



ISO 13485 – Implementation, Registration and Beyond Nancy Ruth, Director, Medical Devices

Agenda

- 1. Medical Device Quality Systems and ISO 13485
- 2. Quality System Planning
- 3. Documentation Requirements
- 4. Implementation
- 5. Certification/Registration
- 6. Beyond Registration
- 7. ISO 13485 Future
- 8. Medical Device Single Audit Program



Company Background

- OptumInsight Canada, Inc formerly CanReg Inc. regulatory consulting drugs, medical devices, natural health products; medical information, pharmacovigilance etc
- Medical device consulting product and establishment registration, quality systems; strategy, assessments, regulatory inspection preparation
 - Canadian, US, EU
 - ISO 13485:2003 CMDCAS (Canadian Medical Device Conformity Assessment System); FDA QS Regulation; EN ISO 13485:2003 CE mark
 - Regulatory strength, quality system experience



 Quality System: The organizational structure, processes, responsibilities, procedures, and resources for implementing quality management.

US:

- Quality System Regulation 21 CFR 820
- ISO 13485:1996 elements
- FDA inspected
- Third Party accredited auditors
- Canada:
- ISO 13485:2003 CMDCAS certification Class II, III IV devices
- Third party audit program with registrars **EU**:
- CE marking
- Conformity assessment to Medical Devices Directive
- Routes to conformity quality system assessment
 - EN ISO 13485:2003
 - Audit by Notified Body third party

4

- ISO 13485:2003 and QS Reg
- Very similar
- Complementary
 - Control of documents,

facility, personnel, management, design, manufacturing, storage, delivery, improvement

- Regulatory requirements





- Other jurisdictions also require medical device QS
 - China, Japan, Australia
 - Emerging markets





Overview of main sections that detail requirements:

- Section 4 Quality Management System basic structure and key elements
- Documented policy and measurable objectives
 - Relevant at all levels challenge
- Top Management support and commitment.
- Documentation, documentation and more documentation.





- **Section 5** Management Responsibility
- Outlines Management roles in quality system ensure effectiveness.
- Management Commitment.
 - Management leads the way





- Section 6 Resource Management
- Identifies resources necessary to maintain an effective quality system
- Staff training, competence evaluation
- Work area resources





- Section 7 Product Realization
- Risk Management
- Customer and Regulatory Requirements
- Design and Development
- Purchasing
- Production and Service Provisions
- Process Validation
- Product Identification
- Conformity, Control, Measuring Devices











10

- Section 8 Measurement, Analysis and Improvement
- Monitoring information to meet the customer's requirements –complaints, feedback, post market
- Auditing
- CAPA (Corrective Action/Preventive Action)
 - Root Cause Analysis
 - Analyzing Data to prevent occurrences
- Process Measurements product tests
- Control of Non-conforming Product
- Improvement effectiveness of the QS



- When to start planning
 - New company
 - Expanding market to additional jurisdictions
 - New product(s) new medical device, or adding medical device lines
 - Risk management, design controls, supplier controls
 - Never too soon





- Scope of quality system
 - Regulations for target market(s)
 - Device classification, regulatory pathway
 - Requirements, exclusions eg design
 - Where company lines include other product types (drugs, supplements, natural health products, cosmetics)
 - May have existing quality documents
 - Integrate into one system
 - Include other requirements for specific product types
 - Avoid duplication, gaps



- Team
 - Critical
 - In house ownership, action
 - Quality Representative, operations, management
 - Training, experience
 - Outside expertise
 - Not a last-man-in-the-door job!



- Quality plan
 - Document it flow chart, table, list, spreadsheet, project management tool
 - Define responsibilities, timelines, progress review





- Timeline to audit
 - Target for product launch or new market introduction?
 - Time to develop, implement, gather evidence of compliance
 - Product/certificate/licence
 - Registrar/auditor booking





- Quality policy, quality objectives
 - Company thinking
 - Goals/targets -measureable, monitor
 - Must be relevant at all levels
- Quality manual high level
- Standard operating procedures
 - process maps, forms
 - work instructions
- Records evidence that the system complies with the plans





- Include references to regulatory requirements, standards, guidance
 - Canadian Medical Devices Regulations, guidance documents/policies for recall, mandatory problem reports, complaint handling, labelling
 - FDA QS Regulation 21CFR820, guidance documents
 - EU Medical Devices Directive 93/42/EEC, MEDDEV guidances
 - ISO 14971 (Risk Management), other ISO standards as applicable to the product(s)
 - Recognized standards vary per jurisdiction



- Ensure the documents meet requirements of the standard, regulations
- Reflect actual practice
- Paper or electronic system
- List of controlled external documents
 - copies of standards, regulations, manuals etc
 - Paper or electronic format
 - Have a documented process to keep current
 - Specify interval to verify the latest versions are on hand, who is responsible



- <u>Strategy without existing</u> <u>QS documents:</u>
 - Number them to follow the standard or the QS Reg sections
 - Logical, easy to find where requirements are addressed

List of Controlled Documents			
Number	Title	Effective Date	
QMM rev 2	Quality Management Manual	Sept 1/13	
401.01	Document Control	Aug 9/12	
402.01	Records	Jul 31/12	
501.03	Management Review	Sep1/13	
601.01	Training	Jan 4/12	
701.02	Purchasing	May15/ 13	
702.01	Risk Management	June 9/12	
801.01	Internal audit	May16/12	



- Strategy with existing QS documents adding medical devices for Canada - cross reference, add additional procedures – customize approach – "knit" the system together
 - Drug Establishment Licence GMP
 - ISO 9001
 - US FDA OTC
 - CE marked for EU
 - Corporate policies/procedures





- Commercially available QS system templates
 - Caveats
 - Generic may address standard requirements:
 - May not capture:
 - company specific practices, responsibilities
 - regulatory requirements
 - Initial registration ? maybe ok
 - Evidence of implementation, effectiveness downstream audits? – maybe not
 - Custom system in house expertise or outside help



4. Implementation

- Documents reviewed, approved, ready for use!
 - Training first
 - all involved staff, all levels, relevant, tailored
 - Matrix correlates responsibilities to documents
 - Training records evidence
 - Effectiveness
 - Use examples that relate to your business
 - Comparisons with life scenarios helpful



 One complete internal audit, one full management review before registration audit required



- "Registration"
- Required for Canada, EU
- Not required if marketing only in US
 - May desire if supplying products/mfg service to customers
- Registrar
 - recognized by Health Canada and SCC
 - Notified Body for EU if CE marking
 - FDA Third Party
- Partner
 - registration, on-going commitment
- Provide a service business
 - caveat emptor value for investment
 - "good fit" registrar, auditor



- Standardized program, registrar training
 - Difference in contracts, service delivery, interpretation, audit scheduling, costs
- Quotations
 - recommend obtain several compare
 - Deposit, annual fee, charge for certificate and changes, per diem rate, number of audit days/auditors, surveillance audit charges etc
- Auditors
 - request CV appropriate experience for

company operation, product type(s)



- Documentation study (Stage 1)
 - review QS documents in advance on site preferred by the registrars exceptions possible (off site review – where experience with implementation – some conditions)
 - compliance with standard- quality manual, procedures
 - Auditor preference e-copy, paper
 - As complete as possible
 - make auditor's job easy
 - good foundation for relationship
 - Report time to address deficiencies



- On site audit (Stage 2)
 - Company practice vs written policies procedures?
 - Review documented evidence
 - records
 - Impressions important
 - Organized, clean, tidy, efficient
 - Management presence, key personnel briefed, available
 - Documents available





- Audit findings
 - Non-conformances/non-conformities/non-compliances communicated as audit progresses
 - Major
 - Minor
 - Observations
 - Positive comments
 - Opportunities for improvement, recommendations
 - May evolve to nonconformances downstream
 - Corrections during audit possible
 - Caution hasty vs well planned





- Audit Report
 - Closing meeting summarize findings
 - Report- draft at closing meeting or may follow
 - Internal process at registrar for review/approval
 - Non-conformances, conditions for responses/actions/timelines





- Audit follow up
 - Respond to non-conformances propose actions, timelines
 - Auditor
 - approves plan, follow up determined off site, next visit
- Registrar review/approval of corrective actions certificate issued



- Certificate
 - Content important Health Canada guidance, scope templates, "effective" date
 - Difference from EU certificates
 - Auditor should discuss details during audit (scope, activities, products, company name and address etc)
 - May provide draft
 - Cost to change certificate varies
 - Unacceptable certificate
 - rejected by Health Canada
 - Delay in licensing
 - Effect on product launch

$/ \sim $	
\approx	
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	
Show S	



6. Beyond Registration

- Registration big achievement
- End of beginning
- Ongoing commitment management, quality personnel
- 3 yr cycle minimum annual surveillance audit
 - Shorter than full registration
 - follow up non-conformances, regulatory compliance, half of quality system elements
- FDA inspections
 - QSIT (Quality System Inspection Technique) method
 - PMA (preapproval inspection), 510(k) manufacturers
 - cyclic; directed





6. Beyond Registration

Challenges

- Staff with multiple responsibilities- conflicting priorities
- -Inexperience
- -Resources, training
- Problem areas:
 - CAPA, complaint follow up, root cause, investigations, verify effectiveness of action
 - internal audit and follow up, management review
 - documentation/records





6. Beyond registration

- Notifications to registrar of changes
 - Changes to quality system eg manufacturing site, critical supplier of product, scope – products, activities
 - may need audit before implementation
 - auditor preparation for next scheduled audit
 - Change of address, contact
 - Advice from registrar partner valuable to keep in touch
- Notifications/submissions to regulator



- Expected changes to ISO 13485:2003 next iteration of the standard drafted, finalization 2014, 2015?
- If already certified, prepare for changes
- If planning certification before the new version, plan for the changes



Proposed Changes to ISO 13485:

- More specific requirements included throughout the device life-cycle:
 - Design and development
 - Production
 - Storage and distribution
 - Installation
 - Servicing
- Clearly identify organization's role under applicable regulatory requirements.
- New definitions:
 - Medical device software
 - Post market surveillance
 - Performance evaluation
 - Risk management



Proposed Changes (cont'd)

- New detailed requirements identified in subsections:
 - Design and development planning
 - Design and development verification
 - Design and development validation
 - Review of changes affecting the design of the device
 - Supplier selection, evaluation and approval
 - Verification of purchased product
 - Complaint handling
 - Response to nonconforming product



Proposed Changes - Timeline

- Plan to revise/publish before the next version of ISO 9001 (suggested ISO 9001:2015)
- Comments to the "Committee Draft" by August 10, 2013
- Comments discussed by International Committee by October, 2013
- Prepare "Draft International Standard" for circulation and wider international public comment by October, 2013
- Target date for publishing late 2014 or early 2015



- Under development by a Work Group of IMDRF (International Medical Device Regulators Forum -formerly GHTF – Global Harmonization Task Force)
- IMDRF Mission:
 - accelerate international medical device regulatory convergence
 - promote efficient and effective regulatory model for medical devices that is responsive to emerging challenges in the sector while protective/maximizing public health/safety
- Work Group (WG(PD1)/N3R3 developing standard set of requirements for auditing organizations doing quality system regulatory audits of medical device manufacturers
- Will apply to competent authority auditing groups and inspectorates + third party auditing organizations



*Copy and paste this text box to enter notations/source information. 7pt type. Aligned to bottom. No need to move or resize this box.

Objectives:

- Single audit program with confidence in outcomes;
- Appropriate regulatory oversight of manufacturers' quality systems, minimize regulatory burden on industry;
- Promote more efficient and flexible use of regulatory resources through worksharing and mutual acceptance among Participants, while respecting the sovereignty of each country;
- Promote greater global alignment of regulatory approaches, technical requirements based on international standards and best practices;
- Promote consistency, predictability, transparency of regulatory programs by standardizing oversight over third party auditing organizations
- Leverage, where appropriate, existing conformity assessment structures.



*Copy and paste this text box to enter notations/source information. 7pt type. Aligned to bottom. No need to move or resize this box

- Expected program outputs/benefits: develop, manage and oversee a single audit program that will allow a single regulatory audit to satisfy the needs of multiple regulatory jurisdictions.
 - Less burdensome for Medical Device Manufacturers
 - Based on a three year cycle
 - International Coalition of Countries
 - Currently US, Canada, Brazil, Australia
 - Working group includes EU, Asia, Japan
 - More efficient
 - Pooled technology expertise, resources
 - Improved safety
 - Modelled after Health Canada's CMDCAS third party programs
 - Best Practices of Canadian and American systems
 - Unannounced Audits
 - 4 documents target end of 2013
 - Comment period
 - Pilot program will follow



Audit Facilitators

- Code of Conduct
- Initial, Surveillance and Re-recognition Criteria
- Standard Requirements for Auditing Organizations
- Auditor Competency Assessments
- Regulatory Authority Assessed
- Standardized Rating System for audit findings
- Source Documents
 - WG(PD2)/N3R5 Recognition and Monitoring of Organizations undertaking Audits of Medical Device Manufactures
 - ISO/IEC 17021:2011
 - EU Draft Legislation of Requirements for Notified Bodies
 - EU MEDEV 2.10-2 Rev 1:2001 Designation and Monitoring of Notified Bodies within the Framework of EC Directives on Medical Devices



Summary

- Planning
 - Training, experience, help within/outside
- Good quality documents
 - Cover requirements, current practice
- Preparation for audit
 - Organized, impressions
 - Post certification keep momentum
 - Don't get behind
 - Document, document, document
- Future changes
 - Awareness, impact, planning
- Teamwork
 - Shared responsibility







Thank you!

Contact information Nancy Ruth Director Medical Devices 905 690 5790 Nancy.Ruth@optum.com