## COSNFIDENTIAL

COUNTER INTELLIGENCE CORPS MOROCCAN TRAVEL CONTROL DETACHMENT AFHQ

APO 759

U.S. ARMY

PLACE: Arbaoua Section

DATE: 17 October 1944

MEMORANDUM FOR THE OFFICER IN CHARGE:

SUBJECT: Renewed Attempt to Cross the Frontier

RE: Commander Joseph R. NAHON

Onn16 October 1944, Subject made his second attempt to cross the frontier (See Memo dated 10 October 1944, Same Subject). At 1700 hours Subject arrived at this frontier post while this Agent wasson duty at Rabat for the afternoon. This time Subject had an authorization from the "BSM", Casablanca to cross the frontier. However, M. BERTRAND, "Chef de Surete", Quedadra, who had thoroughly discussed the situation with this Agent during Subject's first a ttempted crossing called "BSM". Rabat and explained Subject's initial a ttempt and refusal by this organization to allow Commander NANON to cross. "BSA", Rabat refused to accept responsibility under the existing circumstances and Subject was turned back. Subject remained in Souk el Arba for the night and at 900 hours on 17 October 1944 came to this Agent's Office and explained his presence in the border region.

Subject stated that upon returning to Oran (Azrew), his home station, his commanding officer informed him thattit could easily be arranged for him to cross if the "proper" naval authorities at port Lyautey or Casablanca were consulted. Subject called Captain LEFEVRE, medical officer at Port Lyautey on the possibility of crossing and upon receiving a favorable reply, Subject left Oran by plane and arrived at Port Lyautey on 16 October 1944. Upon arrival Subject contacted Captain HITCHCOCK and later Commander CROWELL. Captain HITCHCOCK went a s far ascto state that...."that damned border outfit doesn't know what it's all about"....and that it could be arranged for him to cross. In Casablanca, Commander CROWELL took Subject to the "BSM" where the authorization to cross was given by Lt. THAUVIN, "BSM". There Subject borrowed a civilian automobile? and in naval attire left for the frontier. Upon being refused permission to cross Subject called naval authorities at Port Lyautey and Casablanca and was told to contact Commander WRIGHT, BOHI", Casablanca. Subject called Commander WRIGHT from this Agent's office at 1000 hours on 17 October 1944 and explained the situation.

WRIGHT, who had been contacted by Lt. BRAAFLADT, Acting Chief, CIC, MTCD, reprimanded Subject for hiding the fact that he had been turned down the previous week by AFHQ, and furthermore his leave was cancelled and that he was to return without delay to his home station. Subject left this Agent's office at 1020 hours remarking that the wassbeing blamed for something that wasn't his fault.

## CONFIDENTIAL

They was the contract of the

## CONFIDENTIAL

AGENT'S NOTES This organization with its present limited resources and personnel is hardly in a position to operate as efficiently as before let alone being impeded in its work by our own military and nava l personnel. A control which has taken two years to build, opera te, a ad perfect is in danger of being destroyed, and by our own personnel. This Agent and his predecessors have followed rules and regula tions set down from higher authority without questioning sa me. These same rules add regulations should be taken in the same spirit by American military and navel personnel. There are a s many French civilians and soldiers with families in Tangler who wish to visit the city as as there are American military and naval officers who would like to spend their leaves or furloughs there. If the attitude.. "there asre more than one way to skin a cat" ... (a s suggested to Commander NAHON by an unnamed na va 1 officer) is adopted, regulations would become ridicolous and useless. This Agent strongly suggests that proper steps be taken immediately to improve the situation.

Captain HITCHCOCK'S remark is both slanderous and unjust. I am sure that I am in no position to criticize any organization if I am ignorant of the rules that govern its work. If others are not familiar with the regulations which govern passage to and from the lanish zone (as has been shown to be true on several occassions)

criticism consequently becomes unjustifiable.

This Agent is of the opinion that a lack of understanding of the existing regula tions is the basic cause of these misunderstandings. It cannot be stressed strongly enough that certain authorities be informed of these regulations.

NICHOLAS A. NATSIOS

SAIC, Arbsous Section, CIC

2.