SRO Performance Evaluation:
A Guide To Getting Results

Authors:

Tammy Rinehart Kochel

Anna T. Laszlo

Laura B. Nickles

2005

COPS

COMMUNITY ORIENTED POLICING SERVICES
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Suggested Citation

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Circle Solutions, Inc.

Circle Solutions, Inc. is a woman- and employee-owned professional services firm committed to providing services and products in support of healthier, safer people and communities.

Circle Solutions, Inc.
8280 Greensboro Drive
Suite 300
McLean, VA 22102
www.circlesolutions.com
703–821–8955

Table of Contents

The Tools   41

Foreword

SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results captures the "lessons learned" from a 2-year pilot project by Circle Solutions Inc., funded by the U.S. Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS Office). Having spent several years building school-based partnerships and training School Resource Officers (SROs) for the COPS Office, we set out to create and test a process of performance evaluation for SROs that supports and furthers community policing in schools. Three principles guided our work. (1) SROs perform a distinctly different function for law enforcement agencies than do patrol officers/deputies or any other unit within the department. As such, the benchmarks used to assess and promote their success in the job must differ as well. (2) Holding SROs accountable for *results/outcomes* (e.g., reducing school-based crime and disorder problems) rather than *activities performed* (e.g., number of classroom presentations) leads to more effective policing and a reduction in school crime and disorder problems. (3) Involving customers in setting goals for the SROs allows the SROs to better understand the nature of and satisfy the expectations of their customers.

The process described in this guide was pilot tested with five law enforcement agencies and six schools across the country. They were:

• Boise (Idaho) Police Department at Capital High School
• Naperville (Illinois) Police Department at Neuqua Valley High School
• Port St. Lucie (Florida) Police Department at St. Lucie West Middle School
• Rochester (New York) Police Department at John Marshall High School and East High School
• St. Lucie County (Florida) Sheriffs' Department at Forest Grove Middle School and St. Lucie West Middle School (St. Lucie County Sheriffs' Department and the Port St. Lucie Police Department jointly serve St. Lucie West Middle School.)

These sites were selected to pilot this process because of their commitment to community policing, their commitment to the SRO program, and their diversity. These agencies and communities differ greatly in size, demographics, types of crime and disorder problems they face, labor union contracts, and SRO deployment strategy. Obtaining this diversity was important to be able to demonstrate that the performance evaluation process *can* be implemented by any law enforcement agency and school, regardless of size, the types of school-based crime and disorder problems, or how SROs are deployed. Even law enforcement agencies with strong labor unions supported this effort as a way to enhance SRO effectiveness.

The authors are grateful to these law enforcement agencies and schools for implementing this pilot project with us. Their contribution was truly extraordinary, and without them, this project would not have been possible. Contact information of key project staff is provided in Tool 15 of this guide.

Acknowledgments

Introduction

SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results is a step-by-step guide to help law enforcement and school personnel use SRO performance evaluation differently than they have in the past in an effort to better address school crime and disorder problems. This guide provides:

- A framework for understanding why outcome-based performance evaluation is important to law enforcement agencies, in general, and to SROs, in particular
- A rationale for asking SRO customers to provide input into the outcome-oriented goals for SROs
- A step-by-step strategy for implementing an outcome-oriented performance evaluation process for SROs
- Tools to help implement the outcome-oriented performance evaluation process

Is This Process for You?

- Does your department pride itself on being a community-oriented policing agency that partners with others and problem solves?
- Does the school and law enforcement agency want to more effectively identify and address the most critical school safety issues?
- Do you want to maximize the effectiveness of the SROs as role models, educators, school safety specialists, liaisons to community resources, law enforcers, and problem solvers?
- Are you willing to dedicate time and resources to collecting data and learning more about the school-based crime and disorder problems?
- Are you willing to revise your current SRO evaluation to reflect the unique environment in which SROs work?
- Are you more interested in whether your SROs are making the school safer than how busy they are, and therefore, are you willing to evaluate the SROs on what they accomplish?
- Would you like to give clear direction to your SROs about what they should be doing to reduce school-based crime and disorder problems?
- Would you like to work with SROs to revise their activities, if their activities are not producing results?
- Are you more interested in measuring the quality of your SROs' work than the quantity of your SROs' work?
- Do you want school personnel, parents, and students to better understand the SRO's roles in schools?
- Would you like to better understand the skills and knowledge required of SROs in order to recruit the right SROs and provide effective training for SROs?

What Is an Outcome-Oriented Performance Evaluation Process?

Outcome-oriented performance evaluation is a way for law enforcement personnel (command staff, labor union representatives, SROs, and SRO supervisors) and schools (school administrators, teachers, school staff, parents, and students) to better address school-based crime and disorder problems by:

1. Identifying the most critical and/or frequent crime and disorder problems that need to be addressed by the SRO

2. Assessing the SRO's performance based on *results/outcomes* (e.g., reducing school crime and disorder problems) rather than *activities performed* (e.g., how many classroom presentations the SRO conducted)

3. Providing an opportunity to revise SRO activities if they are not producing the expected results/outcomes

What Will This Process Do?

The outcome-oriented performance evaluation process can:

• Help new SRO programs by providing clear guidance to SROs, school administrators, and SRO supervisors about the direction that programs should take

• Enhance existing SRO programs by focusing SRO activities on the most pressing school-based crime and disorder problems in a particular school and surrounding neighborhood

• Improve school staffs', parents', teachers', students', and other law enforcement officers' (customers of the SRO) understanding of what the SRO does on a daily basis and what he or she can and cannot affect with respect to school crime and disorder problems

• Enhance both the SRO's and customers' understanding of the actual nature of school crime and disorder problems in a particular school and surrounding neighborhood

• Provide a means of prioritizing the SRO's responsibilities and directing the SRO's proactive activities

• Improve, support, or replace much of the existing SRO performance evaluation system

• Provide a focus for SRO supervisors upon which to coach and mentor the SRO in order to improve performance

• Enhance community policing in schools by engaging the customers of the SRO in identifying their expectations and empowering the SRO to implement a variety of collaborative problem-solving activities to solve school crime and disorder problems

What Will This Process Not Do?

The outcome-oriented performance evaluation process is *not* designed to:

1. Produce prefabricated, standardized performance evaluation instruments that can be used for all SROs in all schools
2. Produce a set of goals, activities, and measures that all schools in a district can adopt and use as is
3. Provide a school district-wide plan for SROs

Which SROs Will Most Likely Demonstrate Success with This Process?

The type of SRO that will be most successful under this outcome-based performance evaluation process is one who:

• Embraces collaboration and is willing to initiate and maintain partnerships
• Is committed to having his or her customers, including students, provide input on the school safety goals
• Understands the problem-solving process and implements it
• Recognizes that school crime data can help him or her to better understand school crime and improve prevention and intervention approaches
• Applies critical thinking to the job
• Is self-motivated and proactive
• Is creative and resourceful
• Takes pride in getting results, rather than merely doing the job well
• Has long-term vision, willing to work toward goals that may take a year to be met
• Is an effective communicator

Assessing Readiness

The outcome-oriented performance evaluation process will not be embraced by all schools or by all law enforcement agencies. The potential benefits from the process are extensive, but the time and effort commitments required of SROs, SRO supervisors, and school personnel are not inconsequential. In fact, law enforcement agencies may be prudent to pilot this process in one school, prior to implementing it in all schools. Addressing the following needs will determine whether you are ready to implement the outcome-oriented performance evaluation process.

Is the Law Enforcement Agency Ready?

From the law enforcement agency you will need:

• Executive-level commitment to improving SRO performance evaluation under community policing, with full support and commitment to the process
• A project champion who understands the process and is willing and able to commit time to the project

- An SRO supervisor who has the skills and motivation for the process
- An SRO who is willing to receive customer input into his or her goals and activities, spotlight his or her successes, and improve upon shortcomings
- A labor union that understands and supports integrating results/outcome goals into SRO performance evaluation, rather than merely monitoring activities
- Willingness to collect, analyze, and share crime data with the school and school-based customers

Is the SRO Supervisor Ready?

The SRO supervisor should be prepared to:

- Participate in the customer meetings
- Accept customer input into the SRO's annual performance goals
- Ensure that the process progresses and is productive and constructive
- Mentor and guide the SRO to implement problem solving or other approaches that will produce an impact on specific crime and disorder problems
- Monitor and critique the SRO's activities
- Review school and police data
- Meet monthly or every 2 months with the SRO to assess progress
- Manage multiple projects simultaneously
- Intervene and help resolve conflicts between the SRO and school staff or other customers
- Incorporate the findings into the SRO's performance evaluation

Is the School Ready?

From the school you will need:

- School administrator's commitment to and interest in the process
- School administrator who understands the agreed-upon SRO role
- School administrator who is comfortable acknowledging the existence of school crime and disorder problems with parents, staff, students, and law enforcement and a willingness to address these problems
- School administration willingness to share school crime and disorder data with law enforcement, parents, school staff, and students
- School administration willingness to allow students and staff to participate in the process
- School records and data that are accurate and available for timely analysis

What Resources and Skills Are Needed?

The following resources and skills are important for members of the project team to have or obtain when carrying out this process:

- Organizational and planning skills
- A facilitator who can impartially lead discussion
- Basic research skills including sampling, survey design, and data collection and analysis
- Meeting location suitable for 8–15 people
- Resources such as flip-chart paper and refreshments for meetings

What Else Is Needed?

- **Willingness to partner.** All parties must share a willingness to partner with one another and share information. If there is a history of conflict between the law enforcement agency or SRO and the school, these issues must be addressed before starting the project. Furthermore, all parties must agree to establish procedures to handle confidential information.
- **Patience and motivation.** This process is a multi-year process and involves many stages. Results will appear over time, not overnight! In addition, due to the project time frame, continued motivation and commitment to the project will need to be maintained.

The Steps

Step 1: Rally Support and Gain Commitment

Step 2: Select Customer Meeting Participants

Step 3: Prepare First Meeting Logistics

Step 4: Hold First Customer Meeting

Part A: Kick Off the First Customer Meeting

Part B: Brainstorm and Prioritize Outcome Goals

Part C: Establish Outcome Measures

Part D: Establish SRO Activities

Step 5: Prepare for the Second Customer Meeting

Step 6: Conduct Second Customer Meeting

Step 7: Collect Baseline Data

Step 8: SRO Implements Activities

Step 9: Collect and Analyze Follow-up Data

Step 10: Convene the Last Customer Meeting of the Year

Step 11: Integrate the Results into Performance Evaluation

Step 1:

Rally Support and Gain Commitment

✔ Garner support from the chief or sheriff of the law enforcement agency, the labor union, and the principal of the school

✔ Determine who will serve as the project leader and take ownership of the initiative

See Tool 1:
Instructions for Creating an MOU and Sample MOU

Things You Need to Know

Having executive support from both the law enforcement agency and the school is critical to ensure that both:

- Support involving a broad range of customers including parents, school staff, teachers, and students to prioritize the safety goals for the school
- Will assign personnel to participate as active members of the project team
- Will commit the resources and information required by the effort
- Will find value in the results of the effort
- Agree to sign a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) outlining the purpose and approach the effort will take

The executives from the school and law enforcement agency should demonstrate their commitment to the effort through a letter, an MOU, and/or their presence at the first team meeting (see Step 4). This level of support validates the effort.

In addition to executive support, the effort needs a leader, such as the SRO supervisor or a school administrator, who will:

- Get the project started
- Coordinate communication
- Allocate tasks and responsibilities
- Hold others accountable
- Redirect the team as needed

Without a project leader, tasks may not be accomplished, the vision may be lost, and resources may be misallocated. The project leader should be someone who personally benefits from the effort and with sufficient relationships, respect, or authority to hold team members accountable to implementing the process. The SRO, supervisor, or school administrator may be a good team leader.

Step **1** • 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Step 2:

Select Customer Meeting Participants

✔ The SRO, SRO supervisor, and school administrator should discuss and agree who should attend the customer meeting

Things You Need to Know

Invitations to the first customer meeting should be extended to the school principal, SRO(s) in the school, SRO supervisor(s), and customers of the SRO(s). Customers include those to whom the SRO provides services or with whom the SRO partners to perform services. For example, customers may include:

• Students
• Parents
• Principal/assistant principals
• Deans
• Guidance counselors
• School nurse
• Custodian
• Teachers
• Food service personnel
• Neighborhood beat officers
• Residents near school
• Business owners/employees near school

As customers are selected to participate, it is important to recognize that they will determine the safety priorities set for the school, so they must have a stake in the safety issues of that *specific* school. Keep in mind that the largest customer base is composed of students, followed by the school faculty and staff. Be certain not to undercut these customers' influence on the school safety priorities by stacking the group with large numbers of individuals with a more peripheral interest in the school safety issues.

Be aware that some individuals may have alternative motivations for involvement. Customers may not ordinarily have an opportunity to meet with law enforcement or school officials. Take care to invite individuals with something meaningful to contribute to the discussion and avoid inviting those who may merely want an audience with others in attendance or a chance to promote a cause.

Keep the size of your group manageable; a group of 8–15 people is recommended. Trying to facilitate a discussion of a group larger than this may be challenging.

Tips for Student Involvement

Keep in mind that one or two students do not represent the entire student body. Consider involving students of both genders, multiple racial/ethnic backgrounds, different socioeconomic backgrounds, different grades, and different social groups and school activities—not just the honor role students. Students may not feel completely comfortable participating in a discussion with adult authority figures. The facilitator must devote specific attention to ensuring that the students' voices are heard. You may want to conduct a general survey of students or conduct a student-only focus group prior to the first meeting to garner a larger student perspective.

Tips for Maintaining Momentum

Maintaining momentum for the project is about ownership and communication. If the right customers are involved, they will already have a stake in addressing the school's safety problems. Maintaining their involvement will be easier using the following tips.

- Begin meetings with an icebreaker or fun activity that allows people to get to know each other
- Schedule meetings at the convenience of the customers
- Keep customers informed by group e-mails or periodic meetings
- Do not overload any one person; rather distribute the responsibilities (e.g., one person may design a survey, another may collect school data)
- Send thank-you notes to acknowledge contributions
- Publicize accomplishments in school newsletters or during the morning announcements
- Celebrate small/incremental successes—have a luncheon or an awards ceremony

Step

2

1 *3* *4* *5* *6* *7* *8* *9* *10* *11*

Step 3:

Prepare First Meeting Logistics

✔ Select a day and time that should be most convenient to your selected invitees

✔ Reserve a meeting location for 2 hours

✔ Send an invitation letter, with time, location, and topics for discussion

✔ Confirm attendance with each person invited

✔ Arrange for food and flip charts

See Tool 2:
Sample Invitation
Letter

Things You Need to Know

When selecting a day and time for your meeting, keep in mind that when involving students, you should avoid scheduling the meeting during class time. If the meeting must happen during class, try to arrange it during elective classes. Other possible meeting times include before school, during lunch (and provide lunch), and after school, if transportation is not an issue. Similar scheduling conflicts arise for teachers. Parents may also have difficulty attending a midday meeting due to work obligations. Offering refreshments during the meeting may provide additional incentive for attendees to work the meeting into their schedules.

Tips for Selecting a Meeting Location

When selecting a meeting location within the school building, keep in mind that the meeting will be discussion-based. The meeting space should allow participants to face one another in a circle or around a table to encourage discussion. You will also want to secure a location that permits you to hang flip-chart paper on the walls or blackboard.

Step 4:

Step 4, Part A: Kick Off the First Customer Meeting

✓ Welcome participants to the meeting

✓ Conduct introductions

✓ Circulate a sheet for attendees to provide contact information

✓ Summarize the goals and milestones of the effort

✓ Summarize the goals of the meeting

✓ Establish rules for brainstorming

See Tool 3:
Sample Contact Information Sheet

See Tool 4:
Meeting One Agenda

Hold First Customer Meeting
Step 4 involves a four-part process:

- **Part A:** Kick off the first customer meeting
- **Part B:** Brainstorm and prioritize outcome goals
- **Part C:** Establish outcome measures
- **Part D:** Establish SRO activities

Things You Need to Know

The first customer meeting requires a very participatory process. If resources permit, you may want to consider using a professional facilitator to conduct your meeting. Otherwise, this guide offers tips to help the novice. Follow the steps provided to get the meeting started.

When conducting introductions, ask participants to state their names; and even if participants all know each other well, ask them to comment on what they hope to contribute or to achieve by the meeting.

Ground Rules

Establishing ground rules for brainstorming is the foundation for encouraging participants to contribute their ideas without fear of embarrassment. The more ideas presented—even unconventional ideas—the more likely that a good idea will surface. Here are some suggested ground rules:

- Everyone participates
- Stay on topic
- Go for volume; piggyback on other ideas
- Be creative
- Accept all responses: no discussion, criticism or analytical comment until time is called

Step **4a**

Tips for Facilitation

- Establish clarity about the objectives and goals. Post them on a flip chart and keep them visible.
- Keep the meeting focused on the topic. Do not allow participants to redirect the meeting.
- Remind participants of ground rules when necessary. Enforce the ground rules.
- Watch body language to gauge whether to move on to the next topic, test a suggestion with the group, give a new person the floor, or address conflict.
- Use group problem-solving techniques and handle conflict.
- Involve all participants in discussion. Seat people in a circle or square so that they are facing one another. Call on particularly quiet participants to speak.
- Do not make lengthy comments. Do not be afraid of silence as participants think.
- Lead the discussion and ask questions. Summarize comments and clarify direction. Provide information, not opinion.
- Accept all relevant comments as valid without judging them.
- Help group members listen to one another. As an objective facilitator, restate what is said or ask the speaker for further clarification if the group does not seem to understand a point.
- Conclude the meeting by summarizing decisions made, tasks assigned, and other next steps. If appropriate, select the next meeting date and time.

Step 4, Part B: Brainstorm and Prioritize Outcome Goals

✓ The SRO describes what he or she currently does in the school—a typical day—and states what he or she is trying to accomplish with each activity

✓ Ask the group to identify school safety outcomes that are the primary responsibility of the SRO (rather than someone else in the school) and those that can be achieved within a single school year

Things You Need to Know

What Does the SRO Currently Do?

Allowing the SRO(s) to describe a typical day and his or her routine functions will ensure that the group does not overlook an important contribution by the SRO when establishing the year's priorities. As the SRO explains what he or she is trying to accomplish by performing each task or group of tasks, the outcome of that activity becomes apparent. For example, an SRO may currently spend as much as 2 hours of each school day mentoring troubled students—mediating conflict, encouraging and rewarding positive behavior and achievement, referring students to a professional counselor for services, and engaging parents on particular issues. In this example, the SRO may claim that he or she does these things to reduce repeat offending by those students selected for mentoring.

As outcome goals are set for school safety, consider whether an outcome that the SRO currently focuses on has been overlooked. Make a conscious decision about whether that outcome goal should be included in the list. In this example, consider whether reducing repeat offending by selected students should be a goal for the SRO this year.

Outcomes

Limit your brainstorming about outcomes to school safety goals that are the primary responsibility of the SRO rather than someone else in the school and to those that can be achieved within a single school year. If the group has a goal that is extremely long term, break down that goal incrementally and establish interim goals.

Appropriate outcome goals may include reducing crime or disorder problems, reducing the harms associated with crime or disorder problems, reducing fear of crime, reducing the likelihood of victimization, or increasing the capacity to address safety threats.

Outcome goals should be as specific as possible. List the problem, location, and time. Using the SARA method of problem solving (see Problem-Solving Tips in Step 4, Part D), this stage is referred to as scanning. Here are some possible outcomes:

- Reduce theft from the locker rooms during gym class
- Reduce fights at the main intersection during class changes
- Reduce theft from vehicles in the staff parking lot
- Reduce drug use in the student parking lot during school hours
- Reduce vandalism to the school bathrooms during basketball games
- Reduce the number of students showing gang signs and symbols in the school during school hours
- Increase teachers' knowledge about the signs and symptoms of gang membership
- Reduce students' fear of being bullied in the boys' restrooms during class changes

Step

1 2 3 **4b** 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

✔ Discuss and record
   the outcomes that
   the group would
   like to see the SRO
   accomplish by the
   end of the school
   year
✔ Review whether
   any outcomes
   resulting from
   current activities
   have been
   overlooked and
   decide whether to
   add them to the list
✔ Review the
   outcomes for any
   contractual, legal,
   or policy conflicts
✔ Establish
   consensus on the
   top three or four
   priority outcomes
   for the SRO

What Outcome Goals Are Not Appropriate?

The following sample outcomes are not recommended because they are too long term and all-encompassing:

• Reduce crime on the school grounds
• Reduce violence

Likewise, do not set up the SRO for failure by setting unattainable goals. The following priorities are examples of this problem:

• Eliminate drugs from campus
• Eliminate gangs from campus

Although elimination of all problems from schools is ideal, setting expectations this high may merely serve to frustrate the SRO, not motivate the SRO.

The following sample outcomes are not recommended because they typically are not the primary responsibility of the SRO:

• Improve students' standardized test scores
• Reduce tardiness
• Reduce classroom disruptions

The SRO may contribute to improved test scores by maintaining a safe school environment and reducing student fear of victimization or mentoring at-risk students. The SRO may improve tardiness by suggesting traffic flow changes to the school hallways or parking lot. The SRO may improve student behavior in class through character education. However, other personnel within the school retain the primary responsibility over these goals, namely teachers and administrators.

For some outcomes, the group may be uncertain whether the goal falls primarily to the SRO. These outcomes require a policy decision by the group to determine whether it is appropriate for the SRO to prioritize his or her time working on those goals. For example:

• Reduce fights on school buses
• Improve the traffic ingress and egress during primary drop-off and pick-up times

To help address outcomes that seem unclear or even those that may be outright inappropriate for an SRO, prior to building consensus on the top priorities, the SRO and his or her supervisor must review the outcomes and determine whether any must be removed from consideration because they contradict union contracts, the MOU between the law enforcement agency and school district, or because they defy department or school policies/regulations. For example, if one of the proposed goals is to reduce truancy, but the MOU specifically states that the SRO is not to address issues of truancy, then that outcome should be removed from consideration.

Step **4b**

1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Proposed Outcome Goals Must Be Outcomes, Not Activities

The following suggestions are not appropriate because they are activities, not outcomes:

- Increase the number of classroom presentations
- Increase time spent interacting with students

Classroom presentations can be helpful, for example, teaching students about the consequences of drug use may help reduce or deter drug use. However, if doing the presentations was the goal, rather than reducing student drug use, the SRO may not make full use of the resources available. Perhaps the SRO should teach about the consequences of drug use, as well as target a known drug sale location on school property with Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) solutions,[1] teach teachers the symptoms of drug use, and refer users to substance abuse counselors.

Putting the Outcomes into Context

After the outcomes have all been articulated, narrow the list of priorities to three or four issues. It is important for everyone to understand that by prioritizing three or four issues for the SRO to address, the SRO is not going to ignore a serious incident or discontinue some routine function such as responding to calls for service. Rather, assigning priority to a finite number of concerns motivates the SRO to proactively focus his or her efforts on those issues.

> ## *Tips for Establishing Consensus*
>
> Many methods can be used to develop group consensus. The following is one visual approach that you may use to develop consensus on the priority outcomes.
>
> - List each brainstormed priority outcome on flip-chart paper and post the list of outcomes on the walls/blackboard of the meeting room.
> - Give each meeting participant three colored sticker dots. The color of the dots does not matter as long as they are distributed so that one person's dots cannot be distinguished from those of another.
> - Instruct meeting participants to place their stickers next to the outcomes that they believe are most important to address. Each participant may place one sticker on each of the three most important outcomes to him or her, or may place two or even three stickers on any one outcome to show extreme importance.
> - When everyone is reseated, list the outcomes with the most votes on a new sheet. The number of outcomes selected should be three or four. Review each with the group.
> - If the votes do not result in clear top three or four choices, continue discussing the outcomes and the importance of one over another. Revise the outcomes, if needed, and revote, using modified dot stickers (perhaps drawing a line through them to distinguish the new vote).

✔ Brainstorm on specific ways to assess whether each of the goals is met

✔ Brainstorm about data sources for capturing the measures

✔ Organize the outcome goals into a table with the outcomes as column headers and data sources under each

✔ Engage the deans or others at the school responsible for school data to assess the data's availability and appropriateness; do the same for police data

See Tool 5:
Sample Outcomes Table with Measures

Things You Need to Know

Establishing how you will determine whether the SRO has successfully achieved the outcome clarifies the expectations for the SRO. At least one measure for each outcome must be agreed upon. For example, for the outcome goal of reducing thefts from the locker rooms during gym class, the group may propose one or more of the following measures:

• Reduction in the number of reported thefts from locker rooms during gym class
• Reduction in the value of items reported stolen from locker rooms during gym class
• Increase in students' use of theft prevention strategies (e.g., locks, leaving certain items at home)

The first two measures directly report on the outcome of thefts. The third example may demonstrate interim success and can serve to supplement the findings from the first two measures.

Once measures have been selected for each outcome, data sources for each measure must be established. Relevant and available school data are likely to include attendance, incidents, referrals, and suspensions, and occasionally a school climate survey. Relevant and available law enforcement data may include calls for service, crime reports, arrests, and occasionally a community survey. These sources may need to be supplemented by observation data or by implementing surveys of students, staff, or parents.

Continuing with the example provided, the number of reported thefts in the locker room may be found in school incident data. The value of items reported stolen may be found in police crime report data. To measure the use of theft prevention strategies, a student survey may be helpful.

To expedite brainstorming about data sources, bring copies of blank report forms and codes to the meeting. Involve someone in the customer meeting from the school and the law enforcement agency who works with these data or who is knowledgeable about the data; this will reduce speculation about the availability and format of data.

Tips for Reducing Data Sharing Concerns

Federal policies relating crime and violence in schools to funding and school attendance, in conjunction with the natural tendency to want the school to be viewed as safe, may make school administrators hesitant to share school safety data with parents, students, and even staff. Agreements about data sharing should be established within the group prior to discussing the data. As team members continue to work together, trust and a common purpose will likely ease data sharing concerns. Individual student data, however, should remain confidential.

Step *4c*

Step 4, Part D: Establish SRO Activities

✔ Brainstorm and list activities that the SRO can do to impact each prioritized outcome

✔ Consider activities in the following areas: education, collaboration with others, mentoring students, law enforcement/solving crimes, run/oversee programs, or increase access to the SRO

✔ Discuss and determine whether the activities are appropriate given policy and legal considerations, as well as the SRO's skills and abilities

✔ Prepare a table to display the potential activities under each priority outcome

✔ Set a date for the next meeting, prior to or at the very beginning of the next school year

Things You Need to Know

Refrain from criticizing activities while the group is brainstorming. It would be easy for the SRO or his or her supervisor to feel uncomfortable about some of the proposed activities, especially if he or she has never been asked to do anything like that before. Proposed activities may include:

- Classroom presentations on the effects of alcohol on driving ability and response time
- Newsletter articles on good decision making
- Random locker searches with a drug-sniffing dog
- Mentoring those students repeatedly caught bullying other students
- Organizing a clean-up day to remove graffiti from school bathrooms

Once the ideas have been put on paper, discuss whether the activities are appropriate for the SRO to conduct given policy or legal issues or based on the specific knowledge, skills, and abilities of the SRO. Remove activities that may not be appropriate. The activity list is a guide or resource for the SRO. He or she may not be able to conduct all of them.

Furthermore, under community policing, the problem-solving method requires that considerable information be collected about a specific problem to determine when, where, why, and with whom the problem is occurring in order to establish the root cause(s) of the crime or disorder problem and select a tailored response. Therefore, it may be difficult to brainstorm the most appropriate response until the nature of the problem is further analyzed. The customer group must remain flexible to allow opportunities to implement tailored responses.

Step *4d*

1 2 3 4d 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Problem-Solving Tips: Using the SARA Method

Scanning. Define and describe the problem using the following statement:

(*Victims*) are (*harmed*) by the (*behaviors*) of (*offenders*) at (*places*) at (*times*).[2]

For example: Teachers' and administrators' vehicles are being vandalized by students who are keying them and breaking off antennas in the faculty parking lot immediately after school is out.

Analysis. Ask questions about the problem and answer them with appropriate data sources to gain a thorough understanding of the problem and its root causes. Questions may include:

- What are the specific harms associated with the problem?
- What behaviors are leading to those harms?
- What time of day are they occurring?
- What days of the week are they occurring?
- How often is that behavior occurring?
- What other events are happening in the location during the time that the behavior is happening?
- What conditions are present in the location at that time (e.g., rain/sunshine, school dismissal/lunchtime)?
- What are the characteristics of the offenders?
- What benefits do the offenders receive by conducting the behaviors?
- In which specific locations are the behaviors being conducted?
- What are the characteristics of the victims?
- Why are the victims in that location at that time?
- Is there someone who could intervene and prevent the behaviors, or are there other third parties of interest?

Response. Brainstorm options that address the root causes of the problem and intervene from at least two of the three sides of the problem: victim, offender, and location. A multi-pronged approach will be most successful in the long term.

Assessment. Evaluate whether the responses implemented addressed the problem. In many cases, it may be unlikely that the problem will be eliminated. However, success can be found on a number of levels. Answer the following questions:

- Have the number of incidents been reduced?
- Have the harms associated with the problem been reduced?
- Have the means of handling the problem been improved?
- Has the problem been moved to another location?
- Are the victims/potential victims better equipped to deal with the problem?
- Have the number of related crimes/incidents been reduced?
- Is fear about the problem reduced?[3]

See Tool 6:
Sample Activities Table

See Tool 7:
School Safety Resource List

Step *4d*

1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Prepare for the Second Customer Meeting

✔ Collect school data on the frequency of each type of school incident as well as any other school data on crime and disorder

✔ Collect police calls for service and crime report data for the school address and/or the immediate area during school hours

✔ Review the frequency of the crime or disorder problems prioritized by the group

✔ Highlight the most common crime and disorder problems that were not selected as a priority

✔ Review sample data on the specific measures proposed to be sure they can be collected

✔ Prepare the logistics for the second customer meeting (meeting space, flip chart, refreshments, etc.)

Things You Need to Know

Now that the customer group has had an opportunity to share its perceptions of crime and disorder problems, it is time to gather data about these crime problems. This data will help the customers during the second meeting to compare perceptions about crime with the actual crime data. Some identified problems may not be issues about which data is collected, and so perceptions about the problem may initially be all that can be used. For most crime and disorder problems, however, incident or crime reports will be available. Take a close look at the frequency of the prioritized problems compared with problems not selected as priorities by the group.

Categorize the data by grade level and by gender. Some problems may be targeted (e.g., primarily a problem associated with sixth graders or with boys). If time permits, consider reviewing whether the problem is limited to a small number of repeat offenders, rather than across many students. When compiling the data for the second customer meeting, protect the identities of individual students. Concerns about individual students can be discussed outside of the large group, which is likely to include other students.

Analyze samples of the proposed data sources to be sure that the data can be manipulated appropriately. For example, if one of the proposed measures is the number of students injured as a result of fights at school and the incident form shows that this information is captured, run a report of the data for a sample time frame. Check to see if the deans or others writing the report complete the portion of the report addressing injuries to students. If the information has not been recorded, an alternative data source or measure is necessary.

The logistics for the second customer meeting should mirror those of the first. The same individuals, whenever possible, should be present. Set up the seating in a circle so that group discussion comes naturally. Obtain a room that allows flip-chart paper to be posted on the walls or blackboard. Offer refreshments whenever possible to entice and reward participation.

Step 6:

Conduct Second Customer Meeting

✔ Review meeting purpose: To refine the outcomes

✔ Review priority outcomes agreed upon during the first meeting

✔ Present data findings

✔ Discuss and agree to any changes to priority outcomes

✔ Decide the importance of each priority outcome compared with the others and assign a degree of importance

✔ Estimate the percentage influence that the SRO has on each priority compared with others (e.g., teachers, parents, deans)

✔ If priority outcomes change, establish new data sources and activities

Things You Need to Know

Reassessing Priorities

Although the frequency of a problem does not necessarily determine whether it should be a priority, reviewing this information will help customers make informed choices. For example, you may learn that cell phone thefts from the girls' locker room during gym class are happening more frequently than your prioritized problem of drug sales in the hallways during class changes. Yet, the group may decide that drug sales is a more pervasive problem than thefts, impacting student achievement, truancy levels, students' health issues, and student fear at school.

In some cases, the group may have selected a problem because it received publicity, giving the impression that the problem was widespread. However, upon reviewing the data, customers may realize that the incident was isolated. In this case, reviewing the frequency of the problem may cause the customers to reevaluate priorities. For example, if a student brought a knife to school and subsequently threatened a group of students at lunch, this event may linger in the memories of the customers, generating fear and an impression that the school has a weapons problem. The data may reveal, however, that this was the only weapon recovered last year. Having reviewed the data, the group may decide that although weapons in school is an important issue, they will not ask the SRO to focus his or her time addressing it. Rather, the school will continue to use the school's existing weapons prevention policies and intervention approaches.

Assigning the Degree of Importance

Once the priorities have been finalized, discuss and decide how important each of the outcomes are compared with one another. This will provide further direction to the SRO about where to place his or her emphasis and will allow the outcomes to be integrated into a performance evaluation tool at the end of the process. If the level of importance varies, assign a number of points to each priority so that the total across all priorities equals 100 points. If the customer group places equal importance on each outcome, assign an equal number of points to each. See Table 1.

Estimating Percentage of SRO Influence

Not all outcomes are under the complete control of the SRO. As a group, estimate how much influence the SRO is likely to have over the outcome as a percentage (up to 100% for each outcome). Consider whether other school personnel or school policies also influence the outcome. Table 1 provides an example of a possible conclusion to these discussions.

Step 1 2 3 4 5 **6** 7 8 9 10 11

Table 1

Priority Outcome	Assigned Degree of Importance	Perceived % Influence by the SRO
Thefts in the locker room	20	65%
Fear of being beaten up	30	80%
Drug use in the parking lot	40	50%
Teachers' knowledge of gang signs and symptoms	10	90%
TOTAL	100	

For more information about how this process may translate into a performance evaluation tool, see Step 11.

Finalizing the Activity List

Summarize the proposed activities to the group so that the customers and the SRO can visualize the expected emphasis for his or her activities. Do the customers expect the SRO to spend much time in the classroom? Is the SRO being asked to run a number of school programs? Is the SRO expected to implement a problem solving initiative involving students and staff? Articulating the major activities will help the SRO and his or her supervisor identify training needs or areas that the SRO may require mentoring and support to try the approaches proposed by the customers. As with the outcomes, the SRO and his or her supervisor must identify any activities that the SRO cannot conduct because of legal or policy constraints.

Establishing the Implementation Plan

Decide when the SRO will begin implementing the proposed activities (after collecting baseline data), how often the SRO and supervisor will meet to assess progress, how frequently the group will communicate and by what means, and with whom and how baseline data will be shared. Also, delegate tasks (i.e., survey design and implementation, creating the SRO activity log) and timelines. If one person must do most of the tasks, this effort may prove overwhelming.

Step *1 2 3 4 5* **6** *7 8 9 10 11*

Step 7:

Collect Baseline Data

✔ Conduct systematic observations as needed

✔ Draft and conduct any necessary environmental surveys

✔ Draft any necessary student/staff/parent surveys

✔ Pre-test surveys

✔ Implement the surveys to a representative sample of students/staff/ parents before initiating the recommended activities

✔ Review survey data and any archival data for the previous school year to better understand the nature of the prioritized problems

✔ SRO and his or her supervisor discuss specific targets for each outcome goal

✔ Create a new SRO activity log, or adjust an existing one, to track activities

Things You Need to Know

Outcome Data

Consider using creative means such as surveys of students, school personnel, and parents; observations; or logs kept by the SRO, deans, or principals to collect data that is not routinely available through school or law enforcement databases. For example, ask students to self-report bullying in a survey. Have an SRO or other trained observer observe students in the hallways, over time, to record the frequency of seeing gang symbols or hand signs. Catalogue photos of vandalism and their dates and locations.

The baseline data should be shared with the SRO, SRO supervisor, and school administrator. The more information known about the crime and disorder problems, the more likely tailored and appropriate responses can be implemented. Also, by knowing the baseline standards, the SRO and his or her supervisor can meet and discuss specific targets for each outcome. For example, if the number of reported thefts from locker rooms was 29 last year, the SRO and his or her supervisor may agree that the SRO should aim to reduce those thefts by 20% or by 6 thefts.

Activity Tracking

One of the more challenging aspects of this process is tracking the SRO's activities. Although some jurisdictions routinely collect some activity data such as arrests and perhaps classroom presentations, the SRO should collect more detail about his or her efforts. Activity logs must be sufficiently detailed to reflect the breadth of the SRO's efforts, but not so detailed and cumbersome that the SRO spends as much time documenting activities as he or she spends implementing them. The level of detail included should be determined jointly by the SRO and supervisor with these issues in mind.

At the end of the school year, when customers reconvene to learn whether the SRO was successful in his or her attempts to address the prioritized problems, knowing the level of effort and types of activities conducted will be critical to course adjustment discussions and to tempering concerns over unchanged outcomes. For instance, if the SRO conducts the activities recommended by the customers and does them well and with reasonable frequency, any failure to fully achieve the outcomes must be jointly borne by the customers who perhaps had proposed ineffective responses to the problems. Knowing what activities were associated with a change in the outcome and those that were not will help to inform recommendations for the next school year.

Tips for Survey Development

Whenever possible, someone with research methods expertise should help design and implement surveys. Determine whether one or more of the customers brings this expertise to the team or seek help from a local university. The following tips will help you design and develop your survey.

• Maintain anonymity of the respondents, unless you plan to link individual survey responses from one period to the next.
• If cost-efficient and feasible, implement an electronic survey to minimize data entry errors.
• Provide simple and clear instructions at the beginning of the survey. Thank the respondents for their time and effort at the end of the survey.
• Questions must be written at the age/cognitive development level of the respondents.
• If respondents do not speak English well, translate the survey into the appropriate language. Likewise, make accommodations for those with disabilities.
• Put the least sensitive questions in the front of the survey and the most sensitive toward the end.
• Do not incorporate two ideas into the same question.
• Obtain general demographic information from respondents (e.g., grade level, gender), as long as obtaining this information does not endanger confidentiality.
• Include time frames in questions. Be specific about when events occurred (e.g., "During the past school year, were you…" instead of "Have you ever…")
• Consider asking how many times something occurred within a time frame, if appropriate.
• Questions about attitudes or impressions (e.g., "How fearful are you about…") are easier to capture with a range of possible answers (e.g., "Not at all," "Occasionally," "Sometimes," "Often," "All the time").
• Because people naturally gravitate toward the middle of a scale, include more than three potential responses when using likert or rating scales.
• When providing a range of answers, provide descriptors for the numbers (e.g., 1 = Extremely unsafe, 2 = Mostly unsafe, 3 = Somewhat safe, 4 = Mostly safe, 5 = Extremely safe). Surveys are often designed so that the higher the number, the more positive the response.
• Include all possible answers (e.g., "Yes," "No," "Do not know," "Not applicable")
• Include at least one open-ended question or comment section to allow respondents to clarify or offer details.
• Pretest the survey on a small group of individuals similar to those who will complete the final survey. Ask the pretest group to provide feedback on the survey, addressing clarity of the questions and completeness of possible responses. Analyzing this data may further demonstrate whether something is missing or does not obtain the data anticipated. Make adjustments to the survey as needed.

See Tool 9:
Sample Survey Questions

See Tool 10:
Sample Activity Log

Step

1 2 3 4 5 6 **7** 8 9 10 11

Tips for Obtaining a Representative Survey Sample

If you are trying to determine what the eighth grade students learned about the health and legal consequences of drug use over the last school year, surveying all eighth graders is not necessary. In fact, trying to survey everyone may be too costly or time consuming. Sending the survey to a sample of the population you want to learn about will reduce costs and save analysis time. Consider seeking assistance from someone with research methods expertise to help select a representative sample. The following sampling tips will help you obtain a representative sample.

1. Obtain a complete list of everyone in the target population.
2. Use a sample size calculator to determine the sample size.
3. Because the response to surveys is frequently less than researchers anticipate, include more people in the sample than required by the sample size calculator.
4. Select the sample so that everyone in the target population has an equal chance of being selected (random assignment).
5. Remove barriers to and provide incentives for completion of the survey.
6. When analyzing the data, compare known characteristics of the sample (e.g., gender, grade, race) with those of the population to determine whether the sample appears representative of the population on these characteristics.

Table 2

Population	Sample Size
100	49
200	65
300	73
400	78
500	81
750	85
1,000	88
1,500	90
2,000	92
2,500	93

Table 2 lists appropriate sample sizes, assuming that those individuals taking the survey are selected randomly and not based on a particular characteristic such as participation in an elective or club. This table also assumes 100% response. Remember to oversample to obtain the necessary number of completed surveys.

For example, if the school has 100 eighth grade students and all of them are expected to learn about the consequences of drug use, the eighth graders sampled should include students from different eighth grade classes, different genders, and different grade-point averages. This may be achieved by surveying eighth graders during study hall or passing out the survey to every other eighth grader during homeroom—as long as dissemination of the survey is random and not based on a factor that may influence the findings of the survey. You will need to ensure at least 49 students complete the survey.

Using the sample sizes listed in Table 2, you can be 95% confident that what you learn from the sample reflects the whole population. The results have a measure of error of 10, which means that any findings could vary in the population by plus or minus 10% from the sample. Other sample sizes and samples with different requirements for how precise they must be can be calculated with a sample size calculator, which can be found on the Internet or in statistics texts.

Step

1 2 3 4 5 6 **7** 8 9 10 11

Step 8:

SRO Implements Activities

✓ SRO prepares lesson plans, initiates programs, convenes problem solving group, attends training, etc., as needed

✓ SRO continually records his or her activities

✓ Periodically obtain and review school/police data about the outcomes being addressed

✓ Monthly or every other month, SRO and supervisor discuss progress and needs

✓ At least once during the year, the customer group should meet to discuss the efforts implemented, support needed, or needed course changes

See Tool 11:
SRO/Supervisor
Discussion
Questions

Things You Need to Know

SRO/Supervisor Communication

The outcome-oriented performance evaluation process works best for and rewards personally motivated SROs. The process works well for those with substantial support and mentoring from supervisors. To ensure that the SRO remains motivated to implement and track activities, law enforcement agencies that enter into this process will benefit by instituting checkpoints of the SRO's progress.

In addition to the SRO supervisor reviewing the activity logs, it is recommended that the SRO and his or her supervisor meet monthly or every other month to discuss:

• Which outcomes he or she is currently addressing
• Activities undertaken since the last discussion
• Whether any activities or approaches have proved helpful or successful in addressing the outcomes
• Whether available school data show any incremental improvement being made on the outcomes
• Whether an event in the school, city, county, or law enforcement agency has impacted the SRO's ability to impact the outcomes or prevent, change, or limit his or her ability to conduct activities in support of the outcomes
• Whether course corrections are needed
• Whether the SRO requires further training or other types of resources

Communication with Customers

Having at least one customer meeting and/or other means of communicating with customers during the school year will maintain customer interest and improve the SRO's opportunity to implement collaborative efforts. The customers have already established themselves as stakeholders in school safety and in the prioritized crime or disorder problems. The customers would likely welcome the opportunity to assist the SRO in addressing these problems.

However, if the customers hear nothing until a meeting at the end of the year, and if the outcomes show no improvement, they may be more critical of the SRO, feel less ownership in the school problems, and be less likely to continue to participate in future safety planning efforts.

Step

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 **8** 9 10 11

Tips: What to Do If the SRO Is Replaced by a New SRO

The outcome-oriented performance evaluation process benefits the SRO, as well as the school and those with a stake in school safety. So, even if the SRO who participated in the initial meetings of customers is replaced by a new SRO, the process should continue. In fact, the priority outcomes can provide direction to the new SRO and diminish his or her learning curve about the SRO role and expectations at the school. Ideally, the SRO being promoted or leaving the school for other reasons should introduce the replacement SRO to the customers and others in the school, provide documentation about the priority outcomes and recommended activities, and give a status update on what has been done and accomplished to date. The SRO supervisor should brief the new SRO on the supervisor's role in providing support and mentoring toward accomplishing the outcome goals.

When collecting the follow-up data (Step 9), whenever possible, distinguish between the time frames served by the former SRO and the replacement SRO. Different accomplishments will thus be attributed to each SRO. Activities should be tracked separately—those performed by the former SRO and those performed by the replacement SRO.

Step

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 *8* 9 10 11

Step 9:

Collect and Analyze Follow-up Data

✔ Close to the end of the year, collect the same data collected during baseline data collection

✔ Collect school data on the frequency of each type of school incident

✔ Collect police calls for service and crime report data for the school address and, if appropriate, the immediate area during school hours

✔ Analyze the data

✔ Compare the priority outcome data with police and school data about other crime and disorder problems

✔ Prepare a report with the outcome, outcome results, and activities implemented in support of the outcome

See Tool 12:
Sample Report of Priorities and Activities

Things You Need to Know

Follow-up data should be collected using the same methods for collecting baseline data. If a student survey was the means of establishing the prevalence of bullying or fear of gang violence, a follow-up student survey with those same questions should be used. If a trained observer spent 2 weeks observing the student parking lot to document illegally parked cars, observation of the student parking lot should be used during the follow-up data collection.

Time frames must also be comparable. For example, if the SRO started working on the priorities in September and the follow-up data is being collected from police or school databases in May of the same school year, baseline data from September through May should be used to compare whether any change has occurred.

This approach also applies to surveys. Follow-up surveys should use like time frames so that you are comparing the frequency of bullying in the prior school year to the frequency of bullying in the current school year. If the baseline survey limited respondents to events "in the last 3 months," the follow-up survey should do the same.

It is possible that systems of data tracking change. When collecting follow-up data, be sure to work closely with the school and/or police person who codes or enters the data into the system to determine whether definitions of crime problems or means of categorizing incidents have changed since collection of the baseline data.

Some accomplishments are difficult to describe fully using empirical data. If anecdotal information is available on the accomplishments of the SRO—perhaps a student's letter of thanks to the SRO or an interview with a student mentored by the SRO—include these findings on the report as well.

Step

9

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Tips for Data Analysis

Whenever possible, someone with research methods expertise should perform the data analysis. You may wish to collaborate with a high school math teacher or a crime analyst from the law enforcement agency who has expertise in conducting data analysis to help with your analysis. Here are some tips to help conduct and present data analyses.

- **Learn and describe the strengths and weaknesses of your data sources; include caveats.** Data sources are never perfect, but good analyses identify the extent of their strengths and weaknesses. For example, school or law enforcement records systems may change during the course of your effort. In addition, surveys are rarely completed by more than 70% of the targeted population. Knowing these characteristics and reporting them as part of the analysis improves the quality of the analysis. Caveats may include restricting conclusions to a segment of the population or only to the sample, depending on the limitations for the data.
- **Describe all of the data.** Simple descriptions of all the responses can provide insight into what the data mean. It is useful to report the baseline data such as school crime and disorder data or responses to baseline surveys of students, faculty, etc., as well as differences between groups, before and after the SRO's activities are implemented.
- **Report "missing" data.** Remember that missing records, surveys not completed, missing data on items within surveys, and responses like "Do not know" are important data and should be included in your analyses.
- **Report numbers and percentages.** Whenever numbers or percentages are used, it is preferable to report both of them. Many analyses appropriately use subsamples of data, but it is not always clear to those reading the analysis how large the subsample is. Whenever a percentage is reported, it should be clear what number of cases represent 100%.
- **Report tables and graphs.** For most people, graphs clearly convey the nature of a data analysis. Tables report the important descriptive and analytical details. Good analyses tend to use both.
- **Draw conclusions.** Every analysis should have at least one conclusion. All data analyses should result in a substantively meaningful statement of what the findings of the study are and what that means for the operation of the program studied. The conclusions of data analyses are rarely self-evident. Describe, from the data, how you came to the conclusion. For example, an analysis of the value of items stolen reveals that the average value of items stolen last year was $60. The average value of items stolen this year was $56. A determination must be made regarding whether the $4 difference is sufficient to conclude that the value of items stolen has been reduced. This represents a 7% reduction, yet a $4 reduction may not be statistically different when applying a chi square test[4] or other statistical analysis.

Step

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 **9** 10 11

Step 10:

Convene the Last Customer Meeting of the Year

✔ Prepare logistics for a customer meeting

✔ Discuss the findings from the data collection activities

✔ Compare the outcome findings to other crime and disorder data for the year

✔ Acknowledge the contributions and work of the SRO and others

✔ Celebrate those outcomes for which the SRO was successful

✔ Brainstorm about why some outcomes may not have been achieved and what can be done differently

✔ Review the estimated percentage influence of the SRO for each outcome and adjust it, if needed

✔ Use outcome and incident data to set new priorities for next year

Things You Need to Know

The facilitator of the final customer meeting for the school year is responsible for keeping the meeting productive and must be sensitive to the fact that the SRO is opening him- or herself up to sharing both successes and shortcomings. Either the facilitator or the SRO should present the outcome findings and the efforts that the SRO put forth to work on the goals. An example follows.

Outcome Goal

Reduce bullying.

Finding

A student survey revealed that fewer seventh graders had been victims of name-calling and threats by other students. However, a significantly higher proportion of sixth graders reported being victims of name-calling this year than during the previous school year.

Activities

Officer Smith's efforts to reduce bullying included teaching the G.R.E.A.T. (Gang Resistance Education and Training) program[5] to seventh graders, instituting a peer-mediation initiative for all students, hanging anti-bullying posters in the cafeteria, and mentoring bullies referred to Officer Smith by the deans.

In this example, the group should acknowledge the SRO's hard work and the partial success—reducing bullying of seventh graders. The group should then discuss why sixth graders reported increased name-calling. Did something change at school that could have led to this problem? Is there a different approach the SRO should use to address sixth graders? Is the problem likely to continue if no additional intervention occurs?

Reassessing the SRO's Influence on the Problem

This discussion provides a basis for the group to reassess whether the estimated percentage of influence by the SRO on each outcome (from the second meeting) is an appropriate estimate. Consider whether factors may have intervened during the school year to impact the ability of the SRO to influence the outcome. For example, let's say that the outcome goal had been to reduce vandalism at the McDonald's restaurant across from the school during the lunch hour by students. However, during the school year, by no action of the SRO, the campus changed from an open-campus during lunch to a closed-campus during lunch. The amount of influence by the SRO on vandalism at the McDonald's restaurant during lunch has been dramatically reduced. Rather, the larger influence is the change in policy. As such, the percentage influence for this outcome may originally have been estimated at 85%, and it may now be adjusted to 35%. This estimate is important for the integration of the outcome findings into a performance evaluation (see Step 11).

Step

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 **10** 11

Setting Priorities for Next Year

While the customer group is convened, use this opportunity to establish the SRO's priorities for the next school year. You may choose to keep some of the same priorities, or if the problems were effectively addressed, establish new priorities.

Review the incident level data for the school. Assess any other sources of information as well—perhaps a school climate survey was conducted. As at the second meeting of the customers (see Step 6), use this data to brainstorm and agree to the school safety priorities for the next school year. Establish measures of success for these priority outcomes. Brainstorm and recommend activities that the SRO may undertake to achieve the priority outcomes. Consider the SRO's role as educator, problem-solver and law enforcement specialist and how these functions can support the school safety priorities.

See Tool 13:
Agenda for Last Customer Meeting for the School Year

Step

1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   **10**   11

Step 11:

Integrate the Results into Performance Evaluation

✔ Recall the degree of importance of each outcome determined in the second meeting

✔ Recall the estimated percentage influence by the SRO reassessed, as needed, during the last customer meeting

✔ Multiply the degree of importance times the percentage influence for each outcome to get the number of points possible

✔ Note whether the SRO achieved each outcome, partially achieved it, no change occurred, or the outcome worsened

✔ Assess the SRO's level of effort toward achieving each outcome

Things You Need to Know

Dealing with Skepticism About Outcomes in Evaluations

When implementing community policing in schools, the goals and the activities implemented to achieve them are a shared venture between the SRO, school administrators, faculty and staff, students, parents, and others. The SRO is not single-handedly responsible for attaining the outcome goals. Although the SRO and the supervisor may embrace the concept of setting school safety goals with customers and implementing activities to achieve them and even measuring whether the goals were met, if the SRO has never before been evaluated based on his or her accomplishments, he or she is likely to be skeptical of incorporating this into the performance evaluation.

The use of SRO performance evaluation varies across law enforcement agencies. Some agencies use performance evaluation to make decisions about raises, transfers, and promotion, whereas others consider evaluation a professional development tool. The more consequences attached to evaluation, the more likely the SRO (and labor unions) will be skeptical of integrating crime and disorder outcomes into the performance evaluation process.

Yet, incorporating outcome goals into performance evaluation is not uncommon in law enforcement agencies and in schools. Many departments have implemented COMSTAT[6] or a similar system of holding command staff responsible for crime statistics within their geographic area of responsibility. Furthermore, many school personnel are accountable to test scores and other outcome measures for their performance evaluations. Holding SROs accountable to achieving school safety goals not only better aligns the SRO's activities to get results, but the SRO will be evaluated more like his or her commanders and school peers.

What Factors Does this Evaluation System Address?

The system presented here for integrating outcomes into evaluation is just one possible system. This system recognizes that although customers were instructed to select outcome goals for which the SRO was the primary or lead person responsible for those outcomes, the SRO is not able to accomplish them alone. This sample system also recognizes that although getting results is the ultimate goal, acknowledging the degree of effort put forth by the SRO is also important.

How Does this Evaluation System Work?

The process began in Step 6, when the customer group assigned a degree of importance to each outcome and estimated the percentage influence that the SRO could have on the outcome, compared with others in the school. It was further refined in Step 10, when the customer group reassessed the estimated influence that the SRO could have on each outcome. Now that the activities have been implemented and the outcome data collected and analyzed, the findings can

Step

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 *11*

See Tool 14:
Tables to Integrate Outcomes into Evaluation

See Tool 15:
Contact List for Further Information

be incorporated into a personnel evaluation system. The system proposed here can be used to replace the existing SRO evaluation system or supplement certain components of the existing evaluation system.

What Do I Do Now?

Tables 3–7 illustrate how the SRO's ability to achieve goals may be translated into points on an evaluation form. The goals, degree of importance, percentage of influence, and percentage points allocated for outcome achievement and effort are merely offered as examples. These factors will vary with each school and each SRO. Table 3 demonstrates what was decided during Step 6 (with possible adjustments to the estimated influence during Step 10) and how these decisions translate into possible points allocated for each outcome.

Table 3

Priority Outcome	Assigned Degree of Importance	Estimated % Influence by the SRO	Points Allocated to the Achievement of the Outcome
Thefts in the locker room	20	65%	20 x .65 = 13
Fear of being beaten up	30	80%	30 x .80 = 24
Drug use in the parking lot	40	50%	40 x .50 = 20
Teachers' knowledge of gang signs and symptoms	10	90%	10 x .90 = 9
TOTAL	100		66 possible points

After the final customer meeting for the school year, the SRO and his or her supervisor should note whether the SRO achieved the outcome, achieved partial success, or whether no change or a change for the worse occurred on the outcome. Each of these levels of accomplishment should be assigned a percentage value to specify the proportion of points that will be achieved, out of the possible points for that outcome. A possible scale is offered in Table 4. Any 100% scale can be developed for this purpose. In this case, gaining 100% of the points necessitates achieving the outcome. If a partial success is achieved, this is still a substantial accomplishment, and so 80% is awarded. Because maintaining status quo may require some, but not much influence by the SRO, no change is awarded 60% of the points. When the outcome becomes worse, despite the SRO efforts, on this scale, no points are awarded.

Table 4

Outcome Finding	% of Points to Award for Outcome Achievement
Achieved outcome	100%
Partially achieved the outcome	80%
No change on the outcome	60%
Outcome changes for the worse	0%

Step

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 *11*

Continuing with the example, Table 5 summarizes the results of the outcome.

Table 5

Priority Outcome	Result	Finding	% Points Awarded
Thefts in the locker room	Number of thefts and value of items stolen did not change	No change	60%
Fear of being beaten up	Number of fights in the bathroom reduced	Outcome achieved	100%
Drug use in the parking lot	No change in the number of referrals or police reports for drug use, but students reported an increased understanding of the health and legal consequences of drug use	Partial success	80%
Teachers' knowledge of gang signs and symptoms	Teachers reported an increased understanding of the gang signs and symptoms	Outcome achieved	100%

Next, assess the level of effort that the SRO put forth to work on each outcome. The supervisor, SRO and SRO supervisor together, or the customer group may provide input on this evaluation. Because the emphasis of this process is on getting results, the "bonus points" to be awarded for effort are minimal. Although the scales offered here are merely illustrations, law enforcement agencies are cautioned that granting too many points for effort diminishes the emphasis of the evaluation on achieving results.

Table 6 offers a possible breakdown for level of effort. These additional percentage points boost the evaluation for an SRO who provided superior effort toward addressing an outcome, but despite that effort, failed to produce strong results. Likewise, these additional points curtail

Table 6

Assess the SRO's Level of Effort on Each Outcome	Bonus % Points for Effort
Superior effort	+ 10%
Good effort	+ 5%
Average effort	0%
Below average effort	– 5%
No effort	– 10%

the points awarded to an SRO who contributed little to no effort, but despite the lack of effort, the outcome showed improvement. SRO supervisors are encouraged to discuss their level-of-effort expectations at the beginning of the school year with the SRO so that the SRO clearly understands the difference between superior effort, good effort, average effort, below average effort, and no effort.

Step

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 *11*

Table 7 demonstrates how to combine these scores to get a total score for each outcome and an overall score.

Table 7

Priority Outcome	Points Possible (Table 3)	Outcome Finding % (Table 4)	Bonus for Effort (Table 6)	Points Awarded (Points Possible x [Outcome Finding % + Bonus % for Effort])
Thefts in the locker room	13	No change (60%)	No effort (–10%)	13 x (60% – 10%) = 6.5 points
Fear of being beaten up	24	Outcome achieved (100%)	Superior (+10%)	24 x (100% + 10%) = 26.4 points
Drug use in the parking lot	20	Partial success (80%)	Good (+5%)	20 x (80% + 5%) = 17 points
Teachers' knowledge of gang signs and symptoms	9	Outcome achieved (100%)	Average (0%)	9 x (100% + 0%) = 9 points
TOTAL	66 points possible			58.9 points earned ÷ 66 points possible = 89%

What does an overall score of 89% mean for the SRO's performance evaluation?

Law enforcement agencies could use the traditional scales used in public schools:

90-100% = **A**
80-89% = **B**
70-79% = **C**
60-69% = **D**
Below 60 = **F**

An alternative is to assign performance labels to this same scale. For example:

90-100% = **Superior**
80-89% = **Good**
70-79% = **Average**
60-69% = **Below average**
Below 60%= **Unacceptable**

In this example, the SRO would receive a **"B"** or a **"Good"** rating.

Tips for Schools with Multiple SROs

Some schools operate with more than one SRO. The outcome-oriented performance evaluation process works for schools with multiple SROs and schools with one SRO. Schools with multiple SROs may choose to divide the responsibility for outcomes across the SROs, assigning different goals to each SRO. For individual performance evaluation, this option provides the easiest solution with the most direct lines of responsibility. Each SRO can be held accountable to a designated subset of the outcomes. However, if outcomes are not designated to specific SROs, the evaluation system looks more like a team-based evaluation system. A team-based system allows SROs to contribute their varying knowledge, skills, and abilities and may result in a more diverse set of activities implemented. However, you will not be able to distinguish between the effects of the activities of one SRO on an outcome against that of another. The only distinguishing factor in this case will be the evaluation of the level of effort applied to each outcome by each SRO. The team-based system is similar to an SRO program evaluation specific to that school.

Step

1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10 **11**

Endnotes

[1] Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design, or CPTED, uses natural access control, natural surveillance, and territorial reinforcement to reduce and prevent crime in a defined geographic area.

[2] Police Executive Research Forum (Jan. 1997). *Addressing Community Gang Problems: A Model for Problem Solving.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance.

[3] Schmerler, K.; Perkins, M.; Phillips, S.; Rinehart, T.; and Townsend, M. (1998). *Problem Solving Tips: A Guide to Reducing Crime and Disorder Through Problem-Solving Partnerships.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

[4] A chi square test determines whether a statistically significant difference between two or more samples exists. This test compares frequencies obtained from a survey or other data source to the frequencies that would be expected if no difference existed.

[5] The G.R.E.A.T. Program teaches students life skills, such as decision-making, communication, conflict resolution and peer pressure resistance, to prevent youth involvement in crime, violence and gangs.

[6] COMSTAT (computer statistics) is a management tool that was first implemented by the New York City Police Department under former Police Commissioner William Bratton to hold command staff publicly accountable to crime statistics and has been replicated in many other law enforcement agencies.

The Tools

Tool 1: Instructions for Creating
a Memorandum of Understanding

Developing and implementing an outcome-oriented SRO performance evaluation requires that law enforcement and school personnel collaborate to improve school safety. A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is an agreement between the law enforcement agency and the school that facilitates collaboration by defining the roles and responsibilities of the individuals and the organizations involved in the effort.

The MOU should address the following issues:

- **Collaboration objectives** that outline the purpose of the collaboration
- **Roles and responsibilities** of the individuals and organizations participating in the effort
- **Data sharing parameters** that detail which data will or will not be shared among the individuals and agencies participating in the effort, and how data will be shared
- **A communication strategy** outlining how project information will be communicated to and between the collaboration partners
- **A timetable** with major project milestones and dates

The MOU should be developed collaboratively by school and law enforcement representatives. It should be signed by the chief or sheriff of the law enforcement agency and the principal of the participating school. Furthermore, all collaboration participants should be familiar with the specifics of the MOU.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Outcome-Oriented School Resource Officer Performance Evaluation Memorandum of Understanding Between Apple Valley Police Department and Apple Valley High School

The **Apple Valley Police Department** (referred to hereinafter as the "P.D.") and **Apple Valley High School** (referred to hereinafter as the "School") hereby enter into a Memorandum of Understanding for the development and implementation of an outcome-oriented performance evaluation process for the School Resource Officer (SRO) working in the School.

Collaboration Objectives

- To involve the SRO, SRO supervisor, school administrators, and customers of the SRO to set school safety goals for the School and brainstorm about activities the SRO can do to achieve these goals
- To develop outcome-oriented, school-specific SRO performance evaluation measures
- To monitor the activities of the SRO to ensure that activities lead to the desired outcomes
- To assess whether the SRO achieves the expected results
- To integrate the outcome goals into the SRO's performance evaluation
- To use the findings to improve school safety in future years

Roles and Responsibilities

The P.D. is committed to involving the SRO, the SRO's supervisor, school staff, students, parents, and others with an interest in safety at the School in setting school safety goals for the SRO to strive to achieve through his/her role as educator, problem-solver and law enforcement/safety specialist. The P.D. will support the project and team members by committing the SRO to participation and an SRO supervisor to oversee the effort, providing relevant police data, assisting in the design and implementation of any data collection instruments and data analyses, providing meeting space as needed, and donating refreshments for three meetings. The findings from this process will be incorporated into the SRO's performance evaluation.

The School is committed to involving the SRO, SRO supervisor, school staff, students, parents, and others with an interest in safety at the School in setting school safety goals for the SRO to strive to achieve. The school will support the project and team members by committing an assistant principal to coordinate the school resources, providing relevant school data, assisting in the design and implementation of any data collection instruments and data analyses, providing meeting space, providing supplies such as paper and flip charts, and donating refreshments for at least one meeting.

Team Leader: The Team Leader for this project will be _____ (SRO supervisor). The Team Leader will act as primary liaison and communicator with the Core Group and the Customer Team Members.

Core Group: Officer _____ (SRO), Sergeant _____ (SRO supervisor), and _____ (Assistant Principal) will serve as the Core Group. The Core Group will act as champions to the project, oversee project direction, conduct initial outreach with Customer Team Members, and assist with data collection and analysis efforts as needed.

Customer Team Members: Customer Team Members may include parents, students, school administrators, teachers, school counselors, deans of students, custodians and other school staff or others with a vested interest in safety at the School. They will be selected by the Core Group and are school safety customers of the SRO. Also included are representatives from both the P.D. and the School that can provide expertise in data collection and analysis. Customer Team Members will participate in at least three customer meetings over the course of the school year and may help with data collection, data analysis, or implementing activities to reduce crime and disorder problems.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Data-Sharing Agreement

Students' privacy rights must be maintained. No individual-identifying data will be revealed to collaboration participants as a group. This agreement includes information that is learned from data-gathering techniques such as surveying and interviews. Any surveys that are conducted will be completed anonymously.

Data findings will be shared at project meetings. The school principal or assistant principal, SRO, and SRO's supervisor will be provided an opportunity to review the data and offer any necessary corrections or caveats before its presentation to the customer group.

Communication Strategy

Monthly conference calls, and when necessary, e-mail exchanges will occur between the Team Leader and the Core Group. Conference calls will address the current project tasks as well as future project tasks. Every effort will be made to review project progress and check progress against the project timetable.

Communication will occur at least quarterly with the Customer Team Members to ensure that members are kept up to date and involved in the project. The customer meetings may serve as members' quarterly updates.

Communication with other communities, such as other schools and law enforcement agencies, will be made on an ad hoc basis. Communication with the media must be reviewed by the Team Leader and approved by the executives of the School and the P.D.

Project Timetable

Major milestones of the project include:

March 2005:	Select Customer Team Members
March 2005:	Prepare initial meeting logistics
March 2005:	Hold first customer team meeting
April 2005:	Prepare for second team meeting
April 2005:	Conduct second customer meeting
May 2005:	Collect baseline data
Sep. 2005–April 2006:	SRO implements activities
May 2006:	Collect follow-up data
June 2006:	Convene last customer meeting for the school year

Signature: _____ Date: _____
                         Chief of Police

Signature: _____ Date: _____
                         School Principal

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 2: Sample Invitation Letter

Date _____

Dear _____ :

The _____ (Law Enforcement Agency) and _____ (School) invite you to participate in a School Resource Officer (SRO) Performance Measures Customer Meeting. The purpose of this meeting is to engage SROs, SRO supervisors, and their customers in a process over the next school year to set school safety priorities for the SRO and to incorporate results and outcomes into the SRO's performance evaluation.

This is a new and exciting effort. Although SROs and other community policing officers serve a wide range of customers and perform expanded roles and responsibilities compared with those traditionally performed by police, performance evaluations do not always reflect these expanded roles, nor have customers been part of the process before now.

Why Do It?
The police department and school seek to better understand the safety needs in the school, from the perspective of those attending and working in the school daily, and plan to tailor the SRO's activities to best meet those needs.

What's in It for You?
As a participant of the SRO Performance Measures Customer Meeting, you have an opportunity to speak for yourself and represent others like you regarding what you want your SRO to do to improve and maintain a safe school environment. This is a chance to shape how we measure success for SROs. We ask that you commit to participating in an initial meeting and at least two others over the next year.

First Customer Meeting Specifics
You are invited to participate in the first customer meeting to be held on _____ (date) from _____ to _____ (time) at _____ (location). Refreshments will be provided. During the meeting, we will discuss the following questions:

1) What school safety goals do you want the SRO (perhaps in partnership with others in the school) to accomplish?
2) What activities might the SRO perform to accomplish the school safety goals?
3) What data or information can we use to determine whether the activities are being performed and the goals are being accomplished?

Please contact me with questions and to confirm your attendance. I can be reached at _____ (phone number) or _____ (e-mail). I look forward to meeting you and working with you throughout this very exciting new project.

Sincere regards,

(Signature of SRO, SRO Supervisor and/or SA who is leading the effort)
(Title)

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.*
Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

46

Tool 3: Sample Contact Information Sheet

Customer Meeting Attendee List

School: _____

Law Enforcement Agency: _____

Date: _____

Contact Information Sheet

Name: **Affiliation** (i.e., parent, student, school administrator, SRO, SRO supervisor, teacher, etc.): **Telephone:** **Preferred time of contact:** **Daytime/Evening** **E-mail:**	**Name:** **Affiliation** (i.e., parent, student, school administrator, SRO, SRO supervisor, teacher, etc.): **Telephone:** **Preferred time of contact:** **Daytime/Evening** **E-mail:**
Name: **Affiliation** (i.e., parent, student, school administrator, SRO, SRO supervisor, teacher, etc.): **Telephone:** **Preferred time of contact:** **Daytime/Evening** **E-mail:**	**Name:** **Affiliation** (i.e., parent, student, school administrator, SRO, SRO supervisor, teacher, etc.): **Telephone:** **Preferred time of contact:** **Daytime/Evening** **E-mail:**
Name: **Affiliation** (i.e., parent, student, school administrator, SRO, SRO supervisor, teacher, etc.): **Telephone:** **Preferred time of contact:** **Daytime/Evening** **E-mail:**	**Name:** **Affiliation** (i.e., parent, student, school administrator, SRO, SRO supervisor, teacher, etc.): **Telephone:** **Preferred time of contact:** **Daytime/Evening** **E-mail:**

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 4: Meeting One Agenda

School Name: _____ **Law Enforcement Agency:** _____

Date: _____

I.   Welcome and introductions

II.   Brief project summary:
- Goals and milestones of the effort
- Goals of the meeting

III.   Establish the rules of brainstorming:
- Everyone participates
- Stay on topic
- Go for volume: Piggyback on other ideas
- Be creative
- Accept all responses: No discussion, criticism, or analytical comment until time is called

IV.   SRO shares what he or she does in a typical day and what he or she is trying to accomplish with each activity.

V.   Discussion: What outcome goals do you want the SRO to accomplish in your school over the course of the school year?

VI.   Organize the ideas, eliminate duplicates, and post them on the walls.

VII.   Recap: Is there anything that you want the SRO to do or to accomplish that has not been mentioned?

VIII.   SRO and supervisor: Do any of the goals conflict with contracts, policies, or other constraints? If so, mark them from the list.

IX.   Prioritize 3–4 outcomes: What is the SRO most directly responsible for and what is most important to you? (See Step 4, Part B: Tips for Establishing Consensus)

X.   Discussion: What measures can tell you whether the goals are accomplished? Identify data sources for each of the measures.

XI.   Discussion: What activities do you anticipate the SRO will undertake to accomplish the goals? Delete any activities from the list that the SRO cannot conduct due to policy or legal constraints.

XII.   Next steps:
- Identify tasks, task doers, and timeline
- Select second meeting date and time

Tool 5: Sample Outcomes Table with Measures

Outcome 1: _____ _____ _____ _____ _____	Outcome 2: _____ _____ _____ _____ _____	Outcome 3: _____ _____ _____ _____ _____	Outcome 4: _____ _____ _____ _____ _____
Measures of Success			

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 5: Sample Outcomes Table with Measures

Outcome 1: Reduce theft from locker rooms during gym class.	*Outcome 2:* Reduce male students' fear of being beaten up in the boys' restrooms during class changes.	*Outcome 3:* Decrease drug use in the student parking lot during school hours.	*Outcome 4:* Increase teachers' knowledge about the signs and symptoms of gang membership.
Measures of Success			
School incident data: Reduction in reported incidents of theft from the locker rooms during gym class hours.	**Survey of male students:** Reduction in reported fear of being beaten up if one uses the boys' restrooms.	**School disciplinary referrals:** Decrease in the number of school referrals of students who are caught using drugs in the parking lot during school.	**Survey of teachers:** Increase in teachers' knowledge of signs and symptoms of gang membership.
Police crime reports: Reduction in the average reported value of items stolen from locker rooms during gym class hours.	**School incident data:** Reduction in the number of reported incidents of fights or assaults in the boys' restrooms.	**Police crime reports:** Decrease in the number of police reports of students using drugs in the parking lot.	
Survey of students: Increase in knowledge of theft prevention strategies for reducing locker room theft.		**Survey of students:** Increase in students' knowledge of the health and legal consequences of drug use in the parking lot.	
Survey of students: Increase in the use of theft prevention strategies (e.g., locks, leaving certain items at home).		**Survey of students:** Decrease in self-reports of drug usage in the student parking lot.	

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 6: Sample Activities Table

	Outcome 1:	Outcome 2:	Outcome 3:	Outcome 4:
Educate				
Increase Access to SRO				
Counsel or Mentor Students				
Interaction with School Personnel				
Enforce Laws/Solve Crime				
Run/Oversee Programs				

Tool 6: Sample Activities Table

	Outcome 1: Reduce theft from locker rooms during gym class.	Outcome 2: Reduce male students' fear of being beaten up in the boys' restrooms during class changes.	Outcome 3: Decrease drug use in the student parking lot during school hours.	Outcome 4: Increase teachers' knowledge about signs and symptoms of gang membership.
Educate	Number of presentations on theft prevention/target hardening to students, teachers, coaches, school staff, etc.	Number of presentations on fighting and bullying and how to reduce victimization. Number of methods (student announcements, articles in newsletters) used to educate students on reducing victimization from fights and bullying.	Number of presentations to students on the health and legal consequences of drugs. Number of presentations to staff on symptoms of drug use. Number of written approaches (school newsletter articles, Web site postings, posters).	Number of in-service trainings for teachers on gang signs and symptoms, number of brochures/materials distributed to teachers.
Increase Access to SRO		Number of office hours kept weekly, number of parent conferences with parents of bullies/perpetrators.	Number of parent conferences for students using/selling drugs.	Create mechanism (e-mail, office hours) for teachers to interact with the SRO on what they observe.
Counsel or Mentor Students	Number of students for whom SRO provides counseling regarding theft.	Number of male students counseled for bullying/fighting. Number of peer mediations facilitated and proportion of students followed up about their well-being.	Number of students referred to professional counselor/substance abuse treatment.	
Interaction with School Personnel	Number of meetings with school personnel (i.e., custodian, gym teacher) regarding theft prevention and issues.		Attendance at school safety committee meetings.	Number of staff that participate with SRO in quarterly meetings with local anti-gang and youth-oriented community associations to work together and learn about the nature of gangs and current trends.
Enforce Laws/Solve Crime	Time spent patrolling locker rooms. Number of theft reports investigated.	Time spent patrolling boys' restroom. Crime prevention through environmental design analysis of bathroom areas.	Number of arrests for drug use/sales in parking lot during school. Amount of time spent patrolling the parking lot during school.	
Run/Oversee Programs	Number of Crime Stoppers announcements/posters/flyers distributed.	Number of students on Varsity Patrol.	Time spent directing problem-solving project with students and staff on drug use in parking lot.	

Tool 7: School Safety Resource List

All of the publications on this list are available in Adobe Acrobat format on the CD-ROM version of this guide. You may also download many of the publications from www.cops.usdoj.gov or call the U.S. Department of Justice Response Center at 1–800–421–6770 to request a copy.

General School-Safety Resources from the COPS Office and Other Government Agencies

- Outcome-Oriented SRO Performance Measures: Learning from a Pilot Study
- Collaboration Toolkit: How to Build, Fix, and Sustain Productive Partnerships
- Creative Partnerships: Supporting Youth, Building Communities
- School COP Software (**www.schoolcopsoftware.com**)
- Guide to Using School COP to Address Student Discipline Problems
- Problem-Solving Tips: A Guide to Reducing Crime and Disorder through Problem-Solving Partnerships
- Using Analysis for Problem-Solving: A Guide for Law Enforcement
- Assessing Responses to Problems: An Introductory Guide for Police Problem-Solvers
- Early Warning, Timely Response: A Guide to Safe Schools
- Risk and Protective Factors of Child Delinquency

Problem-Specific Resources

- Bullying in Schools: Problem-Oriented Guide No. 12
- Addressing the Problem of Juvenile Bullying
- A Parent's Quick Reference Card: Recognizing and Preventing Gang Involvement
- Graffiti: Problem-Oriented Guide No. 9
- Disorderly Youth in Public Places: Problem Oriented Guide No. 6
- Underage Drinking: Problem-Oriented Guide No. 27
- Theft of and From Cars in Parking Facilities: Problem-Oriented Guide No. 10

Other Helpful CD-ROM Resources from the COPS Office

You may obtain the following CD-ROMs by contacting the Department of Justice Response Center at 1–800–421–6770.

School Safety (2005)
Contains 8 COPS school safety and youth violence publications, over 30 links to other government publications, and links to school safety related documents and resources.

Problem-Oriented Guides for Police (2004)
Contains 30 problem-specific guides addressing topics from acquaintance rape of college students to underage drinking.

Community Policing for America's Future: National Community Policing Conference (2004)
Contains conference proceedings and materials from the National Community Policing Conference hosted by the COPS Office in cooperation with the Community Policing Consortium.

Community Policing in Action (2003)
Contains the video "Community Policing at Work" and 7 helpful resources about community policing, problem solving, schools, ethics, technology, homeland security issues, as well as promising practices from the field.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 8: Meeting Two Agenda

School Name: _____   Law Enforcement Agency: _____

Date: _____

I.   Welcome

II.   Review the meeting purpose: Refine the priority outcomes and activities.

III.   Review the priorities agreed to during Meeting 1 and the types of activities the SRO may do.

IV.   Review data findings: Identify the high-frequency types of incidents and how the prioritized incidents compare in frequency.

V.   Discuss and agree to any changes to priorities.

VI.   If priorities change, establish new data sources and activities.

VII.   Decide the importance of each priority outcome compared with the others and assign a degree of importance so that the sum of priorities equals 100.

VIII.   Estimate the percentage influence that the SRO has on each priority compared with other people (e.g., teachers. parents, deans).

IX.   Review and finalize outcome measures and data sources.
- Is the data feasible to collect?
- Does the data accurately measure whether or to what degree the goal is met?

X.   Summarize activities expected of the SRO.

XI.   Assign tasks:
- Draft survey
- Implement survey
- Analyze survey findings
- Gather baseline data
- Create activity log
- Set timelines and points of contact

XII.   Establish a plan for implementation:
- Timing of SRO activities (after collecting baseline data)
- Frequency and mode of communication and feedback with customer group
- Means of sharing survey and baseline data findings and with whom they will be shared
- SRO/Supervisor progress meetings

Tool 9: Sample Survey Questions

A survey may be used to gauge individuals' perceptions, attitudes, or behaviors. A survey is particularly helpful when routine data is not captured about the topic or if a particular crime or disorder problem is underreported in official data or is difficult to detect. The following are sample survey questions for students, school faculty and staff, and parents that can be adapted for your specific outcome goals. This is not an exhaustive list.

Most often, surveys are administered prior to the start of the implementation period. The same survey is readministered at the end of the period to show changes that may have occurred. You may use the wide range of sample questions below as a starting point to design your survey(s). However, you must tailor your survey questions to fit your specific outcomes and population. Please review the tips for survey development in Step 7 before designing your surveys.

General Questions for Students or Faculty and Staff

Interaction with SRO

Do you know who Officer *{name}* is?
- ❏ Yes
- ❏ No

Over the past school year, about how often have you interacted with Officer {*name*}?
- ❏ Every day
- ❏ About weekly
- ❏ About monthly
- ❏ Once or twice a semester
- ❏ Never
- ❏ Don't know Officer *{name}*

Please give us your opinion of the assistance provided by Officer *{name}* during this school year (2004–2005). *(Circle one for each category)*

	Extremely Unhelpful	Mostly Unhelpful	Somewhat Helpful	Mostly Helpful	Extremely Helpful	
Classroom/assembly presentations	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
One-on-one help with a problem	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
Being accessible (in office, halls, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
Patrolling campus	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know

Safety

How safe do you feel while on campus at *{school name}*? *(Circle one)*

Extremely Unsafe	Mostly Unsafe	Somewhat Safe	Mostly Safe	Extremely Safe
1	2	3	4	5

How fearful are you of becoming a victim of crime while on campus at *{school name}*? *(Circle one)*

Extremely Afraid	Mostly Afraid	Somewhat Afraid	Slightly Afraid	Not Afraid at All
1	2	3	4	5

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Student Survey Questions

The following sample questions are designed for junior high or middle school students. The questions should be revised for younger or older students, as needed.

Student Background Information

Note: When collecting background information for students, use questions that will tell you more about the problem, and whether it may be related to a specific group, but limit the use of background questions when the answers compromise confidentiality of the respondent.

What grade are you in?
- ❏ 6th grade
- ❏ 7th grade
- ❏ 8th grade

What is your gender?
- ❏ Female
- ❏ Male

In which school-sponsored activities do you participate? *(Check all that apply)*
- ❏ Student government
- ❏ Academic honor society
- ❏ Sports
- ❏ Clubs (drama club, yearbook club, Spanish club, Destination ImagiNation®)
- ❏ Crime prevention (Crime Watch, Varsity Patrol, S.A.V.E., Drug Awareness Council, School Safety Committee)
- ❏ Social service (peer mediation, Teen Court, S.A.D.D.)
- ❏ Band, orchestra, or chorus
- ❏ Other:_____

Crime Reporting

How comfortable do you feel reporting a crime that happens on campus to Officer *{name}* or other school official? *(Circle one)*

Very Uncomfortable	A Little Uncomfortable	Moderately Comfortable	Mostly Comfortable	Extremely Comfortable
1	2	3	4	5

How clear is it to you what kind of problems or incidents should be reported to Officer *{name}* or another school official? *(Circle one)*

Very Unclear	Mostly Unclear	Moderately Clear	Mostly Clear	Extremely Clear
1	2	3	4	5

At *{school name}* last year, please place a check in the box if you participated, were the victim of, or witnessed any of the following on campus? Also please check the box if you reported the incident to a school official.

	Participated in	Victim of	Witnessed	Reported to School Official
Theft from lockers				
Theft from vehicles				
Bullying				
Graffiti				
Fights				
Weapons possession				
Drug use				
Drug sales				
Alcohol use				

Questions regarding a specific problem (drugs, gangs, theft, etc.)

How likely are you to recognize gang behavior/activity? *(Circle one)*

Very Unlikely	A Little Unlikely	Moderately Likely	Most Likely	Extremely Likely
1	2	3	4	5

How fearful are you of being a victim of gang fights or other gang violence on school grounds? *(Circle one)*

Extremely Afraid	Mostly Afraid	Somewhat Afraid	Slightly Afraid	Not at All Afraid
1	2	3	4	5

How fearful are you of being threatened by other students as you travel to and from school? *(Circle one)*

Extremely Afraid	Mostly Afraid	Somewhat Afraid	Slightly Afraid	Not at All Afraid
1	2	3	4	5

How fearful are you of being hurt if you enter the restroom by yourself? *(Circle one)*

Extremely Afraid	Mostly Afraid	Somewhat Afraid	Slightly Afraid	Not at All Afraid
1	2	3	4	5

This year, about how often did you see the following at {school name}? *(Circle the closest answer)*

a. Students showing gang colors or hand signs

Every Day	About Weekly	About Monthly	Once or Twice a Semester	Never	Don't Know Gang Colors/Signs
1	2	3	4	5	9

b. Tagging with gang symbols on school property

Every Day	About Weekly	About Monthly	Once or Twice a Semester	Never	Don't Know Gang Symbols
1	2	3	4	5	9

c. Fights because of a person's ethnicity, race, or affiliation with a group

Every Day	About Weekly	About Monthly	Once or Twice a Semester	Never	Don't Know
1	2	3	4	5	9

d. Illegal drug activity

Every Day	About Weekly	About Monthly	Once or Twice a Semester	Never	Don't Know
1	2	3	4	5	9

Has someone offered or attempted to sell you drugs in the parking lot at *{school name}* in the past 3 months?
- ❏ Yes
- ❏ No

If someone is intimidating, threatening, or bullying you on campus, what are some things that you could do about it?

SRO Relationships

How comfortable do you feel approaching Officer *{name}* for assistance, other than reporting a crime? *(Circle one)*

Very Uncomfortable	A Little Uncomfortable	Moderately Comfortable	Mostly Comfortable	Extremely Comfortable	Don't Know Officer *{name}*
1	2	3	4	6	9

Faculty and Staff Survey Questions

How could collaboration between school staff and Officer *{name}* be improved at *{school name}*?

How comfortable do you feel seeking assistance from Officer *{name}* on a student-related issue? *(Circle one)*

Very Uncomfortable	A Little Uncomfortable	Moderately Comfortable	Mostly Comfortable	Extremely Comfortable	Don't Know Officer *{name}*
1	2	3	4	5	9

How helpful has Officer *{name}* been in crisis and safety planning? *(Circle one)*

Not at All Helpful	A little Helpful	Somewhat Helpful	Mostly Helpful	Extremely Helpful	Not Applicable
1	2	3	4	5	9

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

How familiar are you with the steps that can be taken to prevent students from joining a gang? *(Circle one)*

Not at All Familiar	Mostly Unfamiliar	Somewhat Familiar	Mostly Familiar	Extremely Familiar
1	2	3	4	5

How likely are you to recognize gang behavior/activity? *(Circle one)*

Very Unlikely	Mostly Unlikely	Moderately Likely	Most Likely	Extremely Likely
1	2	3	4	5

How familiar are you with the warning signs that a student may be in a gang? *(Circle one)*

Not at All Familiar	Mostly Unfamiliar	Somewhat Familiar	Mostly Familiar	Extremely Familiar
1	2	3	4	5

Parent Survey Questions

Parent surveys can be useful to gauge parents' reactions to SRO presentations and activities. Furthermore, they can gain information on parents' levels of understanding of their children related to school crime issues.

Do you know who Officer *{name}* is?
- ❏ Yes
- ❏ No

Over the past school year, about how often have you interacted with Officer *{name}*?
- ❏ Every day
- ❏ About weekly
- ❏ About monthly
- ❏ Once or twice a semester
- ❏ Never
- ❏ Don't know Officer *{name}*

If you have interacted with Officer *{name}*, please indicate how helpful that interaction was for you. *(Circle one)*

Extremely Unhelpful	Mostly Unhelpful	Somewhat Helpful	Mostly Helpful	Extremely Helpful	Not Applicable
1	2	3	4	5	9

> Please use the following scale to respond to each statement by writing the number that corresponds to your opinion.
>
> 1 – Very Poor   2 – Poor   3 – Satisfactory   4 – Good   5 – Excellent
>
> 1. Officer *{name}'s* presentation/training was:
>   a)_____ in meeting my expectations.
>   b)_____ in teaching me to recognize the warning signs of drug use.
>   c)_____ in preparing me to take steps if my child shows warning signs of drug use.
>   d)_____ in providing helpful resources that I can turn to as needed.
> 2. Please rate the knowledge of Officer *{name}* on drug use, and prevention and intervention strategies_____.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 10: Sample Activity Log

Note: Tailor your activity log to the types of activities recommended by the customer group to address your priority outcomes. This sample is based on the outcomes and activities presented in Tools 5 and 6. You will want to include any other activity data that is routinely required by the department or school, such as arrest data. This sample log is a weekly log. If the SRO takes good notes throughout the month, monthly logs are also acceptable.

School _____ SRO: _____ For ___ / ___ / ___ through ___ / ___ / ___

Presentations by SRO

Topic	Number of Presentations	Grade and Number of Students Who Attended	Number of Staff Who Attended	Number of Parents Who Attended
Fighting				
Bullying				
Drug consequences				
Theft prevention				
Gangs				

Material Dissemination by SRO (e.g., flyers, e-mails, newsletter article, TV spot)

Topic	Type (e-mail, flyer, etc.)	Target Audience	Number Disseminated
Fighting			
Bullying			
Drug consequences			
Theft prevention			
Gangs			

School Programs

Program	Event	Number of Students Participating	Number of Staff Participated	Outcome or Notes
Varsity Patrol				
Problem-solving project				

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Administrative Duties

Topic	Monday	Tuesday1	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Totals
			(Time Spent or Number of Times)			
Office hours						
Patrol halls						
Patrol boys' restrooms						
Patrol parking lot						
Patrol locker rooms						
School Safety Committee meeting						
Anti-gang meeting						
Other meeting:						

Conferences with Students or Parents

Topic	Number of Conferences	Number of Students Participated	Number of Parents Participated	Involved Mediation (Yes or No)	Referral (Yes or No)
Fighting					
Bullying					
Selling Drugs					
Using Drugs					
Theft					

Notes:

Arrests/Other Reports

Enforcement Activity	Offense Description and Number	Total Number
Calls for service		
Crime reports		
Arrests		
Warrant attempts		
Searches		
Weapons recovery		

Additional details or comments about activities or events that may impact SRO role or safety outcomes:

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 10: Sample Activity Log

Sample Log

School: Apple Valley High School   **SRO: Officer Dan Smith For 01/03/05 through 01/07/05**

Presentations by SRO

Topic	Number of Presentations	Grade and Number of Students Who Attended	Number of Staff Who Attended	Number of Parents Who Attended
Fighting	1	10th grade 35 students	1 teacher	
Bullying				
Drug consequences				
Theft prevention				
Gangs	1		12 teachers	

Material Dissemination by SRO (e.g., flyers, e-mails, newsletter article, TV spot)

Topic	Type (e-mail, flyer, etc.)	Target Audience	Number Disseminated
Fighting			
Bullying			
Drug consequences	Poster	All students	1 at parking lot exit
Theft prevention			
Gangs	Brochure on symptoms of gang membership/e-mail with photographs of gang symbols	Teachers at faculty meeting/all teachers with a school e-mail account	12 brochures/ 50 e-mails

School Programs

Program	Event	Number of Students Participating	Number of Staff Participated	Outcome or Notes
Varsity Patrol	Patrol halls	10		2 students each day during class change— split school in half
Problem-solving project				

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Administrative Duties

Topic	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Totals
	(Time Spent or Number of Times)					
Office hours	2 hours	2 hours	2 hours	2 hours	1.5 hours	9.5 hours
Patrol halls	Class changes (5 times)	Class changes (5 times)	Class changes (3 times)	Class changes (4 times)	Class changes (5 times)	Average = 4.4 times each day
Patrol boys' restrooms	Once	Once	Twice	Once	Twice	Average = 1.4 times each day
Patrol parking lot	Once	Once	Once	Once	Once	Once daily
Patrol locker rooms						
School Safety Committee meeting						
Anti-gang meeting						
Other meeting:						

Conferences with Students or Parents

Topic	Number of Conferences	Number of Students Participated	Number of Parents Participated	Involved Mediation (Yes or No)	Referral (Yes or No)
Fighting	5	4	3	Yes: 2 mediations with 2 students each	No
Bullying	1	2		Yes: bullying victim and bully	No
Selling Drugs					
Using Drugs	2	1	1	No	Yes
Theft					

Notes: A separate conference was held with the student and the student's mother about the student's use of marijuana in the student parking lot. The student was counseled on the legal and health consequences of marijuana use and on the impact on families. The mother was provided with a substance abuse referral and also provided with information about the health consequences of marijuana use and impact on families. The officer who transported the student to juvenile lock-up shared with me some details offered by the student on the source of the drugs. I continue to follow-up on this tip.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Arrests/Other Reports

Enforcement Activity	Offense Description and Number	Total Number
Calls for service		
Crime reports	Thefts, 2 Drug use, 1	3
Arrests	Drug use, 1	1
Warrant attempts		
Searches		
Weapons recovery		

Additional details or comments about activities or events that may impact SRO role or safety outcomes:

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 11: SRO/Supervisor Discussion Questions

Questions for the SRO to address:

Over the last 2 months...

What outcomes have you been working on?

What activities have you implemented to work toward the outcomes?

For each of the activities, what level of effort have you applied (superior, good, average, below average, no effort)?

Is there anything that you did that you think was particularly helpful or successful in addressing the outcomes? (Describe)

From your perspective, what impact, if any, was made on each of the outcomes over the last 2 months? Specify what information leads you to believe an impact has been made (e.g., observations by the SRO, review of school or police data, feedback from school staff). If no impact was made, describe why you think an impact has not been made.

Is there anything that has occurred in the city/county/school/department, etc., that may impact the outcomes or prevent, change or limit your ability to conduct activities in support of the outcomes (e.g., changes in school policy, crises, weather)? If so, how may these events impact the percentage influence that you can have over specific outcomes?

Is there something that you wanted to do in support of the outcomes, but have not? Why not?

Is there anything that you did over the last 2 months that you would do differently, if you had the opportunity?

Have you collaborated with others to address the outcomes? If so, please describe how that collaboration has worked.

Is there anything that you need to continue working on the outcomes (e.g., training, resources, materials, intervention by the supervisor)? From whom do you need the assistance?

Questions for the supervisor to address:

Over the last 2 months, from your observations or interactions with the SRO or feedback from SRO customers...

How has setting outcome goals impacted the activities of the SRO?

Is there anything that you think the SRO did that was particularly helpful or successful in addressing the outcomes?

What impact, if any, has the SRO made on each of the outcomes? Specify what information leads you to believe an impact has been made (e.g., personal observations, review of school or police data, feedback from school staff). If no impact was made, describe why you think an impact has not been made.

65

Is there anything that has occurred in the city/county/school/department, etc., that you believe may impact the outcomes or prevent, change or limit the SRO's ability to conduct activities in support of the outcomes (e.g., changes in school policy, crises, weather)? If so, how may these events impact the percentage influence that the SRO can have over specific outcomes?

What changes, if any, do you recommend the SRO make to the approaches being implemented to address the outcomes?

What support will you offer the SRO over the next 2 months (e.g., training, mentoring, intervention with school staff or customers, resources)? Are there other resources you recommend that the SRO pursue?

As the supervisor, is there anything that you need to continue supporting the SRO (e.g., training, resources, materials)? How and when will you obtain those resources?

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 12: Sample Report of Priorities and Activities

School: Apple Valley High School
SRO: Officer Dan Smith

Outcome Goal 1: Reduce theft from locker rooms during gym class.

Conclusion: No significant change in the number of thefts, nor was there a change in the value of items stolen.

Measure 1:
School incident data: Number of reported incidents of theft from the locker rooms during gym class hours.

This Year: 28 thefts from the locker rooms during gym class were reported. This represents 45% of all reported thefts and 3.5% of all incident reports.

Last Year: 29 thefts from locker rooms during gym class were reported. This represents 45% of all reported thefts and 3.6% of all incident reports.

The data shows a difference of one theft from locker rooms during gym class this year compared with last year. This difference is negligible. The proportion of locker room thefts to all reported thefts did not change, nor did the proportion of locker room thefts compared with all reported incidents. Furthermore, no statistically significant change occurred.

Measure 2:
Police crime reports: Average reported value of items stolen from locker rooms during gym class hours.

This Year: The most commonly reported item stolen this year was a cell phone, valued from $50 to $100 and averaging $65. In fact, 20 cell phones were reported stolen. The average value of all items stolen was $52.39.

Last Year: The most commonly reported item stolen this year was a cell phone, valued from $50 to $100, averaging $65. In fact, 20 cell phones were reported stolen. The average value of all items stolen was $53.86.

The data shows a difference of $1.47. This amount is negligible.

Activities:

Educate: No activities to report.

Increase Access to/Interaction with the SRO: No activities to report.

Mentor Students: No activities to report.

Collaborate with School Personnel or Other Law Enforcement Personnel: No activities to report.

Enforce Laws/Solve Crimes: Officer Smith took reports from students who reported thefts.

Run/Oversee Programs: No activities to report.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Outcome Goal 2: Reduce male students' fear of being beaten up in the boys' restrooms.

Conclusion: The number of fights in the boys' bathrooms was reduced by 43%, and the level of reported fear of using the boys' bathrooms declined as well, with 20% of male students being mostly or extremely afraid last year and dropping by 15% this year among male students.

Measure 1:
Pre- and postsurvey comparison of male students: Student reported fear of being hurt if one uses the boys' restrooms.

	Extremely Afraid	Mostly Afraid	Somewhat Afraid	Slightly Afraid	Not Afraid at All
This Year	0% (0)	5% (8)	10% (15)	25% (38)	60% (90)
Last Year	5% (8)	15% (23)	10% (15)	20% (30)	50% (75)

This year, significantly fewer male students reported being afraid to use the boys' restroom out of fear of being beaten up. This year, only 5% of male students reported being extremely afraid or mostly afraid, compared with 20% last year. Furthermore, 10% more male students reported feeling no fear at all. These differences are also statistically significant.

Measure 2:
Police crime incident data: Number of reported incidents of fights or assaults in the boys' restrooms.

This Year: 32 fights or simple assaults reported in the boys' restrooms. This represents 4% of all incident reports and 20% of all fights this year. The number of incidents represents a 43% reduction in the number of fights from last year.

Last Year: 56 fights or simple assaults reported in the boys' restrooms. This represents 7% of all incident reports and 30% of all fights.

Fights in the boys' restrooms were reduced by 43% from the prior year, yet the size of the population of boys at school remained about the same. The data also show a 3% reduction in the proportion of fights in the bathroom, compared with all incidents, and a 10% reduction to the proportion of fights in the bathroom out of all reported fights. These reductions are statistically significant as well.

Anecdotal Information:
One student wrote a letter to Officer Smith thanking him for intervening in an ongoing bullying situation. Threats were often made in the boys' restrooms. The student wrote that he has been able to improve his grades during the last quarter because he is not always worried about being bullied.

Activities:

Educate: 12 presentations in health classes to 360 students on fights and bullying, how to cope, and reducing one's chances of being a victim; wrote and published an article in the parents' newsletter instructing parents on how to teach their children tips for dealing with aggression and conflict.

Increase Access to/Interaction with the SRO: Maintained an average of 2 office hours daily when students were permitted to stop by to report problems or seek help; held 32 telephone conferences with the parents of aggressors of the fights in the boys' bathrooms.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Mentor Students: Held 50 student conferences about fights in the boys' bathrooms with the aggressors and those attacked in the boys' bathrooms; facilitated mediation between 10 students regarding ongoing bullying issues; maintained a close mentoring relationship with four bullies and two victims throughout the school year.

Collaborate with School Personnel or Other Law Enforcement Personnel: Spoke with the teachers in classrooms adjacent to and across from the restrooms and convinced them to take turns standing in the hallway during class changes.

Enforce Laws/Solve Crimes: Patrolled the hallways during class changes and immediately before and after school, stepping into the boys' bathrooms at least once each day.

Run/Oversee Programs: Oversaw the Varsity Patrol Program with 15 student participants who rotated patrol duty, reporting problems to the SRO by radio.

Outcome Goal 3: Decrease drug use in the student parking lot during school hours.

Conclusion: Partial success. The number of school referrals for drug use, the number of police reports of students using drugs in the parking lot, and students' self-reporting of drug usage in the student parking lot did not significantly change. However, surveys of students revealed that students' knowledge about the health and legal consequences of drug use increased.

Measure 1:
School disciplinary referrals: Number of students referred for using drugs in the student parking lot during school.

This Year: 16 students received disciplinary referrals for drug use in the student parking lot. This represents less than 1% of all students.

Last Year: 14 students received disciplinary referrals for drug use in the student parking lot. This represents less than 1% of all students.

The difference of two students is negligible. Furthermore, any increase in the number of referrals may be related to school staff who are better educated about the symptoms of drug use and therefore more observant and more likely to identify drug use in the parking lot and make a referral. In context with the police crime reports and student self-reports, this measure shows no significant change.

Measure 2:
Police crime reports: Number of reports of drug use in the parking lot at the school address.

This Year: 18 crime reports for drug use in the school parking lot.

Last Year: 17 crime reports for drug use in the school parking lot.

Comparing the number of crime reports against the number of students referred by the school, it is likely that some students were caught using drugs more than once. The difference of one reported crime is negligible.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Measure 3:
Pre- and postsurvey comparison of students: Knowledge of the health and legal consequences of drug use.

This Year: Students recalled an average of 10 different health and/or legal consequences of drug use.

Last Year: Students recalled an average of 2 different health and/or legal consequences of drug use.

Students recalled substantially more drug use consequences on the posttest survey than the pretest survey.

Measure 4:
Pre- and postsurvey comparison of students: Students' self-reports of illegal drug use in the student parking lot.

This Year: 20 students self-reported using drugs in the student parking lot this year.

Last Year: 21 students self-reported using drugs in the student parking lot this year.

The difference of one self-reported student is negligible. Comparing the number of self-reports to the number of students receiving disciplinary referrals suggests that some students used drugs in the parking lot and were not caught by a school official, that students interpreted "illegal drugs" differently than school officials, or that some students falsely reported using drugs in the school parking lot on the survey.

Activities:

Educate: Made 15 presentations—one to each ninth grade health class—about the legal and health consequences of drug use. Hung posters throughout the school about the health effects of drug use. Presented the symptoms of drug use to teachers during a faculty meeting.

Increase Access to/Interaction with the SRO: Held 16 parent telephone conferences about students caught using drugs in the parking lot to discuss the consequences of drug use, symptoms of drug use, and resources to help users become clean. SRO held another six telephone conferences with parents of students who were close friends of those 16 students to discuss the symptoms of drug use and let them know that their children had been seen hanging out with students caught using drugs.

Mentor Students: No activities to report.

Collaborate with School Personnel or Other Law Enforcement Personnel: SRO referred 16 students for substance abuse treatment.

Enforce Laws/Solve Crimes: SRO made 16 arrests for drug use in the parking lot during school hours. Patrolled the student parking lot periodically throughout the lunch periods.

Run/Oversee Programs: No activities to report.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Outcome Goal 4: Increase teachers' knowledge about the signs and symptoms of gang membership.

Conclusion: Teachers' knowledge of the signs and symptoms of gang membership increased.

Measure 1:

Pre- and postsurvey comparison of teachers: knowledge of the signs and symptoms of gang membership.

		Extremely Unlikely	Most Unlikely	Somewhat Likely	Most Likely	Extremely Likely
Recognize Gang Hand Signs	This Year	18%	9%	18%	36%	19%
	Last Year	56%	22%	11%	11%	0%
Recognize Gang Symbols	This Year	18%	8%	30%	35%	8%
	Last Year	35%	15%	49%	1%	0%
Recognize Warning Signs (mannerisms, attitude, friends, clothing, etc.)	This Year	5%	15%	40%	20%	20%
	Last Year	40%	19%	16%	20%	5%

Teachers consistently reported an increased likelihood of recognizing the signs and symptoms of gang membership, across all three measures.

Activities:

Educate: Officer Smith conducted two in-service trainings for teachers during faculty meetings on the signs and symptoms of gang membership. He provided brochures at both meetings.

Increase Access to/Interaction with the SRO: Several times during the school year, Officer Smith forwarded e-mails to the teachers of photographs of gang symbols portrayed in local graffiti.

Mentor Students: No activities to report.

Collaborate with School Personnel or Other Law Enforcement Personnel: No activities to report.

Enforce Laws/Solve Crimes: No activities to report.

Run/Oversee Programs: No activities to report.

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Tool 13: Agenda for Last Customer Meeting for the School Year

School Name: _____   Law Enforcement Agency: _____

Date: _____

I.   Welcome

II.   Report findings:
- Outcome measures results
- Activities conducted
- Present measures of "quality" of activities performed
- Mention any extenuating circumstances (for example, changes to the school policies, SRO assignment, city/county occurrences that may have influenced the outcomes or activities performed)
- Present other crime/disorder data for the school year

III.   Discussion: What are your reactions to this information?
- Any suggestions/possible explanations for why these outcomes were or were not achieved?
- Discuss the outcome findings within the context of other crime/disorder data for the school year.

IV.   Discussion of lessons learned:
- In hindsight, would you change either the activities or the outcome goals?
- In hindsight would you change the measures or sources of data used to determine influence on the outcomes? (Did the findings represent what you believe has really happened?)
- Any other lessons learned?

V.   Discussion: Using the outcome data and the general school incident data for the year, set new priorities for next year, establish appropriate outcome measures and data sources, and propose activities for the SRO to conduct.

Tool 14: Tables to Integrate Outcomes into Evaluation

Refer to Step 11 for information about how to integrate outcomes into the SRO's performance evaluation. The tables below are provided to make your calculations as easy as possible. Samples of completed tables are provided to remind you how to complete each table.

Table 3

Priority Outcome	Assigned Degree of Importance (Sum total = 100)	Estimated % Influence by the SRO (Each may be up to 100%)	Points Allocated to the Achievement of the Outcome (Importance x % Influence)
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
TOTAL	100		_____ possible points (sum the column)

Sample Table 3

Priority Outcome	Assigned Degree of Importance	Estimated % Influence by the SRO	Points Allocated to the Achievement of the Outcome
Thefts in the locker room	20	65%	20 x .65 = 13
Fear of being beaten up	30	80%	30 x .80 = 24
Drug use in the parking lot	40	50%	40 x .50 = 20
Teachers' knowledge of gang signs and symptoms	10	90%	10 x .90 = 9
TOTAL	100		66 possible points

Table 4

Outcome Finding	% of Points to Award for Outcome Achievement
Achieved outcome	100%
Partially achieved the outcome	80%
No change on the outcome	60%
Outcome changes for the worse	0%

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Table 5

Priority Outcome	Result (From data analysis)	Finding (Achieved outcome, partial success, no change, outcome worsened)	% Points Awarded (from Table 4)
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			

Sample Table 5

Priority Outcome	Result	Finding	% Points Awarded
Thefts in the locker room	Number of thefts and value of items stolen did not change	No Change	60%
Fear of being beaten up	Number of fights in the bathroom reduced	Outcome achieved	100%
Drug use in the parking lot	No change in the number of referrals or police reports for drug use, but students reported an increased understanding of the health and legal consequences of drug use	Partial success	80%
Teachers' knowledge of gang signs and symptoms	Teachers' reported an increased understanding of the gang signs and symptoms	Outcome achieved	100%

Table 6

Assess the SRO's Level of Effort on Each Outcome	Bonus % Points for Effort
Superior effort	+ 10%
Good effort	+ 5%
Average effort	0%
Below average effort	− 5%
No effort	− 10%

Kochel, Tammy Rinehart; Laszlo, Anna T.; and Nickles, Laura B. *SRO Performance Evaluation: A Guide to Getting Results.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, 2005.

Table 7

Priority Outcome	Points Possible (from Table 3)	Outcome Finding % (from Table 4)	Bonus for Effort (from Table 6)	Points Awarded (Points possible x [outcome finding % + bonus % for effort])
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
TOTAL	100			____ points earned (sum the column)

Sample Table 7

Priority Outcome	Points Possible (Table 3)	Outcome Finding % (Table 4)	Bonus for Effort (Table 6)	Points Awarded (Points possible x [outcome finding % + bonus % for effort])
Thefts in the locker room	13	No change (60%)	No effort (−10%)	13 x (60% − 10%) = 6.5 points
Fear of being beaten up	24	Outcome achieved (100%)	Superior (+10%)	24 x (100% + 10%) = 26.4 points
Drug use in the parking lot	20	Partial success (80%)	Good (+5%)	20 x (80% + 5%) = 17 points
Teachers' knowledge of gang signs and symptoms	9	Outcome achieved (100%)	Average (0%)	9 x (100% + 0%) = 9 points
TOTAL	66 points possible			58.9 points earned ÷ 66 points possible = 89%

Overall Performance Evaluation Score: _____ %
(total points earned ÷ total points possible)

Tool 15: Contact List For Further Information

Circle Solutions, Inc.
8280 Greensboro Drive, Suite 300
McLean, VA 22102
www.circlesolutions.com
Tammy Rinehart Kochel, Project Director
703–821–8955
tkochel@circlesolutions.com

Naperville, IL

Neuqua Valley High School (IL)
630–428–6000

Officer Erin Gibler, SRO
Maree Russavage, Assistant Principal

Naperville Police Department (IL)
630–420–6666

erin_gibler@ipsd.org
maree_russavage@ipsd.org

Bosie, ID

Capital High School (ID)
208–322–3875

Officer Richard Baranco, SRO
Jon Ruzicka, Principal

Boise Police Department (ID)
208–377–6500

dbaranco@cityofboise.org
jon.ruzicka@boiseschools.org

Rochester, NY

East High School (NY)
585–288–3130

Officer Korey Brown, SRO
Officer Ron Rafferty, SRO
Officer Moses Robinson, SRO
Kathryn Colicchio-Wygal, Assistant Principal

Rochester Police Department (NY)
585–428–7070

kb0917@cityofrochester.gov
rr0243@cityofrochester.gov
mr0928@cityofrochester.gov
kathy.colicchio@rcsdk12.org

Rochester, NY

John Marshall High School (NY)
585–458–2110

Officer Dwayne Snead, SRO
Toyia Wilson, Assistant Principal

Rochester Police Department (NY)
585–428–7070

invchess@aol.com
toyia.wilson@rcsdk12.org

St. Lucie County, FL

Forest Grove Middle School (FL)
772–468–5885

Deputy Phil Fackler, SRD
Deputy Mildred Mitchell Brown, SRD
Sergeant Dave Trimm
Charles Cuomo, Principal

St. Lucie County Sheriff Department (FL)
772–462–7300

fack329@juno.com
mitchellmildred@stluci.k12.fl.us
dtrimm@stluciesheriff.com
cuomoc@stlucie.k12.fl.us

Port St. Lucie, FL

St. Lucie West Middle School (FL)
772–785–6630

Deputy Greg Dampier, SRD
Sergeant Marc Dimeo, former SRO
Officer Rob Arensen, SRO
Helen Roberts, Principal

Port St. Lucie Police Department (FL)
772–344–4278

dampierg@stlucie.k12.fl.us
mdimeo@cityofpsl.com
rarensen@cityofpsl.com
hroberts@stlucie.k12.fl.us