U.S. District Court District of Maryland (Baltimore) CRIMINAL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:18-mj-01692-JMC All Defendants

Case title: USA v. Wolfe Date Filed: 06/08/2018

Other court case number: 1:18-cr-00170 District of Columbia

Assigned to: Magistrate Judge J. Mark Coulson

Defendant (1)

James A. Wolfe

Pending Counts Disposition

None

Highest Offense Level (Opening)

None

<u>Terminated Counts</u> <u>Disposition</u>

None

Highest Offense Level (Terminated)

None

<u>Complaints</u> <u>Disposition</u>

None

Plaintiff

USA represented by Philip Arthur Selden

Office of the United States Attorney

District of Maryland 36 S. Charles Street Baltimore, MD 21201

4102094900

Email: Philip.Selden@usdoj.gov

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Designation: Assistant US Attorney

Email All Attorneys

Email All Attorneys and Additional Recipients

Date Filed	#	Page	Docket Text
06/08/2018	1	3	Rule 5(c)(3) Documents Received as to James A. Wolfe (kvs, Deputy Clerk) (Additional attachment(s) added on 6/11/2018: # 1 Exhibit Order to Seal, # 2 Exhibit Indictment) (kvs, Deputy Clerk). (Entered: 06/11/2018)
06/08/2018	2		Initial Appearance in Rule 5(c)(3) Proceedings as to James A. Wolfe held on 6/8/2018 before Magistrate Judge J. Mark Coulson.(FTR: Vockroth) (kvs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 06/11/2018)
06/08/2018	<u>3</u>		CJA 23 Financial Affidavit by James A. Wolfe (kvs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 06/11/2018)
06/08/2018	4	18	ORDER APPOINTING FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER as to James A. Wolfe. Signed by Magistrate Judge J. Mark Coulson on 6/8/2018. (kvs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 06/11/2018)
06/08/2018	<u>5</u>	19	CONTRIBUTION ORDER as to James A. Wolfe. Signed by Magistrate Judge J. Mark Coulson on 6/8/2018. (kvs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 06/11/2018)
06/08/2018	<u>6</u>	20	WAIVER of Rule 5(c)(3) Hearing by James A. Wolfe(kvs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 06/11/2018)
06/08/2018	7	21	COMMITMENT TO ANOTHER DISTRICT as to James A. Wolfe. Defendant committed to District of Columbia Signed by Magistrate Judge J. Mark Coulson on 6/8/2018. (kvs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 06/11/2018)
06/08/2018	8	22	ORDER Setting Conditions of Release as to James A. Wolfe. Signed by Magistrate Judge J. Mark Coulson on 6/8/2018. (kvs, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 06/11/2018)
06/11/2018	9	25	Receipt for Surrender of Passport as to James A. Wolfe (kos, Deputy Clerk) (Entered: 06/11/2018)

AO 442 (Rev. 01/09) Arrest Warrant

SEALED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	for the
	District of Columbia
United States of America	per l'alternation de la company de la compan
James A. Wolfe	Case: 1:18-cr-00170 Assigned To : Judge Jackson, Ketanji Brown Assign. Date : 6/7/2018 Description: INDICTMENT
Defendant	
AR	REST WARRANT
To: Any authorized law enforcement officer	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to arrest and brown and programme of person to be arrested) James A. Wolfe who is accused of an offense or violation based on the	ing before a United States magistrate judge without unnecessary delay e following document filed with the court:
 ✓ Indictment □ Superseding Indictment □ Probation Violation Petition □ Supervised F 	☐ Information ☐ Superseding Information ☐ Complaint Release Violation Petition ☐ Violation Notice ☐ Order of the Court
This offense is briefly described as follows:	
18 U.S.C. § 1001- False Statement	
Date: 06/07/2018	Issuing officer's signature
City and state: Washington, D.C.	United States Magistrate Judge Robin M. Meriweather Printed name and title
	Return
This warrant was received on (date)	, and the person was arrested on (date)
U.S. District and Bankru for the District of Co	ptcy Courts INVESTIGATE COPY ONLY
Date: A TRUE CO	PY GRIGINAL ON FILE WILE
ANGELAD CAESAL	WE MAKSRAL KM LINET
Deputy Clerk	IIIN N 7 2018 Printed name and title

AO 442 (Rev. 01/09) Arrest Warrant (Page 2)

This second page contains personal identifiers provided for law-enforcement use only and therefore should not be filed in court with the executed warrant unless under seal.

(Not for Public Disclosure)

Name of defendant/offender: James A. Wolfe		
Known aliases:		
Last known residence: 5702 Rosanna Place, Ellicott City	y, MD 21043	
Prior addresses to which defendant/offender may still have ties	*	
		4
Last known employment:	,	
Last known telephone numbers:		
Place of birth:	· AND THE RESERVE	
Date of birth: 12/20/1960	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Social Security number: 403-02-4020	hand de de de la companya de la comp	and the second s
Height: 5' 10"	Weight:	UBK
Sex: Male	Race:	
Hair: Brown	Eyes:	Brown
Scars, tattoos, other distinguishing marks:		
		,
History of violence, weapons, drug use:		
Known family, friends, and other associates (name, relation, addre.	ss, phone numb	er):
1		
FBI number:		
Complete description of auto:		
Investigative agency and address: FBI WFO		
Name and telephone numbers (office and cell) of pretrial service	es or probat	ion officer (if applicable):
	•	
Date of last contact with pretrial services or probation officer (i	f applicable):	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
v.) Case: 1:18~cr~00170 Assigned To : Judge Jackson, Ketanji Brown
JAMES A. WOLFE	Assign. Date : 6/7/2018 Description: INDICTMENT

ORDER TO SEAL

Having considered the Government's Motion to Seal the Indictment, Bench Warrant, and Related Paperwork ("Motion to Seal"), and for good cause stated therein, the Court makes the following findings:

- 1. Sealing the Indictment, Bench Warrant, the Motion to Seal, and this Order in the above-captioned matter will further the legitimate prosecutorial interest in locating and obtaining custody of defendant James A. Wolfe.
- 2. The public docketing at this time of the Indictment, the Bench Warrant, the Motion to Seal, and this Order, could compromise the government's ability effectively to obtain custody of the defendant, who remains at large.
- 3. Accordingly, these facts present a legitimate basis for sealing the Indictment, the Bench Warrants, the Motion to Seal, and this Order to Seal.

Based on the foregoing findings of fact, the Motion is hereby

GRANTED, and it is hereby ORDERED that, in the above-captioned matter, the Indictment, the Bench Warrant, the Motion to Seal, and this Order to Seal shall be sealed by the Clerk of the Court, except that the United States Government may disclose the existence and/or contents of the Indictment, Bench Warrants and this Order to Seal to appropriate law



enforcement officials or Executive Branch member, and other personnel, to the extent that such disclosure is in furtherance of efforts to obtain custody of or to detain the defendant.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk's office shall not make any entry on the public docket in this case of the Indictment, Bench Warrant, the Motion to Seal, and this Order to Seal until further order of this Court.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Indictment and this Order to Seal shall be unsealed upon the arrest of the defendant.

It is FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall provide to the United States

Attorney's Office certified copies of the Indictment, Bench Warrant, and the instant Motion to Seal
and Order, upon request.

Date: 6/7/18

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cc:

Jocelyn Ballantine
Tejpal Chawla
Assistant United States Attorneys
555 4th Street, N.W., 11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 252-7252

SEALED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Holding a Criminal Term Grand Jury Sworn in on May 3, 2018

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Cı	riminal No.:
v.)) Vi	olation: 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (False Statement)
JAMES A. WOLFE)	,
The Grand Jury charges:	INDICTMEN COUNT ON	 Case: 1:18-cr-00170 Assigned To: Judge Jackson, Ketanji Brown Assign. Date: 6/7/2018 Description: INDICTMENT

At all times relevant to this Indictment, unless otherwise indicated:

BACKGROUND

- 1. Between in or around May 1987 and in or around December 2017, the defendant, JAMES A. WOLFE, was employed as the Director of Security for the United States Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI), located within the Hart Senate Office Building, in the District of Columbia. As SSCI Director of Security, WOLFE was responsible for receiving, maintaining, and managing all classified information provided to the SSCI by the Executive Branch of the United States.
- 2. "Classified information" is information the unauthorized disclosure of which reasonably could be expected to cause identifiable or describable damage to the national security of the United States. The unauthorized disclosure of SECRET information reasonably could be expected to cause serious damage to the national security. The unauthorized disclosure of TOP SECRET information reasonably could be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security.

FBI INVESTIGATION

- 3. Beginning in or around 2017, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), an agency of the Executive Branch of the United States government, was conducting a criminal investigation into multiple unauthorized disclosures of classified information to one or more members of the news media ("the FBI Investigation").
- 4. It was material to the FBI Investigation whether WOLFE had been in contact with any reporters and, if so, who those reporters were, the nature and extent of those contacts, and the means by which those contacts occurred.
- 5. On or about October 30, 2017, FBI agents met with WOLFE and informed him that they were investigating the unauthorized disclosure of classified information that had been provided to the SSCI by the Executive Branch of the United States for official purposes.
- 6. On or about December 15, 2017, FBI agents conducted a voluntary, noncustodial interview of WOLFE in the District of Columbia. Prior to questioning, the FBI agents presented WOLFE with a typewritten questionnaire ("the Investigative Questionnaire") which contained blank lines to check indicating "Yes" or "No" answers as well as space to provide any requested explanation. FBI agents read the questions in the Investigative Questionnaire aloud to WOLFE and he answered orally and wrote on the document.
 - a. Question 1 of the Investigative Questionnaire read as follows: "Do you understand that you are being provided this questionnaire as part of a criminal investigation being conducted by the FBI[?]" WOLFE stated and checked "Yes," and initialed this answer.
 - b. Question 3 of the Investigative Questionnaire advised WOLFE, "Do you understand making false statements, orally or in writing to the FBI in connection with a

federal criminal investigation is a violation of law, including but not limited to, a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001?" WOLFE stated and checked "Yes," and initialed this answer.

- c. During the interview, FBI agents showed WOLFE a copy of a news article authored by three reporters, including REPORTER #1, about an individual (referred to herein as "MALE-1"), that contained classified information that had been provided to the SSCI by the Executive Branch for official purposes.
- d. Question 9 of the Investigative Questionnaire asked, "Have you had any contact with" any of those three reporters. As to each reporter, WOLFE stated and checked "No."
- e. Question 10 of the Investigative Questionnaire asked, "Besides [the three named reporters], do you currently have or had any contact with any other reporters (professional, official, personal)?" Before answering this question, WOLFE stated orally to the FBI agents that although he had no official or professional contact with reporters, he saw reporters every day, and so to "feel comfortable" he would check "Yes." He did so, and initialed this answer.
- f. Question 10 of the Investigative Questionnaire further asked, "If yes, who and describe the relationship (professional, official, personal)." In the space provided, WOLFE hand wrote "Official No" and "Professional No." WOLFE then orally volunteered that he certainly did not talk to reporters about anything SSCI-related. FBI agents orally asked WOLFE if he had traveled internationally with any reporter, gone to a baseball game or to the movies with a reporter, or had weekly or regular electronic

communication with a reporter. To each question WOLFE verbally responded "No.".

WOLFE then wrote "Personal – No" on the Investigative Questionnaire.

- g. Question 11 of the Investigative Questionnaire asked, "If yes to question ten, did you discuss or disclose any official U.S. government information or documents whether classified or unclassified which is the property of the U.S. government without express authorization from the owner of the information?" WOLFE stated and checked "No" and initialed this answer.
- h. WOLFE signed and dated the Investigative Questionnaire next to the following warning: "I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct."
- 8. On or about December 15, 2017, after WOLFE signed the Investigative Questionnaire, the FBI agents asked WOLFE about an article written by REPORTER #2 that contained information that had been provided to the SSCI by the Executive Branch for official purposes. WOLFE denied knowing about the reporter's sources for the article. After WOLFE stated that he did not know about REPORTER #2's sources, FBI agents confronted WOLFE with pictures showing WOLFE together with REPORTER #2. After being confronted, WOLFE admitted to the FBI agents that he had lied to them, and that he had engaged in a personal relationship with REPORTER #2 since 2014, but maintained that he (WOLFE) had never disclosed to REPORTER #2 classified information or information that he learned as Director of Security for the SSCI that was not otherwise publicly available. WOLFE also stated that he never provided REPORTER #2 with news leads, intelligence, or information about non-public SSCI matters.

9. During the December 15, 2017 interview, WOLFE continued to deny any contact with other reporters and denied providing to any of them classified information or information that he learned as Director of Security for the SSCI that was not otherwise publicly available.

THE DEFENDANT'S COMMUNICATION WITH REPORTERS

- 10. Despite WOLFE's statements, WOLFE had, in truth, engaged in extensive contact with multiple reporters, including conveying to at least two reporters information about MALE-1. WOLFE used his personal cell phone, his SSCI-issued electronic mail account, and anonymizing messaging applications, including Signal and WhatsApp, to exchange electronic communications with reporters.
- 11. For example, between in or around December 2015 and in or around June 2017, WOLFE and REPORTER #1 communicated at least five times using his SSCI email account.
- 12. WOLFE regularly met clandestinely in person, and communicated, with REPORTER #2, with REPORTER #3, and with other reporters, in places where the substance of their communications was unlikely to be detected by others, including secluded areas of the Hart Senate Office Building, restaurants and bars, and private residences.

REPORTER #2

- 13. During in or around 2013 and in or around 2014, REPORTER #2 was an undergraduate student serving as an intern with a news service in Washington, D.C.
- 14. In approximately December 2013, WOLFE and REPORTER #2 began a personal relationship that continued until in or around December 2017.
- 15. From in or around mid-2014 through in or around December 2017, REPORTER #2 was employed in Washington, D.C. by several different news organizations covering national security matters, including matters relating to the SSCI. During this period, REPORTER #2 published dozens of news articles about SSCI and its activities.

16. From in or around mid-2014 through in or around December 2017, WOLFE and REPORTER #2 exchanged tens of thousands of electronic communications, often including daily texts and phone calls, and they frequently met in person at a variety of locations including Hart Senate Office Building stairwells, restaurants, and REPORTER #2's apartment. WOLFE and REPORTER #2 also communicated with each other through encrypted cell phone applications.

REPORTER #2 PUBLISHED INFORMATION ABOUT MALE-1 AFTER COMMUNICATING WITH THE DEFENDANT

- 17. In or around March 2017, a particular Executive Branch agency agreed to furnish the SSCI with a specific classified document ("the Classified Document") for official purposes. The Classified Document contained both SECRET and TOP SECRET information, including SECRET-level information regarding the identity and activities of the individual referred to in this Indictment as MALE-1.
- 18. On or about March 17, 2017, the Classified Document was transported to the SSCI.

 As Director of Security, WOLFE received, maintained, and managed the Classified Document on behalf of the SSCI.
- 19. On or about March 17, 2017, WOLFE exchanged 82 text messages with REPORTER #2, and that evening engaged in a 28-minute phone call with REPORTER #2.
- 20. On or about April 3, 2017, a news organization published an online article, under REPORTER #2's byline, that revealed the identity of MALE-1.
 - a. On or about that same date, both before and after the online news article was published, WOLFE and REPORTER #2 exchanged approximately 124 electronic communications.
 - b. Approximately 20 minutes after the online news article was published, WOLFE and REPORTER #2 had a cellphone call that lasted 7 minutes.

- c. Thereafter, on or about that date, REPORTER #2 appeared on a national cable television show to discuss REPORTER #2's online news article. Approximately 90 minutes later, WOLFE and REPORTER #2 had a phone call lasting 15 minutes.
- 21. In or around December 2017, but before being interviewed by the FBI, WOLFE sent a text message to REPORTER #2 that included the following: "I've watched your career take off even before you ever had a career in journalism. . . . I always tried to give you as much information that I could and to do the right thing with it so you could get that scoop before anyone else I always enjoyed the way that you would pursue a story like nobody else was doing in my hallway. I felt like I was part of your excitement and was always very supportive of your career and the tenacity that you exhibited to chase down a good story."

REPORTER #3

- 22. In or around 2017, REPORTER #3 was employed as a reporter with a news organization in Washington, D.C., and was assigned to cover national security matters, including matters relating to the SSCI.
- 23. Between in or around September 2017 and continuing until at least in or around December 2017, REPORTER #3 and WOLFE regularly communicated with each other using the anonymizing messaging application Signal, text messages, and telephone calls.

REPORTER #3 PUBLISHED INFORMATION ABOUT MALE-1 THAT WAS DISCLOSED TO HER BY THE DEFENDANT

- 24. On or about October 16, 2017, WOLFE informed REPORTER #3, using Signal, that he had served MALE-1 with a subpoena to appear before the SSCI.
- 25. On or about October 17, 2017, REPORTER #3 asked WOLFE, using Signal, to provide REPORTER #3 with MALE-1's contact information, and WOLFE agreed to do so. Later that day, a news organization published a story, under REPORTER #3's byline, reporting that

MALE-1 had been subpoenaed to testify by the SSCI, and that MALE-1 had been contacted by the news organization for comment. After the story was published, WOLFE congratulated REPORTER #3, using Signal, stating "Good job!" and "I'm glad you got the scoop." REPORTER #3 wrote back, using Signal, "Thank you. [MALE-1] isn't pleased, but wouldn't deny that the subpoena was served."

- 26. On or about October 18, 2017, MALE-1 sent an email to the SSCI, complaining that the news organization had published REPORTER #3's news article of the previous day, reporting that he had been subpoensed.
- 27. On or about October 24, 2017, at 7:00 a.m., WOLFE informed REPORTER #3, using Signal, that MALE-1 would testify in closed hearing before the SSCI "this week." At 9:58 a.m., REPORTER #3 sent an email to MALE-1, asking him to confirm that he would be "paying a visit to Senate Intelligence staffers this week." At 9:23 p.m., MALE-1 sent an email to the SSCI, forwarding the email he had received from REPORTER #3, and complaining that the details of his appearance had been leaked to the press.

REPORTER#4

- 28. In or around 2017, REPORTER #4 was employed as a reporter with a news organization in Washington, D.C., and was assigned to cover national security matters, including matters relating to the SSCI.
- 29. Between in or around October 2017 and continuing until at least in or around December 2017, REPORTER #4 and WOLFE regularly communicated with each other using the anonymizing messaging application Signal, text messages, and telephone calls.

30. In or around October 2017, WOLFE contacted REPORTER #4 using Signal, offering to act as an anonymous source. WOLFE specifically cautioned REPORTER #4 to "never use [WOLFE's] name to any of [REPORTER #4's] colleagues or other news related colleagues."

FALSE STATEMENT

31. On or about December 15, 2017, in the District of Columbia, defendant JAMES A. WOLFE did willfully and knowingly make a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of the Executive Branch of the Government of the United States, in that the defendant executed a written questionnaire in which he falsely stated and represented to agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation that he did not have contact with any reporter, when in truth and in fact, and as he then well knew and believed, WOLFE did have contact with REPORTER #1, REPORTER #3, and REPORTER #4, variously, on multiple occasions from at least in or around December 2015 until at least on or about December 15, 2017.

(18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2) - False Statement to a Government Agency)

COUNT TWO

- 32. The factual allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 are incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
- 33. On or about December 15, 2017, in the District of Columbia, defendant JAMES A. WOLFE did willfully and knowingly make a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation, in a matter within the jurisdiction of the Executive Branch of the Government of the United States, to wit, the defendant falsely stated and represented to agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation that he did not disclose to REPORTER #2 information that he learned as Director of Security for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence that was not otherwise publicly available, when in truth and in fact, and as he then well knew and believed, WOLFE did disclose such information to REPORTER #2, between on or about March 17, 2017, and on or about April 3, 2017.

(18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2) - False Statement to a Government Agency)

COUNT THREE

The factual allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 are incorporated 34. herein as if set forth in full.

On or about December 15, 2017; in the District of Columbia, defendant JAMES A. 35. WOLFE did willfully and knowingly make a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of the Executive Branch of the Government of the United States, in that the defendant falsely stated and represented to agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation that he did not disclose to REPORTER #3 information that he learned as Director of Security for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and that was not otherwise publicly available, when in truth and in fact, and as he then well knew and believed, WOLFE did disclose such information to REPORTER #3, during in or around October 2017.

(18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2) - False Statement to a Government Agency)

A TRUE BILL

FOREPERSON

and for the District of Columbia

U.S. District and Bank

JUN 0 7 2018

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	. *		
v.	*	Case No.	18-mj-1692-JMC
JAMES WOLFE	*		
	* * * * *		
ORDER APPOINTIN	NG FEDERAI	PUBLIC DEF	ENDER
The above named Defendant appointment of counsel under the Crimin	-		•
they know of no conflict precluding app	ointment of the	e Public Defende	r,
IT IS ORDERED this 8th	_ day of	June	, 2018, that the
Federal Public Defender for the District	t of Maryland	is appointed to r	epresent the above named
Defendant.		Mark Coulson nited States Mag	istrate Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Case No. 18-mj-1692-JMC

JAMES WOLFE

v.

* * * * * *

CONTRIBUTION ORDER

Although counsel has been appointed to represent the Defendant, it appears to the United States Magistrate Judge the Defendant may have sufficient resources to contribute financially toward the payment of counsel fees.

It is therefore required that court-appointed counsel as well as counsel for the government bring this matter to the attention of the judicial officer at the time of conviction or acquittal. The judicial officer may request the Probation Department investigate the Defendant's financial resources and recommend whether the Defendant should be required to pay any sum as a result of services rendered by court-appointed counsel pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. §

<u>June 8, 2018</u> Date

3006A(f).

J. Mark Coulson

United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the District of Maryland

		United States of America)	
		V.) Case No. 18-mj-1692-JMC	
		James Wolfe	Charging District's Case No. 1:18-cr-00170	
		Defendant	,	
			RULE 5 & 5.1 HEARINGS aint or Indictment)	
	I und	erstand that I have been charged in another	United States District Court, er district, the (name of other court) District of Columbia	
	I hav	e been informed of the charges and of my	rights to:	
	(1)	retain counsel or request the assignmen	nt of counsel if I am unable to retain counsel;	
	(2)	an identity hearing to determine wheth	er I am the person named in the charges;	
	(3) production of the warrant, a certified copy of the warrant, or a reliable electronic copy of either;			
	(4)		f my first appearance if I am in custody and 21 days otherwise whether there is probable cause to believe that an offense has	
	(5)	a hearing on any motion by the govern	ment for detention;	
	(6)	request transfer of the proceedings to t	nis district under Fed. R. Crim. P. 20, to plead guilty.	
	I agre	ee to waive my right(s) to:		
		an identity hearing and production of	the warrant.	
	☐ a preliminary hearing.			
	a detention hearing.			
	an identity hearing, production of the warrant, and any preliminary or detention hearing to which I may be entitled in this district. I request that those hearings be held in the prosecuting district, at a time set that court.			
pendir	I cons		ny appearance in the prosecuting district where the charges are	
Date:		June 8, 2018	Jann Worm	
		_	. VI' Befendant's signature	
			Signature of defendant's attorney MISTIGN LASS FO	
			Printed name of defendant's attorney 20	

Printed name of defendant's attorney

Date: June 8, 2018

UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
DAUTE OR D	rthe
District of 2010 JUN -8 P	of Maryland
	ų: U3
United States of America)
v.) Case No18-mj-1692-JMC
James Wolfe) Charging District's
Defendant) Case No. <u>1:18-cr-00170</u>
COMMITMENT TO	ANOTHER DISTRICT
N. Control of the Con	United States
The defendant has been ordered to appear in the	District Court District of Columbia .
The defendant may need an interpreter for this language:	N/A
The defendant: \Box will retain an attorney.	i I I ma on her artaret
is requesting court-appointed	counsel. Note: contribution order article
The defendant remains in custody after the initial ap	
the charging district and deliver the defendant to the United	in the charging district should immediately notify the United defendant's arrival so that further proceedings may be
	/

J. Mark Coulson, United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title

AO 199A (Rev. 11/08; Rev MD 02/12) Order Settings Conditions of Peluscopy 21 Of 3 Pages Outside 101 11 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FECEIVE for the USMS District of Maryland BALTING OF MARYLAND
United States of America v. Case No. 18-mj-1692-JMC James Wolfe Defendant Defendant
ORDER SETTING CONDITIONS OF RELEASE
IT IS ORDERED that the defendant's release is subject to these conditions:
(1) The defendant must not violate any federal, state or local law while on release.
(2) The defendant must cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample if the collection is authorized by 42 U.S.C. § 14135a.
(3) The defendant's residence must be approved by the U.S. Pretrial Services Officer (USPTO) supervising the defendant's release and the defendant must advise the court, defense counsel, and the U.S. attorney in writing before any change in address or telephone number.
(4) The defendant must appear in court as required and must surrender to serve any sentence imposed
The defendant must appear at (if blank, to be notified) Must appear on C/11/16 at 9:00 am at FBIT was him to be received of fire
Mustapler on C/12 presented, 45 pm betone Judge Robin Merricaented, US District Court Release on Personal Recognizance or Unsecured Bond District of Columbia
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be released on condition that:
(5) The defendant promises to appear in court as required and surrender to serve any sentence imposed.
☐ (6) The defendant executes an unsecured bond binding the defendant to pay to the United States the sum of
in the event of a failure to appear as required or surrender to serve any sentence imposed.
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF RELEASE
Upon finding that release by one of the above methods will not by itself reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant's release is subject to the conditions marked below:

(7) The defendant is placed in the custody of (name of person or organization):
at an address approved by the Pretrial Services Office. The defendant must not change that address without advance approval by the Pretrial Services Office who agrees (a) to supervise the defendant in accordance with all of the conditions of release, (b) to use every effort to assure the defendant's appearance at all scheduled court proceedings, and (c) to notify the court immediately if the defendant violates any condition of release or disappears.

Signed: Custodian or Proxy

Date

Tel. No (only if above is an organization)

ADVICE OF PENALTIES AND SANCTIONS

TO THE DEFENDANT:

YOU ARE ADVISED OF THE FOLLOWING PENALTIES AND SANCTIONS:

Violating any of the foregoing conditions of release may result in the immediate issuance of a warrant for your arrest, a revocation of your release, an order of detention, a forfeiture of any bond, and a prosecution for contempt of court and could result in imprisonment, a fine, or both.

While on release, if you commit a federal felony offense the punishment is an additional prison term of not more than ten years and for a federal misdemeanor offense the punishment is an additional prison term of not more than one year. This sentence will be consecutive (i.e., in addition to) to any other sentence you receive.

It is a crime punishable by up to ten years in prison, and a \$250,000 fine, or both, to: obstruct a criminal investigation; tamper with a witness, victim, or informant; retaliate or attempt to retaliate against a witness, victim, or informant; or intimidate or attempt to intimidate a witness, victim, juror, informant, or officer of the court. The penalties for tampering, retaliation, or intimidation are significantly more serious if they involve a killing or attempted killing.

If, after release, you knowingly fail to appear as the conditions of release require, or to surrender to serve a sentence, you may be prosecuted for failing to appear or surrender and additional punishment may be imposed. If you are convicted of:

- (1) an offense punishable by death, life imprisonment, or imprisonment for a term of fifteen years or more you will be fined not more than \$250,000 or imprisoned for not more than 10 years, or both;
- (2) an offense punishable by imprisonment for a term of five years or more, but less than fifteen years you will be fined not nore than \$250,000 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both:

(4) a misdemeanor - you will be fined not more than	an \$250,000 or imprisoned not more than two years, or both; n \$100,000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both. ar or surrender will be consecutive to any other sentence you receive. In
Acknowled	gment of the Defendant
elease, to appear as directed, and surrender to serve any sentence	at I am aware of the conditions of release. I promise to obey all conditions of eimposed. I am aware of the penalties and sanctions set forth above. **Defendant's Signature**
	BALTIMONE, MARYLAND
,	City and State
Directions to	the United States Marshal
The defendant is ORDERED released after processing. The United States Marshal is ORDERED to keep the posted bond and/or complied with all other conditional appropriate judge at the time and place specified. Date: June 8, 2018	ng. e defendant in custody until notified by the clerk or judge that the defendant has ons for release. If still in custody, the defendant must be produced before the
	Madicial Officer's Signature
	J. Mark Coulson, United States Magistrate Judge
*	Printed name and title

Casses 4: 181-0njr 0/106929-2 MIC/ICD document nt 09 Filled 1006/0181/1188 Fragge: 25 of f 125

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Felicia C. Cannon, Clerk Catherine M. Stavlas, Chief Deputy Elizabeth B. Snowden, Chief Deputy Reply to Northern Division Address

June 11, 2018

RECEIPT FOR SURRENDER OF PASSPORT

USA v. James A. Wolfe 1:18-mj-01692-JMC

Received 6/11/2018 from Counsel, one passport ending with 5473 issued by the country of the United States of America which is being surrendered to the Court as a special condition of the defendant's release. This passport is to be held in the custody of the Court until further ordered. Following the termination of these proceedings, the Clerk will order the destruction of any passport in custody beyond twenty years from date of issuance of the passport.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Folioio C. Connon

Felicia C. Cannon Clerk, U.S. District Court District of Maryland prepared by: K. Owens