 5 80. 0	78. 2 100. 8 103. 0	104. 8 112. 2 112. 2	73. 8 98. 3 104. 0	157. 7	193.5
16. 3 16. 8 23. 2	33.9	38. 3 49. 4		63. 3	85.4	112.3 118.3	109. 6 110. 1 122. 9	109. 0 155. 0	
18.0	25. 8 28. 2 + 54. 0	54. 6 47.4	51. 4 53. 2 54. 9	65. 8 71. 1 72. 0	73. 6 . 73. 8	78.0	123. 8 106.1	132.0	170. 0 206. 7 211. 7
17. 8 18. 6	36.0	40. 2 61. 2	56. 9 57. 3 57. 4	90. 3 92. 0 96. 4	77. 9 78. 5 80. 7	98. 0 108. 3 114. 0	93.0	118.7 120.2 133.0	196.1
18.2	13. 1 20. 0	50.7	57. 5 58. 4 58. 9	78.7	86. 6 86. 7 87. 7	99.6	127. 0	148. 1 148. 2 154. 3	
21. 5 22. 6 22. 6 23. 3	27. 0 45. 8 51. 3 61. 0	39. 5 48. 6 65. 2	64. 9 68. 2 68. 8 72. 5	73. 3 77. 3 85. 7	92. 5 98. 5 98. 5 103. 5	75. 0 105. 0 120. 0	108. 8 112. 5	161. 4 163. 8 189. 5 199. 4	254. 6 272. 5 309. 5
22.5	36.5	51.1	60.0	78.8	86.5	100.0	110.6	153.7	278.9

It will be seen from this table that the yields of duplicate plats are not all that could be desired for scientific work of this character. No strict or exact quantitative comparison can be made from observed differences between check and fertilizer treatment when duplicate check plats vary, as do some of these, from 12 bushels to 21.7 bushels; 16.7 to 33.3; 5 to 45; 11.8 to 46.2; 13.1 to 61.0; 27.3 to 68.9; 35.4 to 107.5; 55.3 to 103.7; 39 to 145.4; 59.5 to 146.0; 106.0 to 157.7; 88.7 to 175.7; 118.7 to 199.4 This must be borne in mind in interpreting or applying the results in the following tables, and decidedly less weight should be given to the averages based upon the results from a few experiments than to those based upon a great many. In a great majority of cases these tests have been for single years on the same soil or at most for two or three years.

KINDS AND COST OF THE FERTILIZERS USED.

In the 1,769 tests reported there have been used 34 substances and 108 different combinations of these substances, and there have been many different proportions of the several ingredients in the combinations.

In computing, from a commercial standpoint, the relative efficiency of the several substances which have been used in the fertilizer tests, there must be assigned to each some arbitrary value which will represent, as fairly as possible, the average cost to the farmer in the several States. However, when these values for any reason do not represent local market conditions, the data contained in the subsequent tables are sufficient to enable a recalculation to be made on any new basis of value.

The values used in computing the commercial efficiency of fertilizers in this bulletin are given in the following table:

Valuation of fertilizers used in plat experiments on potato soils.

Ingredient.	Cost per ton.	Ingredient.	Cost per ton.
Nitrate of soda Sulphate of ammonia Acid phosphate Rock phosphate Floats Basic slag Sulphate of potash Muriate of potash Kainit Carbonate of potash and magnesia Sulphate of potash and magnesia Wood ashes Lime Gypsum Salt Soal ashes	14. 00 9. 00 8. 00 12. 50 60. 00 44. 00 12. 00 (a) (a) 34. 00 5. 00 6. 00 8. 00	Dried blood. Tankage Boneblack Ground bone. Cotton-seed. Cotton-seed meal. Cotton-seed hulls. Linseed meal. Tobacco stems. Wheat bran. Chip dirt. Peat. Guano. Manure Hen manure Compost. Commercial fertilizers	15.00

VALUE ASSIGNED POTATOES.

For the purposes of this comparative study the value to the farmer of potatoes has been taken as 70 cents per bushel, which is approximately the average farm value for 1908 as given by the Bureau of Statistics in the Yearbook of the Department of Agriculture for 1908, page 651.

TABULATION OF RESULTS.

The following table gives a complete summary of the fertilizer tests on potato soils. The actual experiment was usually performed on one-twentieth or one-tenth acre plats, but the results are uniformly stated in pounds per acre for fertilizers and bushels per acre of potatoes, and this unit is retained in this bulletin.

Results of fertilizer tests with potato soils.

MINERALS SINGLY.

Kind of fertilizer used.	Num- ber of experi- ments.	Increase to no increase.	Range of crop increase. Fertilizers per acre.		Average crop increase per acre	A verage gain per acre.				
Nitrate of soda Sulphate of ammonia Acid phosphate (Rock phosphate Floats Sulphate of potash Muriate of potash Kainit Cotton-seed-hull ashes Wood ashes Lime Gypsum Salt Coal ashes	82 1 1 22 64 14 1 16 12	Ratio. 1.8:1 0.5:1 4.5:1 0:1 0:1 1:1 1.8:1 0:1 1.8:1 0:1 1:1 1.1:1 1.1:1 1.2:1	Bushels68.5 to 64.0 -30.3 to 13.0 -80.4 to 133.9 -14.6 -3.4 to 92.2 -67.1 to 93.1 -39.4 to 50.0 -9.5 -32.5 to 79.8 -31.0 to 49.9 -80 to 13.6 -277 to 10.6 -30.8 to 15.9	Pounds. 177 330 332 400 400 228 187 539 80 1,172 1,966 433 250 14,978	Dollars. 4. 41 10. 23 2. 32 1. 80 1. 60 6. 84 4. 11 3. 23 1. 36 2. 93 5. 90 1. 73 1. 00	Bushels. Dollars. 5.5 3.85 - 9.0 - 6.30 18.8 13.16 -14.6 -10.22 - 3.4 - 2.38 8.0 5.60 16.1 11.27 3.7 2.59 - 9.5 - 6.65 23.2 16.24 2.9 2.03 -10.5 - 7.35 -57.1 - 39.97 a 3.0 2.10	Dollars 0.56 -16.53 10.84 -12.02 - 3.98 - 1.24 - 7.1664 - 8.1 - 3.87 - 9.08 - 40.97			
TD 1 1	20.4	2011		1	0.00	10 0-	0. 10			

MIXTURES OF TWO MINERALS.

Nitrate of soda	} 50	5. 2:1	-38.4 to 85.0	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 146 \\ 289 \end{array} \right.$	5. 67	29.9	20.93	15. 26
Nitrate of soda Sulphate of potash	} 5	1.5:1	-26.0 to 63.0	$\begin{cases} 179 \\ 115 \end{cases}$	7.92	6.0	4. 20	- 3.72
Nitrate of soda Muriate of potash	} 55	4.5:1	-78.6 to 144.0	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 147 \\ 136 \end{array} \right.$	6.66	24.8	16.36	9.70
Nitrate of soda Kainit	} 1	1:0	13. 4	$\begin{cases} 200 \\ 400 \end{cases}$	7.40	13.4	9.38	- 1.98
Nitrate of sodaLime	} 2	2:0	23.3 to 25.0	$\begin{cases} 210 \\ 1,300 \end{cases}$	9.15	24.1	16.87	7.72
Sulphate of ammonia Acid phosphate	} 8	7:1	- 6.8 to 80.2	{ 141 333	6.70	38. 4	26.88	20.18
Sulphate of ammonia Sulphate of potash	} 1	1:0	36.8	$\begin{cases} 300 \\ 200 \end{cases}$	15.30	36.8	25.76	10. 46
Sulphate of ammonia Muriate of potash	} 5	0.25:1	-74.7 to 15.7	$\begin{cases} 220 \\ 240 \end{cases}$	12.10	-18.2	-12.74	-24.84
Acid phosphateSulphate of potash	} 14	3.7:1	-12.1 to 106.3	$\begin{cases} 359 \\ 138 \end{cases}$	6.65	27.2	19.04	12.39
Acid phosphate	85	20.2:1	-12.4 to 149.0	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 286 \\ 140 \end{array} \right\}$	5.08	40.0	28.00	22.92

a Not included in the averages, as the value of fertilizers is not given.

Results of fertilizer tests with potato soils—Continued.

MIXTURES OF TWO MINERALS-Continued.

Kind of fertilizer used.	Num- ber of experi-	Increase to no	Range of crop		zers per re.	Average crop increase per acre.		Average gain per	
	ments.	increase.	merease.	Used.	Cost.	Increase	per acre.	acre.	
·		Ratio.	Bushels.		Dollars.	Bushels.	Dollars.	Dollars.	
Acid phosphate Kainit	} 13	12.0:1	-10.0 to 50.0	{ 380 510	} 5.72	9.6	6.72	1.00	
Acid phosphate	} 3	3:0	77. 8 to 116. 4	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 200 \\ 2,000 \end{array} \right.$	6.40	94.0	65.80	59. 40	
Acid phosphateLime	} 5	4:1	- 7.5 to 23.7	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 376 \\ 1,460 \end{array} \right.$	7.01	11.3	7.91	. 90	
Rock phosphate Kainit	} 1	1:0	40.1	{ 500 1,000	8.25	40.1	28.07	19.82	
Sulphate of potashLime	} 2	2:0	26.7 to 29.3	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 110 \\ 650 \end{array} \right.$	} 5.25	28.0	19.60	14.35	
Muriate of potash Lime	} 1	1:0	51.4	$\begin{cases} 320 \\ 2,000 \end{cases}$	} 13.04	51.4	35.98	22.94	
Muriate of potash	} 1	0:1	44.1	$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 600 \\ 625 \end{array} \right.$	} 14.76	-44.1	-30.87	-45.63	
Muriate of potash Coal ashes	} 1	0:1	- 46.8	${600 \choose 20,000}$	} 13.20	-46.8	-32.76	-45.96	
Lime. Gypsum	} 1	1:0	13. 7	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 2,000\\ 800 \end{array}\right.$	9.20	13.7	9.59	. 39	
Total	254	6.06:1			6.16	29.6	20.72	14.56	

MIXTURE OF THREE OR MORE MINERALS.

Nitrate of soda	3	12:1	-10.0 to 64.0	$ \begin{cases} 215 \\ 436 \\ 153 \end{cases} $	} 13.01	36.7	25.69	12.68
Nitrate of soda	125	14.6:1	-152.0 to 212.0	$ \begin{cases} 223 \\ 328 \\ 168 \end{cases} $	11.57	46.8	32.76	21.19
Nitrate of soda	4	4:0	23. 4 to 109. 1	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 362 \\ 350 \\ 290 \end{array} \right. $	} 13.24	57.9	40.53	27. 29
Nitrate of soda	1	1:0	52.0	$ \begin{cases} 250 \\ 400 \\ 160 \end{cases} $	}	a 52.0	a 36. 40	\ -
Nitrate of soda	} 1	1:0	61.0	$ \begin{cases} 250 \\ 400 \\ 160 \end{cases} $	}	a 61.0	a 42.70	
Nitrate of soda	1	1:0	9.0	$ \begin{cases} 94 \\ 140 \\ 2,000 \end{cases} $	8.33	9.0	6.30	- 2.03
Nitrate of soda	2	2:1	71.0 to 149.0	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 150 \\ 1,000 \\ 100 \end{array}\right $	10. 45	110.0	77.00	66.55
Nitrate of soda	9	9:0	5. 4 to 146. 0	$ \begin{cases} 95 \\ 323 \\ 100 \end{cases} $	6. 57	45. 6	31. 92	25. 35
Nitrate of soda	} 2	2:0	51. 3 to 76. 0	$ \begin{cases} 320 \\ 480 \\ 2,000 \end{cases} $	24. 56	63. 6	44. 52	19. 96
Sulphate of ammonia Acid phosphate Sulphate of potash	3	3:0	13. 1 to 46. 1	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 233 \\ 300 \\ 250 \end{array} \right. $	16. 82	24. 6	17. 22	. 40
Sulphate of ammonia Acid phosphate Muriate of potash	53	25.5:1	-15. 2 to 121. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 144 \\ 297 \\ 130 \end{array} \right. $	9. 40	56. 6	39. 62	30. 22
Sulphate of ammonia Acid phosphate Kainit	} 1	1:0	128. 4	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 400 \\ 300 \\ 300 \end{array} \right. $	} 16. 30	128. 4	89. 88	73. 58

a Not included in the averages, as the value of fertilizers is not given.

30625—Bull. 65—10——2

Results of fertilizer tests with potato soils—Continued. MIXTURES OF THREE OR MORE MINERALS—Continued.

MIXIORES OF THREE OR MORE MINERALS—Continued.										
Kind of fertilizer used.	Num- ber of experi- ments.	Increase to no increase.	Range of crop increase.	Fertiliz acı	e.	Averag increase		Average gain per acre.		
	memo.			Used.	Cost.					
		Ratio.	Duchelo	Doumdo	Dollano	Darabala	Dollana	D - 27		
Sulphate of ammonia	} 2		Bushels.	175) -	Bushels.				
Rock phosphate Muriate of potash	} -	2:0	20. 4 to 29. 0	750 150	12. 10	24. 7	17. 29	5. 19		
Acid phosphate	2	2:0	23. 8 to 42. 5	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 260 \\ 110 \\ 650 \end{array}\right.$	7. 07	33. 1	23. 17	16. 10		
Acid phosphate	} 1	1:0	89. 4	$ \begin{cases} 640 \\ 320 \\ 2,000 \end{cases} $	17. 52	89. 4	62. 58	45. 06		
Wood ashes	} 1	1:0	26. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 150 \\ 100 \\ 150 \end{array} \right. $	1. 37	26. 0	18, 20	16. 83		
Nitrate of soda	} 2	1:1	- 7.3 to 6.0	$\begin{cases} 200\\ 360\\ 200\\ 2,000 \end{cases}$	19. 52	. 7	. 49	— 19. 03		
Nitrate of soda	} 1	1:0	108. 3	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 320 \\ 640 \\ 320 \\ 2,000 \end{array} \right. $	25. 52	108. 3	75. 81	50. 29		
Nitrate of soda	} 2	2:0	2. 2 to 29. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 360 \\ 200 \\ 2,000 \end{array} \right. $	19. 52	15. 6	10. 92	- 8.60		
Total	226	17.83:1			10. 55	48. 5	33. 95	23. 40		
				1						
	(RGANIC	FERTILIZE	RS SING	LY.					
Dried blood Tankage Boneblack Ground bone Cotton-seed Cotton-seed meal. Cotton-seed hulls Tobacco stems	2 1 3 17 6 23 1 3	2:0 1:0 3:0 4.7:1 6.0:0 22.0:1 0:1 0:3	1. 6 to 5. 1 9. 5 25. 2 to 76. 4 -32. 4 to 98. 7 41. 3 to 239. 3 -16. 5 to 202. 3 - 8. 3 -19. 1 to -3. 6	450 1,000 300 438 3,380 566 2,500 467	9. 00 10. 00 3. 30 5. 69 27. 04 7. 07 5. 63 3. 50	3. 3 9. 5 43. 5 14. 2 125. 6 41. 2 -8. 3 -8. 9	2. 31 6. 65 30. 45 9. 94 87. 92 28. 84 -5. 81 -6. 23	- 6. 69 - 3. 35 27. 15 4. 25 60. 88 21. 77 -11. 44 - 9. 73		
Chip dirt	4	1:1	- 5.6 to 14.8	40.000		a 2. 2	a 1.54			
Total	60	5.7:1			8. 50	23. 7	16. 59	8, 09		
01	RGANI	C FERT	ILIZERS WIT	H ONE	MINE	RAL.	1			
Dried blood	} 3	3:0	5.0 to 45.9	{ 200 367	6.57	34.0	23.80	17. 23		
Dried blood	} 1	1:0	22.4	{ 300 300	7.80	22.4	15.68	7.88		
Boneblack	} 1	0:1	- 43.7	$\begin{cases} 300 \\ 460 \end{cases}$	} 14.80	-43.7	-30.59	-45.39		
Boneblack	} .1	1:0	18.3	$\begin{cases} 200 \\ 200 \end{cases}$	8.40	18.3	12.81	4.41		
Boneblack	} 21	9.5:1	-16.5 to 88.0	{ 334 170	8.77	35.8	25.06	16.29		
Boneblack	} 23	22:1	-10.8 to 102.9	$\begin{cases} 332 \\ 170 \end{cases}$	7.39	42.0	29, 40	22.01		
Boneblack	} 12	12:0	13.0 to 65.0	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 320 \\ 640 \end{array} \right.$	7.36	29.6	20.72	13.36		
Boneblack Cotton-seed hulls	} 1	1:0	42.8	$\begin{cases} 300 \\ 2,500 \end{cases}$	8.93	42.8	29.96	21.03		
Ground bone	} 2	1:1	-16.0 to 73.0	{ 395 130	8.38	28.5	19.95	3.18		
Ground bone Sulphate of ammonia	} 1	1:0	15. 3	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 200 \\ 200 \end{array} \right.$	} s.so	15.3	10.71	1.91		
a Not in	cluded i	in the aver	rages, as the val	ue of fert	ilizers is	not give	1.			

Results of fertilizer tests with potato soils—Continued. ORGANIC FERTILIZERS WITH ONE MINERAL—Continued.

O I G I I I	Statisticality with Ove Mivewap—Continued.											
Kind of fertilizer used.	Num- ber of experi-	Increase to no	Range of crop		zers per	Averag	ge erop per acre.	Average gain per				
	ments.	increase.		Used.	Cost.			aere.				
		Ratio.	Bushels.	Pounds.	Dollars.	Bushels.	Dollars.	Dollars.				
Ground bone		11:0	14. 0 to 120. 5	{ 370 215	} 11.26	68.1	47.67	36. 41				
Ground bone	2	2:0	56.0 to 75.0	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 420 \\ 260 \end{array} \right.$	} 11.18	65. 5	45.85	34. 67				
Ground bone	.)	1:0	36.0	$\begin{cases} 320 \\ 1,400 \end{cases}$	} 7.66	36. 0	25. 20	17.54				
Cotton seed	} 6	6:0	51.1 to 263.9	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 3,380 \\ 338 \end{array} \right.$	} 29. 41	151.7	106.19	76.78				
Cotton seed	} 6	6:0	41.1 to 206.5	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 3,380 \\ 225 \end{array} \right.$	} 28.39	122. 2	85.54	57.15				
Cotton-seed meal	} 2	2:0	31.4 to 34.0	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 460 \\ 2,500 \end{array} \right.$	} 10.63	32.7	22.89	12. 26				
Cotton-seed meal	} 14	13:1	- 5.0 to 258.8	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 609\\361 \end{array} \right.$	} 10.14	58.5	40.95	30.81				
Cotton-seed meal	} 3	2:1	-20.2 to 55.7	{ 787 100	} 12.84	15.8	11.06	- 1.78				
Cotton-seed meal	} 4	4:0	12.1 to 23.5	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 425 \\ 155 \end{array} \right.$	8.72	15.4	10.78	2.06				
Cotton-seed meal	} 8	8:0	5.0 to 113.0	{ 493 332	8.15	40.8	28.56	20. 41				
Cotton-seed meal	} 1	0:1	- 9.3	{ 200 80	} 3.86	- 9.3	- 6.51	-10.37				
Cotton-seed meal. Lime	} 2	2:0	27.2 to 38.9	{ 500 600	} 8.05	33. 0	23.10	15.05				
Linseed meal	} 1	1:0	37. 4	$\begin{cases} 250 \\ 120 \end{cases}$	} 5.59	37.4	26.18	20, 59				
Wheat bran	} 1	1:0	34.0	{ 500 80	}	a 34.0	a 23.80					
Total	128	15:1			10.68	49.3	34.51	23. 83				
ORGAN	IC FEI	RTILIZEF	RS WITH TWO	OR M	ORE M	INERAI	s.					
	į.						1					

Dried blood	2	1:1	-10.9 to 48.0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 75 \\ 75 \\ 400 \end{array} \right. $	6. 17	18.5	12. 95	6. 78
Dried blood. Acid phosphate. Muriate of potash.	25	7.3:1	- 4.9 to 110.7	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 340 \\ 256 \\ 167 \end{array} \right. $	12. 27	45. 2	31. 64	19. 37
Dried bloodAcid phosphate Kainit	1	1:0	40. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 300 \\ 300 \\ 300 \end{array} \right. $	9. 90	40. 0	28. 00	18. 10
Dried blood. Boneblack. Sulphate of potash.	6	6:0	85. 8 to 130. 8	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 280 \\ 320 \\ 160 \end{array} \right. $	13. 92	110. 3	77. 21	63. 29
Dried blood	6	6:0	61. 1 to 130. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 280 \\ 320 \\ 160 \end{array} \right. $	12.64	104. 0	72. 80	60. 16
Dried blood. Boneblack. Kainit	6	6:0	26. 4 to 81. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 280 \\ 320 \\ 640 \end{array} \right. $	12. 96	60. 3	42. 21	29. 25
Dried blood. Ground bone. Wood ashes.	} 2	1:1	-30.7 to 4.3	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2.000 \\ 600 \\ 4,000 \end{array} \right. $	57. 80	-13. 2	- 9.24	-67.04
Tankage	} 1	0:1	_ 2.6	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 100 \\ 100 \\ 10 \end{array} \right. $	1.92	- 2.6	- 1.82	- 3.74
Boneblack. Nitrate of soda. Sulphate of potash	40	7:1	-42. 0 to 222. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 350 \\ 224 \\ 181 \end{array} \right. $	14. 88	50. 1	35. 07	20. 19
Boneblack Nitrate of soda Muriate of potash	} 43	7. 6:1	-18. 7 to 164. 7	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 345 \\ 216 \\ 180 \end{array} \right. $	3. 15	44. 5	31. 15	18. 00

³ Not included in the averages, as the value of fertilizers is not given.

Results of fertilizer tests with potato soils—Continued.

ORGANIC FERTILIZERS WITH TWO OR MORE MINERALS-Continued.

Kind of fertilizer used.	Number of experi-		Range of crop	Fertilizers per acre.		Average crop increase per acre.		Average gain per
	ments.	increase.	increase.	Used.	Cost.	per acre.		acre.
		Ratio.	Bushels.		Dollars.	Bushels.	Dollars.	Dollars.
Boneblack Nitrate of soda Kainit	} 16	7:1	-60. 0 to 142. 6	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 320 \\ 200 \\ 640 \end{array} \right. $	12.36	36. 7	25. 69	13. 33
BoneblackSulphate of ammonia Muriate of potash	2	2:0	26. 7 to 113. 7	200 200 200	} 12.80	70. 2	49. 14	36. 34
Ground bore	} 10	9:1	-16.0 to 75.0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 359 \\ 218 \\ 231 \end{array} \right. $	} 17. 05	28. 0	19. 60	2. 55
Ground bone	} 11	10:1	-15. 4 to 79. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 215 \\ 122 \\ 151 \end{array} \right. $	9. 18	25. 3	17. 71	8. 53
Ground bone	} 8	8:0	22. 8 to 120. 8	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 400 \\ 160 \\ 240 \end{array}\right.$	} 17. 36	64. 5	45. 15	27. 79
Ground bone	} 10	10:0	24. 3 to 92. 1	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 390 \\ 168 \\ 232 \end{array} \right. $	15. 38	55. 4	38. 78	23. 40
Ground bone	} 1	1:0	72. 7	{ 400 400 400	} 18. 80	72. 7	50. 89	32. 09
Cotton seed Acid phosphate Kainit	} 6	6:0	42. 9 to 261. 1	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 3.380\\ 337\\ 225 \end{array}\right.$	30. 75	129. 7	90. 79	60. 04
Cotton-seed meal	} 3	3:0	33. 7 to 136. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 336 \\ 168 \\ 112 \end{array} \right. $	11. 87	68, 0	47. 60	35. 73
Cotton-seed meal	} 13	12:1	0. to 123. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 668 \\ 346 \\ 107 \end{array} \right. $	3. 98	45. 6	31. 92	17. 94
Cotton-seed meal. Acid phosphate. Muriate of potash.	} 10	10:0	36. 3 to 101. 9	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 486 \\ 424 \\ 168 \end{array} \right. $	12.74	67.9	47. 57	34. 83
Cotton-seed meal	} 13	13:0	5. 0 to 206. 3	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 424 \\ 292 \\ 316 \end{array} \right. $	9.24	61.0	42.70	33. 46
Cotton-seed meal. Acid phosphate. Wood ashes.	} 1	1:0	15. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 214 \\ 140 \\ 2.000 \end{array}\right. $	8.65	15.0	10.50	1.85
Cotton-seed meal	} 1	1:0	2.9	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 200 \\ 700 \\ 80 \end{array} \right. $	7.01	2.9	2.03	-4.98
Linseed meal Acid phosphate Muriate of potash	} 6	6:0	8.1 to 50.9	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 250 \\ 120 \\ 95 \end{array} \right. $	7.68	27.7	19. 39	11.71
Guano Sulphate of ammonia Muriate of potash	3	3:0	3.7 to 18.3	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 483 \\ 150 \\ 100 \end{array} \right. $	18. 93	10.8	7.56	-11.37
Wheat bran	} 6	6:0	24.9 to 57.0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 458 \\ 80 \\ 85 \end{array} \right. $	}	a 37. 4	a 26. 18	
PeatAcid phosphateSulphate of potash	} 1	1:0	31.5	\$50,000 200 100	}	a 31. 5	a 22, 05	
Peat] 1	1:0	35.0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 20,000 \\ 600 \\ 120 \end{array} \right. $	}	a 35. 0	a 24. 50	
Dried blood	} 2	2:0	4.4 to 59.5	$ \begin{cases} 75 \\ 75 \\ 400 \\ 150 \end{cases} $	10. 67	31.9	22, 33	11. 66
Dried blood	6	6:0	9. 9 to 270. 0	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 420 \\ 162 \\ 449 \\ 171 \end{array} \right. $	19.35	142.0	99. 40	80.05

a Not in cluded in the averages, as the value of fertilizers is not given.

Results of fertilizer tests with potato soils—Continued.

ORGANIC FERTILIZERS WITH TWO OR MORE MINERALS-Continued.

Kind of fertilizer used.	Num- ber of experi-	Increase to no increase.	Range of crop increase.	Fertiliz ac	ers per re.	A verag		A verage gain per acre.
	ments.	merease.		Used.	Cost.			acre.
Cotton-seed meal)	Ratio.	Bushels.	Pounds,	Dollars.	Bushels.	Dollars.	Dollars.
Nitrate of soda	3	3:0	55. 3 to 144. 0	169 113 282	33. 92	101. 5	71. 05	57. 13
Cotton-seed meal	} 2	2:0	59.0 to 67.4	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 302 \\ 75 \\ 450 \\ 180 \end{array} \right. $	12.76	63.2	44. 24	31. 48
Cotton-seed meal. Nitrate of soda. Sulphate of ammonia. Acid phosphate. Kainit.	2	2:0	49.7 to 87.3	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{r} 336 \\ 378 \\ 210 \\ 420 \\ 336 \end{array} \right. $	25. 12	68. 5	47. 95	22. 83
Total	269	11.8:1		:	14.08	53. 5	37. 45	23. 42
		MANÙRE	AND COMBI	NATIO	vs.			
				1				
ManureHen manure	81 4	26:1 3:1	-16. 0 to 240. 3 - 9. 0 to 48. 0	30, 513	7. 62 52. 50	53. 1 22. 6	37. 17 15. 82	29. 55 36. 68
Manure	} 5	4:1	- 3. 0 to 122. 0	${14,000 \atop 216}$	5. 01	54. 7	38. 29	33. 28
Manure	} 1	1:0	225. 3	${34,128 \atop 200}$	} 12.93	225. 3	157. 71	144. 78
Manure Kainit	} 1	0:1	_ 26.7	{20,000 300	6.80	-26.7	-18.69	-25. 49
Manure	} 1	1:0	55. 0	{24,000 1,400	9. 50	55. 0	38. 50	29. 00
Manure	1	1:0	100. 9		} 19.60	100. 9	70. 63	51. 03
Manure Sulphate of ammonia Muriate of potash	} 1	1:0	171. 3	$\begin{cases} 34,128 \\ 200 \\ 200 \end{cases}$	19. 13	171.3	119. 91	100.78
Manure	1	1:0	12.0	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 4,000\\ 140\\ 50 \end{array}\right.$	3. 48	12. 0	8. 40	4. 92
ManureBoneblackSulphate of potash	} 1	1:0	85. 0	{24,000 320 160	14. 32	85. 0	59. 50	45. 18
Manure Boneblack Nitrate of soda Sulphate of potash	12	12:0	31. 3 to 121. 0	$ \begin{cases} 20,000 \\ 160 \\ 100 \\ 82 \end{cases} $	11. 72	84. 4	59. 08	47. 36
Manure Boneblack Nitrate of soda Muriate of potash	14	13:1	- 5.0 to 144.0	$\begin{bmatrix} 30,000 \\ 240 \\ 150 \\ 120 \end{bmatrix}$	16. 53	43. 3	30. 31	13. 78
Manure Boneblack Sulphate of ammonia Muriate of potash	1	1:0	205. 0	$\begin{bmatrix} 34, 128 \\ 200 \\ 200 \\ 200 \\ 200 \end{bmatrix}$	21. 33	205. 0	143. 50	122. 17
Manure Cotton-seed meal Acid phosphate Muriate of potash	} 1	1:0	43. 7	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 400 \\ 200 \\ 200 \\ 50 \end{array}\right.$	5.00	43.7	30. 59	25. 59
Compost	9	1.25:1	-62. 2 to 138. 0	21, 134	5. 28	46. 9	32. 83	27. 55
Total	134	11. 2:1			10. 33	56.6	39.62	29. 29

-45. 5 to 162. 0

403

Commercial fertilizers....

14.5:1

1,138

11.38

41.8

29.26

17.88

The following table gives a brief summary or recapitulation of the data presented in the last table, giving, by groups of materials, the number of experiments, the actual number of increases and of no increases reported, the ratio between these, and the average increase per acre in bushels:

Actual number of increases and no increases reported with each class of fertilizer.

Fertilizer.	Num- ber of experi- ments.	Increase.	No increase.	Ratio.	Average gain per acre.
Minerals alone. Mixture of two minerals. Mixture of three or more minerals. Organic fertilizers singly. Organic fertilizers with one mineral. Organic fertilizers with two or more minerals. Manure and combinations. Commercial fertilizers. Total.	226 60 128 269	198 218 214 51 120 248 123 377 1,550	97 36 12 9 8 21 11 26	2.04:1 6.1:1 17.8:1 5.7:1 15.0:1 11.8:1 11.2:1 14.5:1	Bushels. 10.5 29.6 48.5 23.7 49.3 53.5 56.6 41.8

EFFECTIVENESS OF SINGLE SUBSTANCES AND MIXTURES.

Notwithstanding what has been said about the wide variations of check plats and the wide variations shown in crop increases with all the fertilizers, the general conclusion that the chances for increased yield and the actual size of the increase are larger with the number of substances used in the mixture, at least up to three substances, seems so consistent and regular that there can be little doubt of correctness.

To examine this point further several of the single fertilizers and their mixtures have been brought together in the following table, showing both the sum of the increases attributable to the single fertilizers and the increases due to their mixtures. Increase in yield of potatoes due to single fertilizers compared with increase due to mixtures of these fertilizers.

Fertilizer.	Number of experi- ments.	Increase per acre.	Fertilizer.	Number of experi- ments.	Increase per acre.
Vitrate of soda	55 82	Bushels. 5. 5 18. 8	Cotton-seed meal	23 82	Bushels. 41.2 18.8
Mixture	50	24.3 29.9	Mixture		60. (58. 8
Nitrate of soda	55 64	5. 5 16. 1	Dried blood	2 82 64	3.3
Mixture	55	21. 6 24. 8	Muriate of potash		38. 3
cid phosphateulphate of potash	82 22	18. 8 8. 0	Mixture Boneblack	25	43.
Mixture	14	26. 8 27. 2	Nitrate of soda	55 22	5
cid phosphate	82 64	18. 8 16. 1	Mixture	40	57. 50.
Mixture	85	34. 9 40. 0	Boneblack Nitrate of soda Muriate of potash	3 55 64	43. 5. 16.
cid phosphateainit	82 14	18. 8 3. 7	Mixture	43	65. 44.
Mixture	13	22. 5 9. 6	Boneblack Nitrate of soda Kainit	3 55 14	43. 5. 3.
itrate of sodacid phosphateulphate of potash	55 82 22	5. 5 18. 8 8. 0	Mixture	16	52. 36.
Mixture	13	32. 3 36. 7	Ground bone	17 55 22	14. 5. 8.
itrate of soda	55 82 64	5. 5 18. 8 16. 1	Mixture	10	27. 28.
Mixture	125	40. 4 46. 8	Ground bone	17 55 64	14. 5. 16.
ulphate of ammonia	6 82 64	-9.0 18.8 16.1	Mixture	11	35. 25.
Mixture	53	25. 9 56. 6	Ground boneSulphate of ammoniaMuriate of potash	17 6 64	14. 9. 16.
oneblackulphate of potash	3 22	43. 5 8. 0	Mixture	10	21. 55.
Mixture		51. 5 35. 8	Cotton-seed meal	. 82	41. 18. 8.
Boneblack furiate of potash	3 64	43. 5 16. 1	Sulphate of potash	10	68.
Mixture	. 23	59. 6 42. 0	Mixture	. 23	45.
oneblack Sainit.	3 14	43. 5 3. 7	Acid phosphate	82 64	18.
Mixture	. 12	47. 2 29. 6	Mixture	. 10	76. 67.
Ground bone	17 22	14. 2 8. 0	Cotton-seed meal Acid phosphate Kainit	23 82 14	41. 18. 3.
Mixture	. 11	22. 2 68. 1	Mixture		63. 61.

EFFECT OF USING INCREASED AMOUNTS OF FERTILIZERS.

In the following table are brought together all available data showing the effects of increasing amounts of fertilizers applied to the soil and the corresponding average increase in crop production attributable thereto:

Increase in yield of potatoes attributable to different amounts of fertilizers.

Name of fertilizer.	Quantity per acre.	Number of experi- ments.	Range of crop increase.	Average increase per acre.
Nitrate of soda	Pounds. Below 100 100 to 149 150 to 199 200 to 299 300+	12 9 20 7 7	Bushels 1.8 to 43.0 -68.5 to 37.5 -16.3 to 64.0 -29.3 to 11.5 -59.4 to 27.0	Bushels. 18.5 - 7.7 11.6 - 6.9 - 5.0
Acid phosphate	Below 200	16	- 8.5 to 81.5	14. 8
	200 to 299	11	-80.4 to 63.5	1. 4
	300 to 399	26	-12.3 to 133.9	25. 4
	400 to 499	21	- 3.0 to 53.5	19. 6
	500+	14	0.8 to 80.8	26. 7
Muriate of potash	100 to 149	. 23	-50.9 to 71.2	8. 5
	150 to 199	21	-22.8 to 83.0	27. 3
	200 to 299	12	- 9.7 to 93.1	18. 3
	300 to 399	4	3.7 to 57.0	33. 7
	400+	4	-67.1 to 16.3	-29. 5
Cotton-seed meal.	Below 300	3	-16.5 to 118.0	37. 2
	300 to 499	7	10.0 to 32.7	19. 5
	500 to 999	8	7.6 to 52.9	36. 1
	1,000 to 1,499	3	33.5 to 119.9	81. 7
	1,500+	2	62.3 to 202.3	132. 3
Manure	Below 5, 000	3	- 0.4 to 89.0	39. 5
	5, 000 to 9, 999	3	41.7 to 59.1	48. 0
	10, 000 to 19, 999	12	8.7 to 169.0	63. 6
	20, 000 to 39, 999	19	-16.0 to 240.3	68. 2
	40, 000+	44	-13.6 to 127.0	43. 0
Commercial fertilizers	Below 300	2	43. 1 to 59. 8	51. 4
	300 to 599	98	-45. 5 to 89. 5	24.5
	600 to 999	47	-19. 5 to 134. 6	36.9
	1,000 to 1,499	99	-12. 2 to 107. 2	39.9
	1,500 to 1,999	75	-13. 5 to 151. 0	55.2
	2,000+	82	-14. 4 to 162. 0	56.6

RELATION OF FERTILIZER EFFECT AND NATURAL PRODUCTIVENESS OF THE SOIL.

In the following table are rearranged all available data to show the effectiveness of fertilizers on soils of different productivity as measured by the yield of the unfertilized plats:

Increase in yield of potatoes attributable to fertilizers, arranged in accordance with the actual yield of the "check" plats.

Fertilizer.	Yield of unfertilized plats.	Number of experi- ments.	Range of increase.	A verage increase.
Nitrate of soda	Bushels, 10.0 to 19.9 20.0 to 29.9 30.0 to 39.9 40.0 to 49.9 50.0 to 59.9 70.0 to 79.9 90.0 to 89.9 90.0 to 99.9 100.0 to 119.9 120.0 to 139.9 140.0 to 149.9 150.0 +	4 4 6 3 6 8 5 7 2 5 1 0 5	Bushels, 1.5 to 30.8 -2.5 to 23.6 -18.7 to 14.0 -5.1 to 17.6 -22.4 to 42.9 -16.3 to 27.0 -10.9 to 64.0 -29.3 to 41.3 -22.0 to 9.6 -16.0 to 37.5 -18.8	Bushels. 10.3 11.8 0.2 5.0 3.8 5.2 16.0 2.5 -6.2 15.4 18.8
Acid phosphate	10. 0 to 19. 9 20. 0 to 29. 9 30. 0 to 39. 9 40. 0 to 59. 9 50. 0 to 59. 9 60. 0 to 69. 9 70. 0 to 89. 9 90. 0 to 89. 9 100. 0 to 119. 9 120. 0 to 139. 9 140. 0 to 149. 9	6 11 6 3 8 7 7 8 10 2 10 1 2 9	1. 0 to 20. 3 0. 4 to 53. 5 - 6. 8 to 23. 0 0. 9 to 8. 3 -17. 7 to 33. 5 -21. 7 to 43. 4 - 3. 0 to 80. 8 -12. 3 to 110. 8	8.3 17.0 5.9 5.2 3.4 14.6 29.8 24.7 -1.0 29.0 81.5 46.7 20.4
Muriate of potash	10.0 to 19.9 20.0 to 29.9 30.0 to 39.9 40.0 to 49.9 50.0 to 59.9 60.0 to 69.9 70.0 to 78.9 90.0 to 89.9 90.0 to 199.9 120.0 to 139.9 140.0 to 149.9 150.0 t	4 5 6 3 6 7 4 8 2 8 1 1 10	- 2.8 to 11.8 3.7 to 46.2 - 9.5 to 47.3 1.4 to 44.1 -16.0 to 71.2 - 9.7 to 57.0 - 0.9 to 66.7 - 1.9 to 83.0 - 0.8 to -0.3 - 7.8 to 46.1 41.2 42.9 -67.1 to 93.1	3.9 17.0 26.0 28.6 11.0 13.7 28.3 24.0 -0.6 14.4 41.2 42.9 -3.3
Nitrate of soda and acid phosphate	{ 10.0 to 19.9 20.0 to 29.9 30.0 to 39.9 40.0 to 49.9 50.0 to 59.9 60.0 to 69.9 70.0 to 79.9 90.0 to 89.9 90.0 to 99.9 100.0 to 119.9 120.0 to 139.9 140.0 to 149.9	5 4 6 6 3 6 5 4 4 4 1 5 1 0 6 6	- 1.2 to 28.4 - 0.9 to 70.9 - 0.4 to 49.6 3.0 to 16.4 - 8.7 to 24.5 - 6.4 to 85.0 7.3 to 68.3 22.0 to 63.2 29.2 15.7 to 79.2 - 38.4 to 78.0	12. 0 27. 2 28. 4 9. 8 19. 7 33. 1 41. 2 34. 9 29. 2 43. 3 47. 5
Nitrate of soda and muriate of potash	10.0 to 19.9 20.0 to 29.9 30.0 to 39.9 40.0 to 59.9 50.0 to 59.9 60.0 to 69.9 70.0 to 79.9 80.0 to 89.9 90.0 to 99.9 120.0 to 139.9 140.0 to 149.9 150.0 +	3 3 4 4 3 9 4 4 4 7 7 1 5 3 3 0 6 6	- 6.0 to 16.4 0.4 to 50.2 -25.7 to 59.4 5.9 to 79.9 - 8.7 to 41.6 - 6.3 to 81.0 - 2.5 to 65.2 17.9 to 72.0 23.2 23.1 to 72.0 17.9 to 144.0	

Increase in yield of potatoes attributable to fertilizers, arranged in accordance with the actual yield of the "check" plats—Continued.

Fertilizer.	Yield of unfertilized plats.	Number of experi- ments.	Range of increase.	Average increase.
Acid phosphate and muriate of potash	Bushels. (10.0 to 19.9) 20.0 to 229.9 30.0 to 29.9 40.0 to 59.9 50.0 to 59.9 60.0 to 69.9 70.0 to 79.9 80.0 to 89.9 90.0 to 99.9 120.0 to 139.9 140.0 to 149.9 150.0 t	9 6 9 3 12 6 5 13 4 12 3 0	Bushels. 2.0 to 112.4 5.3 to 64.6 -4.3 to 75.4 0.2 to 61.4 -12.4 to 27.9 -29.9 to 149.0 4.0 to 77.3 14.1 to 134.7 11.1 to 75.0 15.4 to 94.0 37.7 to 88.0	Bushels. 31. 2 21. 3 29. 1 37. 9 19. 3 80. 2 40. 3 53. 1 39. 3 57. 3 65. 9
Nitrate of soda, acid phosphate, and muriate of pot- ash	10.0 to 19.9 20.0 to 29.9 30.0 to 39.9 40.0 to 49.9 50.0 to 59.9 60.0 to 69.9 70.0 to 79.9 80.0 to 89.9 90.0 to 89.9 100.0 to 119.9 120.0 to 133.9 140.0 to 149.9 150.0 to	9 3 17 3 18 11 7 7 10 10	$\begin{array}{c} -0.3\ \text{to} 74.0\\ 6.4\ \text{to}\ 100.2\\ 14.4\ \text{to}\ 92.0\\ 11.5\ \text{to} 83.9\\ -10.7\ \text{to}\ 75.6\\ 13.2\ \text{to}\ 160.0\\ -3.2\ \text{to} 86.0\\ 10.6\ \text{to}\ 171.0\\ 23.2\ \text{to}\ 157.0\\ -15.2\ \text{to}\ 109.0\\ -15.2\ \text{to}\ 199.0\\ -15.2\ \text{to}\ 199.0\\ -3.9\ \text{to}\ 75.0\\ \end{array}$	48. 1 41. 6 63. 2 59. 1 27. 8 59. 5 30. 1 48. 5 88. 8 51. 3 92. 9 54. 7 35. 6
Sulphate of ammonia, acid phosphate, and muriate of potash	10.0 to 19.9 20.0 to 29.9 30.0 to 39.9 40.0 to 49.9 50.0 to 59.9 60.0 to 69.9 70.0 to 79.9 90.0 to 89.9 100.0 to 119.9 120.0 to 139.9 140.0 to 149.9	3 2	28. 5 to 118. 8 20. 4 to 38. 7 1. 1 to 105. 0 31. 5 to 41. 7 22. 5 to 54. 3 40. 4 to 95. 7 25. 2 to 121. 0 22. 1 to 110. 1 39. 5 to 105. 0 31. 0 to 71. 0 -15. 2 to -3. 8	81. 1 31. 4 28. 4 35. 7 38. 4 62. 7 80. 3 71. 5 81. 6 59. 4 - 9. 5
Boneblack, nitrate of soda, and muriate of potash	10.0 to 19.9 20.0 to 29.9 30.0 to 39.9 40.0 to 49.9 50.0 to 59.9 60.0 to 69.9 70.0 to 79.9 90.0 to 99.9 100.0 to 119.9 120.0 to 139.9 140.0 to 149.9	0 0 3 4 5 3 10 7 0 0 0 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	45. 0 to 164. 7 12. 2 to 16. 7 62. 0 to 141. 0 10. 0 to 71. 2 -10. 5 to 101. 2 -18. 7 to 65. 0 16. 1 to 35. 1 47. 1 to 48. 6 1. 3 to 26. 0	119.3 14.8 88.3 46.0 38.7 28.5
Manure	$ \begin{cases} 10.0\ to\ 19.9 \\ 20.0\ to\ 29.9 \\ 30.0\ to\ 39.9 \\ 40.0\ to\ 39.9 \\ 40.0\ to\ 59.9 \\ 50.0\ to\ 59.9 \\ 60.0\ to\ 69.9 \\ 70.0\ to\ 79.9 \\ 80.0\ to\ 89.9 \\ 90.0\ to\ 119.9 \\ 120.0\ to\ 139.9 \\ 140.0\ to\ 149.9 \\ 150.0+ \\ 150.0+ \end{cases} $	4 4 7 4 7 7 9 12 4 8 8 3 7	11. 0 to 72. 1 12. 4 to 43. 3 43. 5 to 240. 3 1. 6 to 77. 6 23. 0 to 127. 0 20. 6 to 109. 5 5. 7 to 70. 3 -0. 4 to 162. 0 2. 6 to 169. 0 -16. 0 to 88. 0 9. 3 to 17. 6 -13. 6 to 91. 0 -9. 0 to 61. 5	40. 2 31. 9 100. 7 24. 0 79. 5 59. 9 43. 0 62. 5 88. 7 34. 1 12. 3 22. 1 33. 8
Commercial fortilizers	$ \begin{cases} 10.0\ \text{to} 19.9 \\ 20.0\ \text{to} 29.9 \\ 30.0\ \text{to} 39.9 \\ 40.0\ \text{to} 39.9 \\ 40.0\ \text{to} 49.9 \\ 50.0\ \text{to} 59.9 \\ 60.0\ \text{to} 69.9 \\ 70.0\ \text{to} 79.9 \\ 80.0\ \text{to} 89.9 \\ 90.0\ \text{to} 99.9 \\ 120.0\ \text{to} 139.9 \\ 120.0\ \text{to} 139.9 \\ 140.0\ \text{to} 149.9 \\ 150.0+ \end{cases} $	36 51 1 22 25 9 28 51 35 59 36 1	- 0.2 to 79.5 - 2.2 to 62.8 - 5.5 to 131.0 - 13.5 to 41.7 34.1 to 142.9 - 17.8 to 136.0 - 19.5 to 136.0 - 14.4 to 90.2 - 2.9 to 90.8 - 2.9 to 90.8 - 46.5 to 119.7	25. 2 27. 0 67. 0 41. 7 12. 8 59. 7 71. 7 51. 3 33. 4 40. 5 50. 9 26. 0 47. 4

SUMMARY.

It appears from the published records that in twenty-three States the experiment stations have made 1,769 tests of substances applied to all sorts of soils.

The variations of yield on unfertilized check plats in the same field and the variation of the increases attributable to fertilizers on different soils and in different seasons are large, nevertheless it appears:

(1) That the chances to obtain an increase in crop and the actual increase in bushels are larger with two or three substances mixed than

with single substances.

(2) There is little indication of any significant difference in pro-

ductivity due to different amounts of fertilizers used.

(3) There is little indication of any significant difference in effectiveness of fertilizers on soils of different natural productivity as measured by the yield of check plats, such differences as are shown indicating a somewhat greater efficiency of some of the fertilizers on the more productive soils.

The data contained in the station reports does not permit one to judge of the cumulative effect of the continued use of fertilizers on the

same soil for a long series of years.

It appears that in general the results indicate a very profitable use

of fertilizers in the growing of potatoes.

As these results have been obtained from a large number of soils, with a considerable range of productivity, over a number of years, these general conclusions, besides others of a qualitative value which can be drawn from the tables, can, in the absence of any more specific knowledge of any particular soil, be safely followed as a guide to the immediate selection of fertilizers for a potato soil.

0

