SENATE

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Report} \\ 114\text{--}32 \end{array}$

CYBERSECURITY INFORMATION SHARING ACT OF 2015

APRIL 15, 2015.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. Burr, from the Select Committee on Intelligence, submitted the following

REPORT

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[To accompany S. 754]

The Select Committee on Intelligence, having considered an original bill (S. 754) to improve cybersecurity in the United States through enhanced sharing of information about cybersecurity threats, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

BACKGROUND AND NEED FOR LEGISLATION

Over the last several years, the Committee has listened with increasing alarm to the testimony of senior intelligence officials and private sector experts about the growing cybersecurity threats to our nation.

The Committee has already seen the impact these threats are having on the nation's security and its economy as losses to consumers, businesses, and the government from cyber attacks, penetrations, and disruptions already total billions of dollars. Beyond direct monetary losses, the continuing efforts of foreign actors to steal intellectual property will have far reaching impacts on the innovation upon which a robust economy and strong military relies. The Committee has seen widespread theft through cyberspace increasingly evolve into disruptive and destructive attacks. American financial institutions have been subjected to denial of service attacks by foreign actors that blocked consumers' access to banking services. Critical infrastructure companies abroad and businesses

in the United States have seen their vital business systems rendered useless by hostile actors operating in other countries. The reported destructive cyberattacks on the Las Vegas Sands Corporation and Sony Pictures Entertainment represent further escalation of this disturbing trend, including unprecedented efforts to destroy data of U.S. companies. Our nation is growing more vulnerable to cyber threats. Every aspect of society is growing more dependent on computers which are all linked to networks, opening this country up to many known vulnerabilities and many yet to be discovered.

The Committee and its staff have also engaged in hundreds of conversations with senior government and private sector officials that have demonstrated the need for a legislative effort to allow for the increased sharing of information about these cyber threats. There are many stakeholders who are engaged on these issues and the Committee is convinced that legislation is needed to assist them in finding better ways to work together to address our nation's shared cybersecurity challenges. This legislation is designed to create a voluntary cybersecurity information sharing process that will encourage public and private sector entities to share cyber threat information, removing legal barriers and the threat of unnecessary litigation. This in turn allows for greater cooperation and collaboration in the face of growing cybersecurity threats to national and economic security. Additionally, the Committee believes that such increased sharing will drive public and private sector cybersecurity efforts to develop key new technologies and processes, such as an improved ability to share technical threat information through an automated process in "real time" to counter cyber threats at machine speed.

Through the Committee's oversight of the Intelligence Community, it has long recognized the need to better use the government's knowledge and expertise about cyber threats for defensive purposes. This legislation includes requirements for the government to share more information, including classified information under appropriate safeguards, with relevant private sector entities to further cybersecurity. Often as a result of overclassification and parochialism, some cybersecurity information that could enable the businesses facing these threats to better protect themselves remains exclusively in the government. Although sensitive sources and methods must be protected, the government does not presently share adequate information about cyber threats. This bill encourages the government to expand this sharing and to create the ap-

propriate processes to do so.

This legislation also includes positive legal authorities for private companies to: (1) monitor their networks, or those of their customers upon authorization and written consent, for cybersecurity purposes; (2) take defensive measures to stop cyber attacks and (3) share cyber threat information with each other and with the government to further collective cybersecurity. Through extensive hearings, briefings, and discussions, the Committee has identified the need to provide carefully tailored cybersecurity authorities to address these current gaps. The Committee also recognizes the careful balance that must be struck in providing increased authorities to ensure they are used appropriately. This legislation creates a completely voluntary information-sharing framework that in-

cludes several layers of privacy protections to prevent abuse and ensure that the government cannot inappropriately acquire or use sensitive information other than for limited cybersecurity and pub-

lic safety purposes.

In addition to concerns about legal authorities, the specter of litigation for monitoring a company's own networks or sharing cyber threat indicators or defensive measures for cybersecurity purposes has disincentivized private sector cybersecurity efforts. Entities appropriately monitoring their systems for cybersecurity threats and sharing information necessary to protect against those threats should not be exposed to costly legal uncertainty for doing so. Moreover, it is these same companies who are the victims of malicious cyber activity, and their appropriate efforts to protect themselves and other future victims from cyber threats should not only be authorized but protected from unnecessary litigation. This legislation creates narrowly tailored liability protection to incentivize companies' efforts to identify cybersecurity threats and share information about them. However, this liability protection does not extend to defensive measures, nor does it protect unauthorized monitoring or sharing, including gross negligence or willful misconduct, that risks sensitive data rather than safeguarding it.

The Committee believes that the increased information sharing enabled by this bill is critical step forward for improving cybersecu-

rity in America.

SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION

The following is a section-by-section analysis and explanation of the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015 that is being reported by the Committee.

Section 1. Short title

Section 1 states that this Act may be cited as the "Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015."

Section 2. Definitions

Section 2 provides 18 definitions for this Act, to include the following key terms: "cybersecurity purpose," "cybersecurity threat," "cyber threat indicator." "defensive measure." and "monitor."

"cyber threat indicator," "defensive measure," and "monitor."

The term "cybersecurity purpose" means the purpose of protecting an information system or information that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system from a cybersecurity threat or security vulnerability. This definition ensures that the authorities of private entities to monitor and operate defensive measures must be exercised for the purpose of protecting their own networks and those of their customers when authorized by the written consent of such customers. The definition of "cybersecurity purpose" is also one of the main limitations on the ability of private and governmental entities to use cyber threat indicators and defensive measures.

The term "cybersecurity threat" is defined as an action, not protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, on or through an information system that may result in an unauthorized effort to adversely impact the security, availability, confidentiality, or integrity of an information system that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system. The term

does not include any action that solely involves a violation of a consumer term of service or a consumer licensing agreement. Many terms of service agreements prohibit activities that would also meet the "cybersecurity threat" definition; such activities would still be considered a "cybersecurity threat" because they were not "solely" violations of consumer agreements. The Committee intends this definition to include activities that may have unauthorized and negative results, but to exclude authorized activities, such as extensive use of bandwidth that may incidentally cause adverse effects. However, this definition clearly does not permit hackers to cloak their criminal actions like theft of information or destruction of property under the ambit of First Amendment protected activities.

The term "cyber threat indicator" is one of the most important definitions in this Act. It is defined as information that is necessary to describe or identify: (1) malicious reconnaissance, including anomalous patterns of communications that appear to be transmitted for the purpose of gathering technical information related to a cybersecurity threat or security vulnerability; (2) a method of defeating a security control or exploitation of a security vulnerability; (3) a security vulnerability, including anomalous activity that appears to indicate the existence of a security vulnerability; (4) a method of causing a user with legitimate access to an information system or information that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system to unwittingly enable the defeat of a security control or exploitation of a security vulnerability; (5) malicious cyber command and control; (6) the actual or potential harm caused by an incident, including a description of the information exfiltrated as a result of a particular cybersecurity threat; (7) any other attribute of a cybersecurity threat, if disclosure of such attribute is not otherwise prohibited by law; or (8) any combination thereof. This narrow definition is a key privacy protection in the Act because it creates an exhaustive list of the types of cyber threat information that can be shared among private and governmental entities, and only when they are necessary to describe or identify threats to information and information systems. Essentially, this definition limits the information that can be shared under this Act to the techniques and "malware" used by malicious actors to compromise the computer networks of their victims, not sensitive personal and business information contained in such net-

The term "defensive measure" is defined as an action, device, procedure, signature, technique, or other measure applied to an information system or information that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system that detects, prevents, or mitigates a known or suspected cybersecurity threat or security vulnerability. However, a defensive measure does not include a measure that destroys, renders unusable, or substantially harms an information system or data on an information system not belonging to the private entity operating such measure or another entity or Federal entity that is authorized to provide consent and has provided consent to that private entity for operation of such measure. Recognizing the inherent right of self-defense that entities have to protect their networks and data, the Committee intends for this definition to provide a positive legal authority allowing private entities to take measures to take appropriate steps to defend their own in-

formation networks and systems, or those of their customers when authorized by the written consent of such customers, against malicious cybersecurity threats. For example, a defensive measure could be something as simple as a security device that protects or limits access to a private entity's computer infrastructure or as complex as using sophisticated software tools to detect and protect against anomalous and unauthorized activities on a private entity's information system. Regardless, this definition does not authorize the use of measures that are generally to be considered "offensive" in nature, such as unauthorized access of or executing computer code on another entity's information systems or taking an action that would substantially harm another private entity's information systems. The Committee is aware that defensive measures on one entity's network could have effects on other networks. It is the Committee's intent that the authorization in this Act extends to defensive measures on an entity's information systems that do not cause substantial harm to another entity's information systems or data on such systems, regardless of whether such non-substantial

harm was intended or foreseen by the implementing entity.

The term "monitor" means to acquire, identify, or scan, or to possess, information that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system. This definition, as used in this Act, is not intended to equate to the meaning of the term "monitor" used in the context of the interception of communications under the Federal criminal wiretap statutes or electronic surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act. Specifically, private entities are only authorized to monitor their own information systems or those of another private entity upon the authorization and written consent of such other entity. Moreover, such monitoring is limited to cybersecurity purposes. Essentially, these important limitations ensure that private entities are only authorized to monitor their information systems to protect against cybersecurity threats and vulnerabilities. Any other monitoring would require lawful authority other than that provided in this Act.

Section 3. Sharing of Information by the Federal Government

Section 3 requires the Director of National Intelligence, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of Defense, and the Attorney General to develop and promulgate procedures that facilitate and promote the timely sharing of: (1) classified cyber threat indicators with cleared representatives of relevant entities; (2) declassified cyber threat indicators with relevant entities or the public; and (4) information in the possession of the Federal Government about cybersecurity threats to such entities to prevent or mitigate adverse effects from such cybersecurity threats. These procedures must ensure that the Federal government has and maintains the capability to share cyber threat indicators in real time consistent with the protection of classified information and incorporate to the greatest extent practicable existing processes and existing roles and responsibilities.

The procedures required by this section must also include a process for notifying entities that have received a cyber threat indicator from a Federal entity that is known or determined to be in error or in contravention of Federal law or policy. Federal entities receiv-

ing cyber threat indicators will also be required to implement and use security controls to protect against unauthorized access to or acquisition of such indicators. Moreover, the procedures require that a Federal entity, prior to sharing a cyber threat indicator, review and remove any information that the Federal entity knows at the time of the sharing to be personal information of or identifying a specific person not directly related to a cybersecurity threat or implement and use a technical capability configured to remove personal information of or identifying a specific person not directly related to a cybersecurity threat. In developing these procedures, the responsible officials must coordinate with other appropriate Federal entities, including the National Laboratories due to their technical expertise, so that effective protocols are implemented to facilitate and promote sharing in a timely manner. Within 60 days of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence in consultation with the heads of the appropriate Federal entities shall submit these procedures to the Congress.

Section 4. Authorizations for Preventing, Detecting, Analyzing, and Mitigating Cybersecurity Threats

Subsection (a) of Section 4 provides a private entity with the authority to monitor, for cybersecurity purposes: (1) its own information systems; (2) an information system of another entity, upon the authorization and written consent of such other entity; (3) an information system of a Federal entity, upon the authorization and written consent of an authorized representative of the Federal entity; and (4) information that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system monitored by the private entity. Nothing in subsection (a) shall be construed to authorize the monitoring of information systems, or the use of any information obtained through such monitoring of such information systems, other than as provided in this Act.

Subsection (b) provides private entities with the authority to operate defensive measures, for cybersecurity purposes, that are applied to its information systems to protect the rights and property of such private entities, those of another entity upon written consent of such entity for operation of such defensive measures to protect the rights and property of that entity, or those of a Federal entity upon written consent of an authorized representative of such Federal entity for operation of such defensive measures to protect the rights or property of the Federal Government. This subsection does not authorize the use of defensive measures other than for cybersecurity purposes.

Under subsection (c), an entity is authorized to share with or receive from any other entity or the Federal Government cyber threat indicators and defensive measures for the purposes permitted under this Act, consistent with the protection of classified information when applicable. An entity receiving cyber threat indicators and defensive measures from another entity or Federal entity must comply with otherwise lawful restrictions placed on the sharing or use of such cyber threat indicators or defensive measures by the sharing entity or Federal entity, such as a limitation of future sharing of the indicators or measures.

An entity monitoring information systems, operating defensive measures or providing or receiving defensive measures under Section 4 must implement and utilize security controls to protect against unauthorized access to or acquisition of such cyber threat indicators or defensive measures.

Prior to sharing a cyber threat indicator pursuant to this Act, an entity shall review such cyber threat indicator to assess whether such indicator contains any information that the entity knows at the time of sharing to be personal information of or identifying a specific person not directly related to a cybersecurity threat and remove such information or implement and utilize a technical capability configured to remove any information contained with such indicator that the entity knows at the time of the sharing to be personal information of or identifying a specific person not directly related to a cybersecurity threat. During the Committee's drafting of the legislation, industry groups and trade associations noted that the requirement to remove personal information may preclude some companies, especially smaller ones, from participating in the information sharing process endorsed by the bill. As a private entity must ensure that any information shared meets the definition for "cyber threat indicator" or "defensive measure" to comply with the Act, the requirement to remove any known unnecessary privacy information strikes the appropriate balance between narrowly tailoring what information can be shared and providing a practicable standard. Further, the Committee hopes that the Attorney General guidance required in section 5 and common practices and guidelines will assist smaller and middle-sized companies implement this requirement.

Section 4 authorizes an entity to use cyber threat indicators and defensive measures, for cybersecurity purposes, to monitor or operate defensive measures on its information systems or those of an-

other entity or Federal entity upon written consent.

A cyber threat indicator shared by an entity with a State, tribal, or local department or agency may, with the prior written consent of such entity, be used for the purpose of preventing, investigating, or prosecuting any of the offenses described in Section 5(d)(5)(A)(vi). These offenses involve imminent threats of death, serious bodily harm, or serious economic harm, including a terrorist act or a use of a weapon of mass destruction. They also include serious violent felonies and offenses related to fraud and identity theft, and protection of trade secrets. If the need for immediate use prevents a State, tribal, or local department or agency from obtaining written consent before such use, consent may be provided orally with subsequent documentation of consent. The entity providing consent for this use must have the authorization to possess and share such a cyber threat indicator under this Act and must conduct such sharing consistent with the conditions set out.

Cyber threat indicators shared with a State, tribal, or local department or agency under Section 4 are deemed voluntarily shared information and exempt from disclosure under any State, tribal, or

local law requiring disclosure of information or records.

In general, cyber threat indicators shared with a State, tribal, or local government under this Act shall not be directly used by any State, tribal, or local government to regulate, which includes bringing an enforcement action, the lawful activity of any entity, including an activity relating to monitoring, operating a defensive measure, or sharing of a cyber threat indicator. However, a cyber threat

indicator or defensive measure may, consistent with a State, tribal, or local government regulatory authority specifically relating to the prevention or mitigation of cybersecurity threats to information systems, inform the development or implementation of a regulation relating to such information systems. The Committee views this as a narrow exception to ensure that government agencies with regulatory authority understand the current landscape of cyber threats and those facing the particular regulatory sector over which they have cognizance.

Under subsection (e), two or more private entities are not to be considered in violation of any provision of antitrust law when exchanging or providing a cyber threat indicator, or assistance relating to the prevention, investigation, or mitigation of a cybersecurity threat, for cybersecurity purposes under this Act. This provision should be read in conjunction with the rule of construction in Section 8(e) that nothing in the Act shall be construed to permit pricefixing, allocating a market between competitors, monopolizing or attempting to monopolize a market, boycotting, or exchanges of price or cost information, customer lists, or information regarding future competitive planning. The bill allows for the sharing of cybersecurity-related information for cybersecurity purposes, acknowledging that doing so might otherwise be a potential violation of anti-trust laws that seek to limit sharing of information for other purposes. The bill does not intend to protect companies from engaging in anti-competitive behavior under the guise of cybersecurity.

Further, this subsection only applies to information that is exchanged or assistance provided to the communication or disclosure of cyber threat indicators for the facilitation of the prevention, investigation, or mitigation of cybersecurity threats to an information system or information that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system.

Section 4 also clarifies that the sharing of cyber threat indicators under this Act shall not create a right or benefit to similar information by such entity or another entity.

Section 5. Sharing of Cyber Threat Indicators and Defensive Measures with the Federal Government

Section 5 directs the Attorney General, in coordination with the heads of appropriate Federal entities, to develop and submit to Congress not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act interim policies and procedures relating to the receipt of cyber threat indicators and defensive measures by the Federal Government. Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Attorney General, in coordination with the heads of appropriate Federal entities, is required to promulgate a final version of such policies and procedures.

The policies and procedures developed under Section 5 must meet several requirements in addition to being consistent with the Attorney General's privacy and civil liberties guidelines required by subsection (b). They must ensure that cyber threat indicators shared with the Federal Government through the real time process described in subsection (c)—the capability and process within the DHS—are shared in an automated manner with all appropriate Federal entities, are not subject to any delay or interference, and may be provided to other Federal entities. The Committee intends

that these policies and procedures both enable the delivery of real time information about cybersecurity threats to appropriate Federal entities and provide sufficient technical controls to protect pri-

vacy information.

For cyber threat indicators shared in a manner other than the real-time process described in subsection (c), the policies and procedures shall ensure that cyber threat indicators are shared as quickly as operationally practicable with all appropriate Federal entities, are not subject to unnecessary delay, interference, or any other action that could impede receipt by all of the appropriate Federal entities, and may be provided to other Federal entities. As cyber threat indicators received outside of the real-time process in subsection (c) may be received by the Federal Government in a format less conducive to "as quickly as operationally practicable" sharing, the Committee intends that this sharing requirement will vest when such information is in a format that can feasibly be shared. Once a cyber threat indicator can feasibly be shared with appropriate Federal entities, the Federal entity possessing such indicator must proceed to share it consistent with the policies and procedures and without unnecessary delay. The Attorney General's policies and procedures should include how such cyber threat indicators will be put into a shareable format and the proper sharing procedures within the Federal Government. Further, the policies and procedures shall govern the retention, use, and dissemination of cyber threat indicators shared with the Federal Government, consistent with this Act, otherwise applicable law, and consistent with the applicable sections of the commonly accepted fair information practice principles. To ensure compliance, an audit capability and appropriate sanctions for officers, employees, or agents of a Federal entity who knowingly and willfully conduct unauthorized activities are required to be included in the policies and procedures.

In an effort to assist the public and promote sharing of cyber threat indicators, Section 5 requires the Attorney General to develop and make publicly available guidance that: (1) identifies the types of information that would qualify as a cyber threat indicator under this Act that would be unlikely to include personal information of or identifying a specific person not directly related to a cyber security threat; (2) identifies the types of information that are protected under otherwise applicable privacy laws that are unlikely to be directly related to a cybersecurity threat; and (3) contains such other matters as the Attorney General considers appropriate for entities sharing cyber threat indicators with Federal en-

tities under this Act.

Section 5 also directs the Attorney General, not later than 60 days after the date of enactment, in coordination with heads of the appropriate Federal entities and in consultation with privacy and civil liberties officers of such entities, to develop, submit to Congress, and make available to the public interim guidelines relating to privacy and civil liberties that will govern the receipt, retention, use, and dissemination of cyber threat indicators by a Federal entity obtained in connection with activities authorized under this Act. Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment, the Attorney General shall, in coordination with the heads of the appropriate Federal entities and in consultation with privacy and civil liberties officers of such entities and such private entities with industry ex-

pertise as the Attorney General considers relevant, promulgate final privacy guidelines that shall govern the receipt, retention, use, and dissemination of cyber threat indicators by a Federal entity obtained in connection with activities authorized in this Act. The Attorney General is also required to periodically review these privacy guidelines, again in coordination with the heads of the appropriate Federal entities and in consultation with privacy and civil liberties officers and industry experts. Consistent with the need to protect information from cybersecurity threats and mitigate those threats, the guidelines are required to limit the impact on privacy and civil liberties from activities by the Federal Government under this Act. These guidelines shall also limit the receipt, retention, use, and dissemination of cyber threat indicators containing personal information of or identifying specific persons. As part of these limitations, the guidelines will establish a process for the timely destruction of information that is known not to be directly related to uses authorized under this Act and specific limitations on the length of time a cyber threat indicator may be retained by the Federal Government.

The guidelines will include requirements to safeguard cyber threat indicators containing personal information of or identifying specific persons from unauthorized access or acquisition, including appropriate sanctions for activities by officers, employees, or agents of the Federal Government in contravention of such guidelines. If a Federal entity determines or knows that it has received information that does not constitute a cyber threat indicator, the guidelines shall include a procedure to notify entities and Federal entities. The privacy and civil liberties guidelines will protect the confidentiality of cyber threat indicators containing personal information of or identifying specific persons to the greatest extent practicable, and they will require recipients to be informed that such indicators may only be used for purposes authorized under this Act. They must also include steps that may be needed so that dissemination of cyber threat indicators is consistent with the protection of classified and other sensitive national security information.

Subsection (c) requires the Secretary of Homeland Security, not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and in coordination with the heads of the appropriate Federal entities, to develop and implement a capability and process (commonly referred to as a "portal") within the DHS that accepts cyber threat indicators and defensive measures from any entity in real time. The Committee intends that this DHS capability should build upon current Federal Government efforts to both more efficiently receive cyber threat indicators from outside the Federal Government and to more efficiently share such indicators within the Federal Government.

Upon certification by the Secretary of Homeland Security, this capability shall be the process by which the Federal Government receives cyber threat indicators and defensive measures shared by a private entity through electronic mail or media, an interactive form on an Internet website, or a real time, automated process between information systems. There are only two exceptions to this requirement: (1) communications between a Federal entity and a private entity regarding a previously shared cyber threat indicator; and (2) communications by a regulated entity with such entity's

Federal regulatory authority regarding a cybersecurity threat. The sharing of cyber threat indicators and defensive measures in other formats where there is less privacy risk, such as a telephone call, letter, or in-person meeting, receives liability protection regardless of whether it is first sent through the DHS portal.

When cyber threat indicators and defensive measures are shared through the DHS capability, the Secretary of Homeland Security will ensure that all of the appropriate Federal entities, as defined, receive them consistent with applicable policies, procedures, and

guidelines in Section 5.

The DHS capability and process does not limit or prohibit otherwise lawful disclosures of communications, records, or other information, including: (1) reporting of known or suspected criminal activity, by an entity to any other entity or a Federal entity; (2) voluntary or legally compelled participation in a Federal investigation; or (3) providing cyber threat indicators or defensive measures as part of a statutory or authorized contractual requirement.

Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall submit to Congress a report on the development and implementation of the capability and process re-

quired by this section.

Subsection (d) includes a number of protections for information shared with or provided to the Federal Government. The provision of cyber threat indicators and defensive measures to the Federal Government under this Act does not constitute the waiver of any applicable privilege or protection provided by law, including trade secret protection. A cyber threat indicator or defensive measure provided by an entity to the Federal Government under this Act shall be considered the commercial, financial, and proprietary information of such entity when so designated by the originating entity. Consistent with this Act and all privileges, protections, and any claims of propriety on such cyber threat indicators or defensive measures, the Committee expects that the Federal Government will further share and use such information for cybersecurity purposes. This sharing and use will be governed by the policies, procedures, and guidelines required by Section 5. Cyber threat indicators and defensive measures provided to the Federal Government under this Act will also be deemed voluntary shared information and exempt from disclosure under section 5 U.S.C. 552 and any State, tribal, or local law requiring disclosure of information or records. Additionally, such cyber threat indicators and defensive measures shall be withheld without discretion from the public under 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(3)(B) and any State, tribal, or local law requiring disclosure of information or records. The provision of cyber threat indicators and defensive measures under this Act shall not be subject to the rules of any Federal agency or department or any judicial doctrine regarding ex parte communications with a decision-making official.

Cyber threat indicators and defensive measures provided to the Federal Government under this Act may be disclosed to, retained by, and used by, consistent with otherwise applicable Federal law, any Federal agency or department, component, officer, employee, or agent of the Federal Government solely for the purposes identified by Section 5, and consistent with the procedures developed by the Attorney General. These purposes are: (1) a cybersecurity purpose;

(2) the purpose of identifying a cybersecurity threat, including the source of such cybersecurity threat, or a security vulnerability; (3) the purpose of identifying a cybersecurity threat involving the use of an information system by a foreign adversary or terrorist; (4) the purpose of responding to, or otherwise preventing or mitigating, an imminent threat of death, serious bodily harm, or serious economic harm, including a terrorist act or a use of a weapon of mass destruction; (5) the purpose of responding to, or otherwise preventing or mitigating, a serious threat to a minor, including sexual exploitation and threats to physical safety; or (6) the purpose of preventing, investigating, disrupting, or prosecuting an offense arising out of a previously described imminent threat or any of the offenses listed in Section 5(d)(5)(vi), including offenses related to serious violent felonies, fraud and identity theft, espionage and censorship, and protection of trade secrets. The word "imminent" in paragraph 5(d)(5)(A)(iv) is intended to modify all the threats listed in that paragraph, to include the threat of a terrorist act or use of a weapon of mass destruction.

Use of cyber threat indicators and defensive measures by the Federal Government will be conducted in accordance with the policies, procedures, and guidelines required in Section 5, and will be done in a manner that protects from unauthorized use or disclosure any cyber threat indicators that may contain personal information of or identifying specific persons and protects the confidentiality of such information.

Additionally, such cyber threat indicators and defensive measures shared with the Federal Government under this Act shall not be directly used by any Federal, State, tribal, or local government to regulate, including an enforcement action, the lawful activities of any entity, including an activity relating to monitoring, operating a defensive measure, or sharing of a cyber threat indicator. However, a cyber threat indicator or defensive measure may, consistent with Federal or State regulatory authority specifically relating to the prevention or mitigation of cybersecurity threats to information systems, inform the development or implementation of a regulation relating to such information systems. As previously described, the Committee intends for this exception to be narrowly constrained to improving the government's understanding of cybersecurity threats. The procedures developed and implemented under this Act are not to be considered regulations within the meaning of this section.

Section 6. Protection from Liability

Subsection (a) of Section 6 provides that no cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court against any private entity, and such action shall be promptly dismissed, for the monitoring of information systems and information under Section 4 that is conducted in accordance with this Act. The Committee intends that monitoring for cybersecurity purposes as authorized by this Act should be protected from liability to encourage private entities' efforts to identify cybersecurity threats.

Subsection (b) provides that no cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court against any entity, and such action shall be promptly dismissed, for the sharing or receipt of cyber threat indicators or defensive measures under Section 4 when conducted in

accordance with this Act, including cases in which such information is shared with the Federal Government in a manner consistent with subsection (c)(1)(B) of Section 5. Liability protection for the sharing or receipt of cyber threat indicators or defensive measures under Section 4 conducted in accordance with this Act, and in a manner consistent with subsection (c)(1)(B) of Section 5, does not go into effect until the earlier of the date on which the interim policies required under Section 5(a)(1) are submitted to Congress or the date that is 60 days after this Act's date of enactment. In all other cases where the sharing or receipt of cyber threat indicators or defensive measures is conducted in accordance with the Act, liability protection is effective immediately upon enactment of this Act. The Committee intends that the sharing between entities of cyber threat indicators and defensive measures for cybersecurity purposes in accordance with this Act, including the removal of sensitive personal information not directly related to a cybersecurity threat, should be protected from claims. Activities conducted in contravention of this Act's provisions are not entitled to such liability protection, but this Act does not create any cause of action for such non-compliance. When private entities share cyber threat indicators or defensive measures with the Federal Government in a manner consistent with subsection (c)(1)(B) of Section 5, such entities should also not be subject to burdensome litigation. The Committee intends that entities sharing such information with the Federal Government should do so consistently with required procedures to qualify for such protection.

Subsection (c) clarifies that nothing in this section shall be construed to require dismissal of a cause of action against an entity that has engaged in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the course of conducting activities authorized by this Act. Also, nothing in this section shall be construed to undermine or limit the availability of otherwise applicable common law or statutory defenses. The Committee intends to protect the responsible behavior of entities furthering cybersecurity under the authorizations and procedures of this Act, but it does not seek to protect willful or reckless activities that violate the letter and spirit of its provisions. Entities should not use Section 6 as an excuse to engage in wanton or dangerous activities, nor should they consider it to indemnify them for purposes other than the purposes authorized by this Act.

This section does not provide protections from liability arising out of a private entity's use of defensive measures, because it is the Committee's intent to maintain the status quo with respect to the use of cybersecurity defensive measures. While section 4 authorizes the use of defensive measures by an entity on its information networks or the networks of a consenting entity, the Committee notes that the use of defensive measures may have significant impact on those networks or in physical space. The lack of liability protection for the use of defensive measures should not be interpreted as the Committee taking any view on whether and how defensive measures should or should not be implemented.

Section 7. Oversight of Government Activities

Section 7 mandates reports on implementation and privacy impacts by agency heads, Inspectors General, and the Privacy Civil Liberties Oversight Board to ensure that cyber threat information

is properly received, handled, and shared by the federal government.

Section 8. Construction and Preemption

Section 8 contains 19 construction provisions for this Act. Nothing in this Act shall be construed to: (1) limit or prohibit otherwise lawful disclosures of communications, records, or other information; (2) preempt any employee from exercising whistleblower rights currently provided under any law, rule, or regulation; (3) create any immunity against, or otherwise affecting, any action brought by the Federal Government to enforce any law, executive order, or procedure governing the appropriate handling, disclosure, or use of classified information; (4) affect the conduct of authorized law enforcement or intelligence activities; (5) modify the authority of the Federal Government to protect classified information and sources and methods and the national security of the United States; (6) affect any requirement under any other provision of law for an entity to provide information to the Federal Government; (7) permit pricefixing, allocating a market between competitors, monopolizing or attempting to monopolize a market, boycotting, or exchanges of price or cost information, customer lists, or information regarding future competitive planning; (8) limit or modify an existing information sharing relationship; (9) prohibit a new information sharing relationship; (10) require a new information relationship between any entity and the Federal Government; (11) require the use of the DHS capability in Section 5(c); (12) amend, repeal, or supersede any current or future contractual relationship between any entities, or between any entity and the Federal Government; (13) abrogate trade secret or intellectual property rights of any entity or Federal entity; (14) permit the Federal government to require an entity to provide information to the Federal Government; (15) permit the Federal Government to condition the sharing of cyber threat indicators with an entity on such entity's provision of cyber threat indicators to the Federal Government; (16) permit the Federal Government to condition the award of any Federal grant, contract, or purchase on the provision of a cyber threat indicator to a Federal entity; (17) subject any entity to liability for choosing not to engage in the voluntary activities authorized in this Act; (18) authorize, or to modify any existing authority of, a department or agency of the Federal Government to retain or use any information shared under this Act for any use other than permitted in this Act; or (19) limit the authority of the Secretary of Defense to develop, prepare, coordinate, or, when authorized by the President to do so, conduct a military cyber operation in response to a malicious cyber activity carried out against the United States or a United States person by a foreign government or an organization sponsored by a foreign government or a terrorist organization.

This bill supersedes any statute or other law of a State or political subdivision of a State that restricts or otherwise expressly regulates an activity authorized under this bill. However, this bill shall not be construed to supersede any statute or other law of a State or political subdivision of a State concerning the use of authorized law enforcement practices and procedures.

Nothing in this bill shall be construed to authorized the promulgation of any regulations not specifically authorized by this bill, es-

tablish any regulatory authority not specifically established under this bill, or to authorize regulatory actions that would duplicate or conflict with regulatory requirements, mandatory standards, or related processes under Federal law.

Section 9. Report on Cybersecurity Threats

Section 9 requires the Director of National Intelligence to submit a one-time report to the congressional intelligence committees on cybersecurity threats, including cyber attacks, theft, and data breaches.

Section 10. Conforming Amendments

Section 10 makes a technical amendment to 5 U.S.C. 552(b). Section 10 also makes a conforming amendment to Section 941 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to allow the Secretary of Defense to share information received under that section consistent with this bill.

COMMITTEE ACTION

On March 12, 2015, a quorum being present, the Committee met to consider the bill and amendments. The Committee took the following actions:

Votes on amendments to committee bill

By a voice vote, the Committee made the Chairman and Vice Chairman's bill the base text for purposes of amendment. The Committee also authorized the staff to make technical and conforming changes in the bill following the completion of the markup.

The Committee moved to consideration of the managers' amendment by the Chairman, which was developed jointly by the Chairman and the Vice Chairman, and adopted the managers' amendment by a voice vote.

By a vote of 7 ayes to 8 noes, the Committee rejected an amendment by Senator Collins to require entities that own or control information systems that are deemed essential to the operation of designated critical infrastructure to report successful intrusions of those under certain circumstances. According to the amendment, such reporting would only be required with respect to systems where a cybersecurity incident could reasonably result in catastrophic regional or national effects on public health or safety, economic security, or national security. The votes in person or by proxy were as follows: Chairman Burr—no; Senator Risch—no; Senator Coats—aye; Senator Rubio—no; Senator Collins—aye; Senator Blunt—no; Senator Lankford—no; Senator Cotton—no; Vice Chairman Feinstein—no; Senator Wyden—no; Senator Mikulski—aye; Senator Warner—aye; Senator Heinrich—aye; Senator King—aye; Senator Hirono—aye.

By a vote of 3 ayes to 12 noes, the Committee rejected an amendment by Senator Wyden to prohibit the federal government from mandating that private companies deliberately introduce security weaknesses into their products. The votes in person or by proxy were as follows: Chairman Burr—no; Senator Coats—no; Senator Rubio—no; Senator Collins—no; Senator Blunt—no; Senator Lankford—no; Senator Cotton—no; Vice Chairman Feinstein—no;

Senator Wyden—aye; Senator Mikulski— no; Senator Warner— no; Senator Heinrich—aye; Senator King— no; Senator Hirono—aye.

By a voice vote, the Committee adopted an amendment by Senator Heinrich to require the Attorney General develop and make publicly available guidance to assist entities on the types of information that would qualify as cyber threat indicators under the bill and identify types of information that are protected under other-

wise applicable privacy laws.

By a voice vote, the Committee adopted an amendment by Senator Hirono and Senator Rubio to place the Attorney General privacy guidelines on the same timeline as the bill requires for the Attorney General policies and procedures for the receipt of cyber threat indicators and defensive measures by the government. The amendment also requires the Attorney General to consult with private entities with industry expertise that are considered relevant before the promulgation of the final privacy guidelines.

Vote to report the committee bill

The Committee voted to report the bill as amended, by a vote of 14 ayes to 1 no. Chairman Burr—aye; Senator Risch—aye; Senator Coats—aye; Senator Rubio—aye; Senator Collins—aye; Senator Blunt—aye; Senator Lankford—aye; Senator Cotton—aye; Vice Chairman Feinstein—aye; Senator Wyden—no; Senator Mikulski aye; Senator Warner—aye; Senator Heinrich—aye; Senator King aye; Senator Hirono—aye.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XLIV

Rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate requires publication of a list of any "congressionally directed spending item, limited tax benefit, and limited tariff benefit" that is included in the bill or the committee report accompanying the bill. Consistent with the determination of the Committee not to create any congressionally directed spending items or earmarks, none have been included in the bill or this report. The bill and report also contain no limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits.

ESTIMATE OF COSTS

Pursuant to paragraph 11(a)(1) of rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the Committee estimates that implementing the bill would have a discretionary cost of about \$20 million over the 2015–2019 period, assuming appropriation of the necessary amounts. Enacting S. 754 would not affect direct spending or revenues; therefore pay-as-you-go procedures do not apply. On March 17, 2015, the Committee transmitted this bill to the Congressional Budget Office and requested it to conduct an estimate of the costs incurred in carrying out S. 754.

EVALUATION OF REGULATORY IMPACT

In accordance with paragraph 11(b) of rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, the Committee finds that no substantial regulatory impact will be incurred by implementing the provisions of this legislation.

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF SEN. HEINRICH AND SEN. HIRONO

The rising incidences of cyber attacks on our private and public networks increasingly threaten our economic and national security. Although the enactment of the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act, or CISA, would not necessarily prevent such attacks, there is a general consensus that facilitating cybersecurity information sharing between the private sector and federal government would promote a common understanding of the threats we face and allow the private sector to more effectively defend its networks.

We supported the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act during its consideration in the Senate Intelligence Committee because we support the broad aims of this bill. In particular, we agree that individuals, companies, and government institutions can best protect themselves from cyber-attacks when they are aware of the presence and nature of cyber threats. But the only way to ensure the broadest dissemination of threat information is to develop a framework in which that information can be shared and disseminated with ap-

propriate restraints, guidance, and oversight.

The bill as passed out of the Committee provides more restraints, guidance, and oversight than did the earlier draft version of the legislation, including a narrowing of the definition and authorized use of defensive measures, fewer exceptions for liability protections for information shared outside of the DHS portal, and more limits

on how cyber threat information is used.

In addition, we are pleased that the Committee adopted amendments we offered during the bill's markup. Senator Heinrich's amendment requires the Attorney General to develop guidance to help private sector companies understand the types of information typically considered to be cyber threat indicators, and the types of personal information generally considered unrelated to such a threat. Senator Hirono's amendment—offered with Senator Rubio—requires the privacy guidelines called for in the bill to be developed and promulgated in a timely and thorough manner, alongside the policies and procedures to be developed for the cyber threat information sharing program.

But we continue to harbor concerns about some of the bill's provisions. Vice Chairman Feinstein noted that the goal of the bill is for companies and the government to voluntarily share information about cybersecurity threats—not about personal information. Our concern is that, however well intended, the bill's provisions do not adequately direct companies to remove personally identifiable information when sharing cyber threat indicators with the government. The bill also lacks a directive that the Department of Homeland Security scrub cyber threat indicators for unnecessary personally identifiable information before sharing that information with other areas of the federal government. Further, the bill confers broad liability protections on companies before requiring them to

abide by privacy guidelines. We believe that the privacy guidelines required in the bill should be treated as a serious component of the new cyber threat sharing regime—not as an afterthought—and thus should be promulgated before the liability protections in this legislation take effect.

Finally, we are unconvinced that it is necessary to create an entirely new exemption to the Freedom of Information Act, or FOIA. Government transparency is critical in order for citizens to hold their elected officials and bureaucrats accountable; however, the bill's inclusion of a new FOIA exemption is overbroad and unnecessary as the types of information shared with the government through this bill would already be exempt from unnecessary public release under current FOIA exemptions. And to the extent FOIA exemptions need to be updated, those changes should only be made following open hearings in which all stakeholders have an opportunity to have their voices heard.

We are committed to addressing some of these issues through amendments on the Senate floor, and believe there should be an open amendment process as this bill moves forward. A number of our colleagues on the Committee offered important amendments during the markup that we hope will be offered again for full Senate consideration—in particular, a number of those offered by Senator Wyden, and one by Sen. Collins to require mandatory reporting of cybersecurity intrusions for the most critical infrastructure

owners and operators.

As with other countries around the world, the United States is still just beginning to find ways to confront and mitigate the very real dangers our country faces from cyber threats. Thus far, we have seen no perfect answers. But this bill is not intended to confront every threat. We support it as a way for the government and private sector to begin to address the shared threat that cyber attacks represent, and we will look forward to a robust debate on the floor.

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF SENATOR COLLINS

The Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015 eliminates some of the legal and economic disincentives impeding voluntary two-way information sharing between private industry and government and is a first step in improving our nation's dangerously inadequate defenses against cyber attacks. This bill is insufficient, however, to protect the critical infrastructure of the American people who rely upon this infrastructure for their safety, health, and economic well-being. Simply put, the current threat posed by cyber actors is too great and the vulnerability of existing information systems operating critical infrastructure too widespread to depend solely upon voluntary measures to protect the most essential of these systems upon which our country and citizens depend.

Without information about intrusions into our most critical infrastructure, our government's ability to defend the country against advanced persistent threats will suffer in a domain where speed is critical. This threat is not theoretical. Admiral Mike Rogers, the director of the National Security Agency, has publicly discussed the cyber threat posed against critical infrastructure. In addition to stating his belief that U.S. Cyber Command will be tasked to help defend critical infrastructure, he has said that "We have . . . observed intrusions into industrial control systems . . . what concerns us is that . . . capability can be used by nation-states, groups or individuals to take down the capability of the control systems."

A tiered system of information sharing is part of the solution to address this significant vulnerability. The first tier of reporting should be voluntarily, rely upon the procedures established in this legislation, and be utilized by 99 percent of businesses. The second tier of reporting should be mandatory, and it should apply only to a subset of critical infrastructure where a cybersecurity incident could reasonably be expected to result in *catastrophic* regional or national effects on public health or safety, economic security, or national security.

For this reason, I offered an amendment during the Committee's consideration of the bill to implement this tiered system by requiring the small number of the owners and operators of the country's most critical infrastructure at greatest risk to report to the federal government intrusions of information systems essential to the operation of critical infrastructure.

Had my amendment been adopted, 99.99 percent of businesses and 96 percent of critical infrastructure would still decide for themselves whether or not to share information with the government. The four percent of critical infrastructure at greatest risk of a devastating cyber attack would be mandated to report successful cyber intrusions so the government can develop and deploy countermeasures to protect its networks and the information systems of other critical infrastructure.

The Department of Homeland Security has already identified 63 critical infrastructure entities where damage caused by a single cyber incident could reasonably result in \$50 billion in economic damage or \$25 billion in damage that occurs in conjunction with 2,500 immediate deaths or the severe degradation of our national security or defense. Public reporting by Mandiant in 2013 and repeated testimony of the Intelligence Community leave no doubt that U.S. critical infrastructure already faces advanced persistent cyber threats posed by nation-states and other actors.

The critical infrastructure of the United States remains woefully unprepared to confront this clear and present threat. One former agency head told the 9/11 Commission during its 10th anniversary review that, "We are at September 10th levels in terms of cyber preparedness." We cannot afford to wait for a "cyber 9/11" before taking legislative action to protect our critical infrastructure. By rejecting my amendment, the Committee is electing to take just such

a risk.

Susan M. Collins.

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF SENATOR RON WYDEN

Cyber-attacks and hacking against U.S. companies and networks are a serious and growing problem, with very real consequences for American companies and American consumers, and pose a significant challenge for national security. I share my colleagues' view that Congress should do what it can to help address this problem. The most effective way to protect cybersecurity is to ensure that network owners take responsibility for security and effectively implement good security practices. And it is important to ensure that government agencies do not deliberately weaken security standards.

It also makes sense to encourage private companies to share information about cybersecurity threats. However, this information-sharing must include strong protections for the privacy rights of law-abiding American citizens. Any information-sharing legislation that lacks adequate privacy protections is not simply a cybersecu-

rity bill, but a surveillance bill by another name.

I opposed this bill because I believe its insufficient privacy protections will lead to large amounts of personal information being shared with the government even when that information is not needed for cybersecurity. This could include email content, financial records, and a wide variety of other personal information. While corporations will have a choice about whether or not to participate in this sharing, they could do so without the knowledge or consent of their customers, and will be granted immunity from liability if they do so. Additionally, this bill trumps federal privacy laws and permits government agencies to use the collected information for a wide variety of purposes, rather than only to protect cybersecurity. The bill also creates a problematic double standard, in that personal information about individual consumers can be used for a variety of non-cybersecurity purposes, including law enforcement actions against those consumers, but information about the companies supplying the information generally may not be used to regulate those companies. A corporation's privacy is not more important than an individual's privacy.

This excessively broad collection may not be the intent of this bill, but the language is clearly drafted broadly enough to permit it. Most notably, the bill defines a cybersecurity threat as anything that "may result" in harm to a network. This broad definition will incentivize the sharing of information even when it is *unlikely* to pertain to an actual cybersecurity threat. A more tailored definition, limited to actions that are *reasonably likely* to harm or interfere with a network, would ensure that information-sharing is more

narrowly focused on actual threats.

A more tailored approach would also specify that companies should only provide the government with individuals' personal information if it is necessary to describe a cybersecurity threat. This would discourage companies from unnecessarily sharing large amounts of their customers' private information. This bill unfortunately takes the opposite approach, and only requires private companies to withhold information that is *known* at the time of sharing to be personal information unrelated to cybersecurity. This approach will disincentivize companies from carefully reviewing the information that they share and lead to a much greater amount of personal information being transferred unnecessarily to law enforcement and intelligence agencies.

I am also concerned that this legislation does not provide individuals with an adequate mechanism for redress in cases where the government violates the rules established by this act. Similar bills have included provisions permitting individuals harmed by such violations to recover damages from the government, and such a

provision is needed in this bill as well.

I am disappointed that the committee did not adopt stronger privacy protections in this legislation, and I am also disappointed that my amendment to prohibit government agencies from requiring U.S. hardware and software companies to build weaknesses into their products was not adopted. I have introduced this amendment as stand-alone legislation and will continue to pursue this goal.

This bill is likely to significantly increase government collection of individuals' personal information, while unfortunately doing relatively little to secure American networks. I hope to work with colleagues to address this bill's shortcomings, and if these flaws are

not fixed I will continue to oppose it.

Finally, I remain very concerned that a secret Justice Department opinion that is of clear relevance to this debate continues to be withheld from the public. This opinion, which interprets common commercial service agreements, is inconsistent with the public's understanding of the law, and I believe it will be difficult for Congress to have a fully informed debate on cybersecurity legislation if it does not understand how these agreements have been

interpreted by the Executive Branch.

I have repeatedly asked the Department of Justice to withdraw this opinion, and to release it to the public so that anyone who is a party to one of these agreements can consider whether their agreement should be revised. The deputy head of the Justice Department's Office of Legal Counsel testified to the Intelligence Committee that she would not rely on this opinion today, but I remain concerned that other government officials may be tempted to rely on it in the future. I will continue to press the Justice Department to release this opinion, so that Congress and the public can debate this bill with a full understanding of the facts. And I look forward to working with my colleagues to revise this legislation to ensure that Americans' privacy rights and American cybersecurity are both adequately protected.

CHANGES IN EXISTING LAWS

In the opinion of the Committee, it is necessary to dispense with the requirements of paragraph 12 of rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate in order to expedite the business of the Senate.

C

Calendar No. 28

114TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 754

To improve cybersecurity in the United States through enhanced sharing of information about cybersecurity threats, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

March 17, 2015

Mr. Burr, from the Select Committee on Intelligence, reported the following original bill; which was read twice and placed on the calendar

A BILL

To improve cybersecurity in the United States through enhanced sharing of information about cybersecurity threats, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.
- 4 (a) Short Title.—This Act may be cited as the
- 5 "Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015".
- 6 (b) Table of Contents of
- 7 this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Definitions.

Sec. 3. Sharing of information by the Federal Government. Sec. 4. Authorizations for preventing, detecting, analyzing, and mitigating cybersecurity threats. Sec. 5. Sharing of cyber threat indicators and defensive measures with the Federal Government. Sec. 6. Protection from liability. Sec. 7. Oversight of Government activities. Sec. 8. Construction and preemption. Sec. 9. Report on cybersecurity threats. Sec. 10. Conforming amendments. SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS. In this Act: (1) AGENCY.—The term "agency" has the meaning given the term in section 3502 of title 44, United States Code. (2) Antitrust Laws.—The term "antitrust laws"— (A) has the meaning given the term in section 1 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12); (B) includes section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 45) to the extent that section 5 of that Act applies to unfair methods of competition; and (C) includes any State law that has the same intent and effect as the laws under subparagraphs (A) and (B). (3) Appropriate federal entities.—The term "appropriate Federal entities" means the following:

(A) The Department of Commerce.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

| 1 | (B) The Department of Defense. |
|----|---|
| 2 | (C) The Department of Energy. |
| 3 | (D) The Department of Homeland Secu- |
| 4 | rity. |
| 5 | (E) The Department of Justice. |
| 6 | (F) The Department of the Treasury. |
| 7 | (G) The Office of the Director of National |
| 8 | Intelligence. |
| 9 | (4) Cybersecurity purpose.—The term "cy- |
| 10 | bersecurity purpose" means the purpose of pro- |
| 11 | tecting an information system or information that is |
| 12 | stored on, processed by, or transiting an information |
| 13 | system from a cybersecurity threat or security vul- |
| 14 | nerability. |
| 15 | (5) Cybersecurity threat.— |
| 16 | (A) In general.—Except as provided in |
| 17 | subparagraph (B), the term "cybersecurity |
| 18 | threat" means an action, not protected by the |
| 19 | First Amendment to the Constitution of the |
| 20 | United States, on or through an information |
| 21 | system that may result in an unauthorized ef- |
| 22 | fort to adversely impact the security, avail- |
| 23 | ability, confidentiality, or integrity of an infor- |
| 24 | mation system or information that is stored on, |

| 1 | processed by, or transiting an information sys- |
|----|--|
| 2 | tem. |
| 3 | (B) Exclusion.—The term "cybersecurity |
| 4 | threat" does not include any action that solely |
| 5 | involves a violation of a consumer term of serv- |
| 6 | ice or a consumer licensing agreement. |
| 7 | (6) Cyber threat indicator.—The term |
| 8 | "cyber threat indicator" means information that is |
| 9 | necessary to describe or identify— |
| 10 | (A) malicious reconnaissance, including |
| 11 | anomalous patterns of communications that ap- |
| 12 | pear to be transmitted for the purpose of gath- |
| 13 | ering technical information related to a cyberse- |
| 14 | curity threat or security vulnerability; |
| 15 | (B) a method of defeating a security con- |
| 16 | trol or exploitation of a security vulnerability; |
| 17 | (C) a security vulnerability, including |
| 18 | anomalous activity that appears to indicate the |
| 19 | existence of a security vulnerability; |
| 20 | (D) a method of causing a user with legiti- |
| 21 | mate access to an information system or infor- |
| 22 | mation that is stored on, processed by, or |
| 23 | transiting an information system to unwittingly |
| 24 | enable the defeat of a security control or exploi- |
| 25 | tation of a security vulnerability; |

| | <u> </u> |
|----|--|
| 1 | (E) malicious cyber command and control |
| 2 | (F) the actual or potential harm caused by |
| 3 | an incident, including a description of the infor- |
| 4 | mation exfiltrated as a result of a particular cy- |
| 5 | bersecurity threat; |
| 6 | (G) any other attribute of a cybersecurity |
| 7 | threat, if disclosure of such attribute is not other |
| 8 | erwise prohibited by law; or |
| 9 | (H) any combination thereof. |
| 10 | (7) Defensive measure.— |
| 11 | (A) In general.—Except as provided in |
| 12 | subparagraph (B), the term "defensive meas- |
| 13 | ure" means an action, device, procedure, signa- |
| 14 | ture, technique, or other measure applied to an |
| 15 | information system or information that is |
| 16 | stored on, processed by, or transiting an infor- |
| 17 | mation system that detects, prevents, or miti- |
| 18 | gates a known or suspected cybersecurity threat |
| 19 | or security vulnerability. |
| 20 | (B) Exclusion.—The term "defensive |
| 21 | measure" does not include a measure that de- |
| 22 | stroys, renders unusable, or substantially harms |
| 23 | an information system or data on an informa- |
| | |

tion system not belonging to—

| | v |
|----|--|
| 1 | (i) the private entity operating the |
| 2 | measure; or |
| 3 | (ii) another entity or Federal entity |
| 4 | that is authorized to provide consent and |
| 5 | has provided consent to that private entity |
| 6 | for operation of such measure. |
| 7 | (8) Entity.— |
| 8 | (A) In general.—Except as otherwise |
| 9 | provided in this paragraph, the term "entity" |
| 10 | means any private entity, non-Federal govern- |
| 11 | ment agency or department, or State, tribal, or |
| 12 | local government (including a political subdivi- |
| 13 | sion, department, or component thereof). |
| 14 | (B) Inclusions.—The term "entity" in- |
| 15 | cludes a government agency or department of |
| 16 | the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of |
| 17 | Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, Amer- |
| 18 | ican Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, and |
| 19 | any other territory or possession of the United |
| 20 | States. |
| 21 | (C) Exclusion.—The term "entity" does |
| 22 | not include a foreign power as defined in sec- |
| 23 | tion 101 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveil- |
| | |

lance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801).

| 1 | (9) FEDERAL ENTITY.—The term "Federal en- |
|----|---|
| 2 | tity" means a department or agency of the United |
| 3 | States or any component of such department or |
| 4 | agency. |
| 5 | (10) Information system.—The term "infor- |
| 6 | mation system"— |
| 7 | (A) has the meaning given the term in sec- |
| 8 | tion 3502 of title 44, United States Code; and |
| 9 | (B) includes industrial control systems, |
| 10 | such as supervisory control and data acquisition |
| 11 | systems, distributed control systems, and pro- |
| 12 | grammable logic controllers. |
| 13 | (11) LOCAL GOVERNMENT.—The term "local |
| 14 | government" means any borough, city, county, par- |
| 15 | ish, town, township, village, or other political sub- |
| 16 | division of a State. |
| 17 | (12) Malicious cyber command and con- |
| 18 | TROL.—The term "malicious cyber command and |
| 19 | control" means a method for unauthorized remote |
| 20 | identification of, access to, or use of, an information |
| 21 | system or information that is stored on, processed |
| 22 | by, or transiting an information system. |
| 23 | (13) Malicious reconnaissance.—The term |
| 24 | "malicious reconnaissance" means a method for ac- |

tively probing or passively monitoring an information

system for the purpose of discerning security vulnerabilities of the information system, if such method is associated with a known or suspected cybersecurity threat.

(14) MONITOR.—The term "monitor" means to acquire, identify, or scan, or to possess, information that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system.

(15) Private entity.—

- (A) In General.—Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, the term "private entity" means any person or private group, organization, proprietorship, partnership, trust, cooperative, corporation, or other commercial or nonprofit entity, including an officer, employee, or agent thereof.
- (B) Inclusion.—The term "private entity" includes a State, tribal, or local government performing electric utility services.
- (C) EXCLUSION.—The term "private entity" does not include a foreign power as defined in section 101 of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801).
- (16) Security control.—The term "security control" means the management, operational, and

- technical controls used to protect against an unauthorized effort to adversely affect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of an information system
- 5 (17) SECURITY VULNERABILITY.—The term 6 "security vulnerability" means any attribute of hard-7 ware, software, process, or procedure that could en-8 able or facilitate the defeat of a security control.
- 9 (18) TRIBAL.—The term "tribal" has the 10 meaning given the term "Indian tribe" in section 4 11 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education As-12 sistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450b).

13 SEC. 3. SHARING OF INFORMATION BY THE FEDERAL GOV-

14 ERNMENT.

or its information.

- 15 (a) In General.—Consistent with the protection of
- 16 classified information, intelligence sources and methods,
- 17 and privacy and civil liberties, the Director of National
- 18 Intelligence, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Sec-
- 19 retary of Defense, and the Attorney General, in consulta-
- 20 tion with the heads of the appropriate Federal entities,
- 21 shall develop and promulgate procedures to facilitate and
- 22 promote—
- 23 (1) the timely sharing of classified cyber threat
- indicators in the possession of the Federal Govern-

| 1 | ment with cleared representatives of relevant enti- |
|----|--|
| 2 | ties; |
| 3 | (2) the timely sharing with relevant entities of |
| 4 | cyber threat indicators or information in the posses- |
| 5 | sion of the Federal Government that may be declas- |
| 6 | sified and shared at an unclassified level; |
| 7 | (3) the sharing with relevant entities, or the |
| 8 | public if appropriate, of unclassified, including con- |
| 9 | trolled unclassified, cyber threat indicators in the |
| 10 | possession of the Federal Government; and |
| 11 | (4) the sharing with entities, if appropriate, of |
| 12 | information in the possession of the Federal Govern- |
| 13 | ment about cybersecurity threats to such entities to |
| 14 | prevent or mitigate adverse effects from such cyber- |
| 15 | security threats. |
| 16 | (b) Development of Procedures.— |
| 17 | (1) In general.—The procedures developed |
| 18 | and promulgated under subsection (a) shall— |
| 19 | (A) ensure the Federal Government has |
| 20 | and maintains the capability to share cyber |
| 21 | threat indicators in real time consistent with |
| 22 | the protection of classified information; |
| 23 | (B) incorporate, to the greatest extent |
| 24 | practicable, existing processes and existing roles |
| 25 | and responsibilities of Federal and non-Federal |

| 1 | entities for information sharing by the Federal |
|----|---|
| 2 | Government, including sector specific informa- |
| 3 | tion sharing and analysis centers; |
| 4 | (C) include procedures for notifying enti- |
| 5 | ties that have received a cyber threat indicator |
| 6 | from a Federal entity under this Act that is |
| 7 | known or determined to be in error or in con- |
| 8 | travention of the requirements of this Act or |
| 9 | another provision of Federal law or policy of |
| 10 | such error or contravention; |
| 11 | (D) include requirements for Federal enti- |
| 12 | ties receiving cyber threat indicators or defen- |
| 13 | sive measures to implement and utilize security |
| 14 | controls to protect against unauthorized access |
| 15 | to or acquisition of such cyber threat indicators |
| 16 | or defensive measures; and |
| 17 | (E) include procedures that require a Fed- |
| 18 | eral entity, prior to the sharing of a cyber |
| 19 | threat indicator— |
| 20 | (i) to review such cyber threat indi- |
| 21 | cator to assess whether such cyber threat |
| 22 | indicator contains any information that |
| 23 | such Federal entity knows at the time of |
| 24 | sharing to be personal information of or |

identifying a specific person not directly

- related to a cybersecurity threat and remove such information; or
- 3 (ii) to implement and utilize a tech4 nical capability configured to remove any
 5 personal information of or identifying a
 6 specific person not directly related to a cy7 bersecurity threat.
- 8 (2) Coordination.—In developing the proce-9 dures required under this section, the Director of 10 National Intelligence, the Secretary of Homeland Se-11 curity, the Secretary of Defense, and the Attorney 12 General shall coordinate with appropriate Federal 13 entities, including the National Laboratories (as de-14 fined in section 2 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 15 (42 U.S.C. 15801)), to ensure that effective proto-16 cols are implemented that will facilitate and promote 17 the sharing of cyber threat indicators by the Federal 18 Government in a timely manner.
- 19 (c) Submittal to Congress.—Not later than 60 20 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the heads 22 of the appropriate Federal entities, shall submit to Congress the procedures required by subsection (a).

| 1 | SEC. 4. AUTHORIZATIONS FOR PREVENTING, DETECTING, |
|----|--|
| 2 | ANALYZING, AND MITIGATING CYBERSECU- |
| 3 | RITY THREATS. |
| 4 | (a) Authorization for Monitoring.— |
| 5 | (1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other |
| 6 | provision of law, a private entity may, for cybersecu- |
| 7 | rity purposes, monitor— |
| 8 | (A) an information system of such private |
| 9 | entity; |
| 10 | (B) an information system of another enti- |
| 11 | ty, upon the authorization and written consent |
| 12 | of such other entity; |
| 13 | (C) an information system of a Federal en- |
| 14 | tity, upon the authorization and written consent |
| 15 | of an authorized representative of the Federal |
| 16 | entity; and |
| 17 | (D) information that is stored on, proc- |
| 18 | essed by, or transiting an information system |
| 19 | monitored by the private entity under this para- |
| 20 | graph. |
| 21 | (2) Construction.—Nothing in this sub- |
| 22 | section shall be construed— |
| 23 | (A) to authorize the monitoring of an in- |
| 24 | formation system, or the use of any information |
| 25 | obtained through such monitoring, other than |
| 26 | as provided in this Act; or |

| 1 | (B) to limit otherwise lawful activity. |
|----|--|
| 2 | (b) Authorization for Operation of Defensive |
| 3 | Measures.— |
| 4 | (1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other |
| 5 | provision of law, a private entity may, for cybersecu- |
| 6 | rity purposes, operate a defensive measure that is |
| 7 | applied to— |
| 8 | (A) an information system of such private |
| 9 | entity in order to protect the rights or property |
| 10 | of the private entity; |
| 11 | (B) an information system of another enti- |
| 12 | ty upon written consent of such entity for oper- |
| 13 | ation of such defensive measure to protect the |
| 14 | rights or property of such entity; and |
| 15 | (C) an information system of a Federal en- |
| 16 | tity upon written consent of an authorized rep- |
| 17 | resentative of such Federal entity for operation |
| 18 | of such defensive measure to protect the rights |
| 19 | or property of the Federal Government. |
| 20 | (2) Construction.—Nothing in this sub- |
| 21 | section shall be construed— |
| 22 | (A) to authorize the use of a defensive |
| 23 | measure other than as provided in this sub- |
| 24 | section; or |
| 25 | (B) to limit otherwise lawful activity. |

| 1 | (c) Authorization for Sharing or Receiving |
|----|--|
| 2 | CYBER THREAT INDICATORS OR DEFENSIVE MEAS- |
| 3 | URES.— |
| 4 | (1) In general.—Except as provided in para- |
| 5 | graph (2) and notwithstanding any other provision |
| 6 | of law, an entity may, for the purposes permitted |
| 7 | under this Act and consistent with the protection of |
| 8 | classified information, share with, or receive from |
| 9 | any other entity or the Federal Government a cyber |
| 10 | threat indicator or defensive measure. |
| 11 | (2) Lawful restriction.—An entity receiving |
| 12 | a cyber threat indicator or defensive measure from |
| 13 | another entity or Federal entity shall comply with |
| 14 | otherwise lawful restrictions placed on the sharing or |
| 15 | use of such cyber threat indicator or defensive meas- |
| 16 | ure by the sharing entity or Federal entity. |
| 17 | (3) Construction.—Nothing in this sub- |
| 18 | section shall be construed— |
| 19 | (A) to authorize the sharing or receiving of |
| 20 | a cyber threat indicator or defensive measure |
| 21 | other than as provided in this subsection; or |
| 22 | (B) to limit otherwise lawful activity. |
| 23 | (d) Protection and Use of Information.— |
| 24 | (1) Security of Information.—An entity |
| 25 | monitoring an information system operating a de- |

| 1 | fensive measure, or providing or receiving a cyber |
|----|--|
| 2 | threat indicator or defensive measure under this sec |
| 3 | tion shall implement and utilize a security control to |
| 4 | protect against unauthorized access to or acquisition |
| 5 | of such cyber threat indicator or defensive measure |
| 6 | (2) Removal of Certain Personal Informa |
| 7 | TION.—An entity sharing a cyber threat indicator |
| 8 | pursuant to this Act shall, prior to such sharing— |
| 9 | (A) review such cyber threat indicator to |
| 10 | assess whether such cyber threat indicator con- |
| 11 | tains any information that the entity knows a |
| 12 | the time of sharing to be personal information |
| 13 | of or identifying a specific person not directly |
| 14 | related to a cybersecurity threat and remove |
| 15 | such information; or |
| 16 | (B) implement and utilize a technical capa |
| 17 | bility configured to remove any information |
| 18 | contained within such indicator that the entity |
| 19 | knows at the time of sharing to be personal in |
| 20 | formation of or identifying a specific person no |
| 21 | directly related to a cybersecurity threat. |
| 22 | (3) Use of cyber threat indicators and |
| 23 | DEFENSIVE MEASURES BY ENTITIES.— |
| 24 | (A) In General.—Consistent with this |

Act, a cyber threat indicator or defensive meas-

| 1 | ure shared or received under this section may, |
|----|---|
| 2 | for cybersecurity purposes— |
| 3 | (i) be used by an entity to monitor or |
| 4 | operate a defensive measure on— |
| 5 | (I) an information system of the |
| 6 | entity; or |
| 7 | (II) an information system of an- |
| 8 | other entity or a Federal entity upon |
| 9 | the written consent of that other enti- |
| 10 | ty or that Federal entity; and |
| 11 | (ii) be otherwise used, retained, and |
| 12 | further shared by an entity subject to— |
| 13 | (I) an otherwise lawful restriction |
| 14 | placed by the sharing entity or Fed- |
| 15 | eral entity on such cyber threat indi- |
| 16 | cator or defensive measure; or |
| 17 | (II) an otherwise applicable pro- |
| 18 | vision of law. |
| 19 | (B) Construction.—Nothing in this |
| 20 | paragraph shall be construed to authorize the |
| 21 | use of a cyber threat indicator or defensive |
| 22 | measure other than as provided in this section. |
| 23 | (4) Use of cyber threat indicators by |
| 24 | STATE, TRIBAL, OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT.— |
| 25 | (A) Law enforcement use.— |

| 1 | (i) Prior written consent.—Ex- |
|----|---|
| 2 | cept as provided in clause (ii), a cyber |
| 3 | threat indicator shared with a State, tribal, |
| 4 | or local government under this section |
| 5 | may, with the prior written consent of the |
| 6 | entity sharing such indicator, be used by a |
| 7 | State, tribal, or local government for the |
| 8 | purpose of preventing, investigating, or |
| 9 | prosecuting any of the offenses described |
| 10 | in section $5(d)(5)(A)(vi)$. |
| 11 | (ii) Oral consent.—If exigent cir- |
| 12 | cumstances prevent obtaining written con- |
| 13 | sent under clause (i), such consent may be |
| 14 | provided orally with subsequent docu- |
| 15 | mentation of the consent. |
| 16 | (B) Exemption from disclosure.—A |
| 17 | cyber threat indicator shared with a State, trib- |
| 18 | al, or local government under this section shall |
| 19 | be— |
| 20 | (i) deemed voluntarily shared informa- |
| 21 | tion; and |
| 22 | (ii) exempt from disclosure under any |
| 23 | State, tribal, or local law requiring disclo- |
| 24 | sure of information or records. |

| 1 | (C) STATE, TRIBAL, AND LOCAL REGU- |
|----|---|
| 2 | LATORY AUTHORITY.— |
| 3 | (i) In general.—Except as provided |
| 4 | in clause (ii), a cyber threat indicator or |
| 5 | defensive measure shared with a State, |
| 6 | tribal, or local government under this Act |
| 7 | shall not be directly used by any State, |
| 8 | tribal, or local government to regulate, in- |
| 9 | cluding an enforcement action, the lawful |
| 10 | activity of any entity, including an activity |
| 11 | relating to monitoring, operating a defen- |
| 12 | sive measure, or sharing of a cyber threat |
| 13 | indicator. |
| 14 | (ii) Regulatory authority spe- |
| 15 | CIFICALLY RELATING TO PREVENTION OR |
| 16 | MITIGATION OF CYBERSECURITY |
| 17 | THREATS.—A cyber threat indicator or de- |
| 18 | fensive measures shared as described in |
| 19 | clause (i) may, consistent with a State, |
| 20 | tribal, or local government regulatory au- |
| 21 | thority specifically relating to the preven- |
| 22 | tion or mitigation of cybersecurity threats |
| 23 | to information systems, inform the devel- |
| 24 | opment or implementation of a regulation |

relating to such information systems.

(e) Antitrust Exemption.—

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- (1) In General.—Except as provided in section 8(e), it shall not be considered a violation of any provision of antitrust laws for 2 or more private entities to exchange or provide a cyber threat indicator, or assistance relating to the prevention, investigation, or mitigation of a cybersecurity threat, for cybersecurity purposes under this Act.
- (2) APPLICABILITY.—Paragraph (1) shall apply only to information that is exchanged or assistance provided in order to assist with—
 - (A) facilitating the prevention, investigation, or mitigation of a cybersecurity threat to an information system or information that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system; or
 - (B) communicating or disclosing a cyber threat indicator to help prevent, investigate, or mitigate the effect of a cybersecurity threat to an information system or information that is stored on, processed by, or transiting an information system.
- 23 (f) NO RIGHT OR BENEFIT.—The sharing of a cyber 24 threat indicator with an entity under this Act shall not

| | 21 |
|----|--|
| 1 | create a right or benefit to similar information by such |
| 2 | entity or any other entity. |
| 3 | SEC. 5. SHARING OF CYBER THREAT INDICATORS AND DE- |
| 4 | FENSIVE MEASURES WITH THE FEDERAL |
| 5 | GOVERNMENT. |
| 6 | (a) Requirement for Policies and Proce- |
| 7 | DURES.— |
| 8 | (1) Interim policies and procedures.—Not |
| 9 | later than 60 days after the date of the enactment |
| 10 | of this Act, the Attorney General, in coordination |
| 11 | with the heads of the appropriate Federal entities, |
| 12 | shall develop and submit to Congress interim policies |

(2) Final policies and procedures.—Not 16 17 later than 180 days after the date of the enactment 18 of this Act, the Attorney General shall, in coordina-19 tion with the heads of the appropriate Federal enti-20 ties, promulgate final policies and procedures relat-21 ing to the receipt of cyber threat indicators and de-

fensive measures by the Federal Government.

Federal Government.

shall develop and submit to Congress interim policies

and procedures relating to the receipt of cyber

threat indicators and defensive measures by the

(3) REQUIREMENTS CONCERNING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.—Consistent with the guidelines required by subsection (b), the policies and procedures

13

14

15

22

23

24

| 1 | developed and promulgated under this subsection |
|----|--|
| 2 | shall— |
| 3 | (A) ensure that cyber threat indicators are |
| 4 | shared with the Federal Government by any en- |
| 5 | tity pursuant to section 4(c) through the real- |
| 6 | time process described in subsection (c) of this |
| 7 | section— |
| 8 | (i) are shared in an automated man- |
| 9 | ner with all of the appropriate Federal en- |
| 10 | tities; |
| 11 | (ii) are not subject to any delay, modi- |
| 12 | fication, or any other action that could im- |
| 13 | pede real-time receipt by all of the appro- |
| 14 | priate Federal entities; and |
| 15 | (iii) may be provided to other Federal |
| 16 | entities; |
| 17 | (B) ensure that cyber threat indicators |
| 18 | shared with the Federal Government by any en- |
| 19 | tity pursuant to section 4 in a manner other |
| 20 | than the real-time process described in sub- |
| 21 | section (e) of this section— |
| 22 | (i) are shared as quickly as operation- |
| 23 | ally practicable with all of the appropriate |
| 24 | Federal entities; |

| 1 | (ii) are not subject to any unnecessary |
|----|---|
| 2 | delay, interference, or any other action |
| 3 | that could impede receipt by all of the ap- |
| 4 | propriate Federal entities; and |
| 5 | (iii) may be provided to other Federal |
| 6 | entities; |
| 7 | (C) consistent with this Act, any other ap- |
| 8 | plicable provisions of law, and the fair informa- |
| 9 | tion practice principles set forth in appendix A |
| 10 | of the document entitled "National Strategy for |
| 11 | Trusted Identities in Cyberspace" and pub- |
| 12 | lished by the President in April 2011, govern |
| 13 | the retention, use, and dissemination by the |
| 14 | Federal Government of cyber threat indicators |
| 15 | shared with the Federal Government under this |
| 16 | Act, including the extent, if any, to which such |
| 17 | cyber threat indicators may be used by the Fed- |
| 18 | eral Government; and |
| 19 | (D) ensure there is— |
| 20 | (i) an audit capability; and |
| 21 | (ii) appropriate sanctions in place for |
| 22 | officers, employees, or agents of a Federal |
| 23 | entity who knowingly and willfully conduct |
| 24 | activities under this Act in an unauthor- |
| 25 | ized manner. |

| 1 | (4) Guidelines for entities sharing cyber |
|----|--|
| 2 | THREAT INDICATORS WITH FEDERAL GOVERN- |
| 3 | MENT.— |
| 4 | (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days |
| 5 | after the date of the enactment of this Act, the |
| 6 | Attorney General shall develop and make pub- |
| 7 | licly available guidance to assist entities and |
| 8 | promote sharing of cyber threat indicators with |
| 9 | Federal entities under this Act. |
| 10 | (B) Contents.—The guidelines developed |
| 11 | and made publicly available under subpara- |
| 12 | graph (A) shall include guidance on the fol- |
| 13 | lowing: |
| 14 | (i) Identification of types of informa- |
| 15 | tion that would qualify as a cyber threat |
| 16 | indicator under this Act that would be un- |
| 17 | likely to include personal information of or |
| 18 | identifying a specific person not directly |
| 19 | related to a cyber security threat. |
| 20 | (ii) Identification of types of informa- |
| 21 | tion protected under otherwise applicable |
| 22 | privacy laws that are unlikely to be directly |
| 23 | related to a cybersecurity threat. |
| 24 | (iii) Such other matters as the Attor- |
| 25 | nev General considers appropriate for enti- |

ties sharing cyber threat indicators with
Federal entities under this Act.

(b) Privacy and Civil Liberties.—

(1) Guidelines of attorney general.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall, in coordination with heads of the appropriate Federal entities and in consultation with officers designated under section 1062 of the National Security Intelligence Reform Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 2000ee–1), develop, submit to Congress, and make available to the public interim guidelines relating to privacy and civil liberties which shall govern the receipt, retention, use, and dissemination of cyber threat indicators by a Federal entity obtained in connection with activities authorized in this Act.

(2) Final guidelines.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall, in coordination with heads of the appropriate Federal entities and in consultation with officers designated under section 1062 of the National Security Intelligence Reform Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 2000ee–1) and such private entities with indus-

try expertise as the Attorney General considers relevant, promulgate final guidelines relating to privacy and civil liberties which shall govern the receipt, retention, use, and dissemination of cyber threat indicators by a Federal entity obtained in connection with activities authorized in this Act.

- (B) Periodic Review.—The Attorney General shall, in coordination with heads of the appropriate Federal entities and in consultation with officers and private entities described in subparagraph (A), periodically review the guidelines promulgated under subparagraph (A).
- (3) CONTENT.—The guidelines required by paragraphs (1) and (2) shall, consistent with the need to protect information systems from cybersecurity threats and mitigate cybersecurity threats—
 - (A) limit the impact on privacy and civil liberties of activities by the Federal Government under this Act;
 - (B) limit the receipt, retention, use, and dissemination of cyber threat indicators containing personal information of or identifying specific persons, including by establishing—

| 1 | (i) a process for the timely destruction |
|----|---|
| 2 | of such information that is known not to |
| 3 | be directly related to uses authorized under |
| 4 | this Act; and |
| 5 | (ii) specific limitations on the length |
| 6 | of any period in which a cyber threat indi- |
| 7 | cator may be retained; |
| 8 | (C) include requirements to safeguard |
| 9 | cyber threat indicators containing personal in- |
| 10 | formation of or identifying specific persons |
| 11 | from unauthorized access or acquisition, includ- |
| 12 | ing appropriate sanctions for activities by offi- |
| 13 | cers, employees, or agents of the Federal Gov- |
| 14 | ernment in contravention of such guidelines; |
| 15 | (D) include procedures for notifying enti- |
| 16 | ties and Federal entities if information received |
| 17 | pursuant to this section is known or determined |
| 18 | by a Federal entity receiving such information |
| 19 | not to constitute a cyber threat indicator; |
| 20 | (E) protect the confidentiality of cyber |
| 21 | threat indicators containing personal informa- |
| 22 | tion of or identifying specific persons to the |
| 23 | greatest extent practicable and require recipi- |
| | |

ents to be informed that such indicators may

| 1 | only be used for purposes authorized under this |
|----|--|
| 2 | Act; and |
| 3 | (F) include steps that may be needed so |
| 4 | that dissemination of cyber threat indicators is |
| 5 | consistent with the protection of classified and |
| 6 | other sensitive national security information. |
| 7 | (c) Capability and Process Within the Depart- |
| 8 | MENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.— |
| 9 | (1) In general.—Not later than 90 days after |
| 10 | the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary |
| 11 | of Homeland Security, in coordination with the |
| 12 | heads of the appropriate Federal entities, shall de- |
| 13 | velop and implement a capability and process within |
| 14 | the Department of Homeland Security that— |
| 15 | (A) shall accept from any entity in real |
| 16 | time cyber threat indicators and defensive |
| 17 | measures, pursuant to this section; |
| 18 | (B) shall, upon submittal of the certifi- |
| 19 | cation under paragraph (2) that such capability |
| 20 | and process fully and effectively operates as de- |
| 21 | scribed in such paragraph, be the process by |
| 22 | which the Federal Government receives cyber |
| 23 | threat indicators and defensive measures under |
| 24 | this Act that are shared by a private entity with |
| 25 | the Federal Government through electronic mail |

| 1 | or media, an interactive form on an Internet |
|----|---|
| 2 | website, or a real time, automated process be- |
| 3 | tween information systems except— |
| 4 | (i) communications between a Federal |
| 5 | entity and a private entity regarding a pre- |
| 6 | viously shared cyber threat indicator; and |
| 7 | (ii) communications by a regulated en- |
| 8 | tity with such entity's Federal regulatory |
| 9 | authority regarding a cybersecurity threat; |
| 10 | (C) ensures that all of the appropriate |
| 11 | Federal entities receive in an automated man- |
| 12 | ner such cyber threat indicators shared through |
| 13 | the real-time process within the Department of |
| 14 | Homeland Security; |
| 15 | (D) is in compliance with the policies, pro- |
| 16 | cedures, and guidelines required by this section; |
| 17 | and |
| 18 | (E) does not limit or prohibit otherwise |
| 19 | lawful disclosures of communications, records, |
| 20 | or other information, including— |
| 21 | (i) reporting of known or suspected |
| 22 | criminal activity, by an entity to any other |
| 23 | entity or a Federal entity; |
| 24 | (ii) voluntary or legally compelled par- |
| 25 | ticipation in a Federal investigation; and |

| 1 | (iii) providing cyber threat indicators |
|----|---|
| 2 | or defensive measures as part of a statu- |
| 3 | tory or authorized contractual requirement. |
| 4 | (2) Certification.—Not later than 10 days |
| 5 | prior to the implementation of the capability and |
| 6 | process required by paragraph (1), the Secretary of |
| 7 | Homeland Security shall, in consultation with the |
| 8 | heads of the appropriate Federal entities, certify to |
| 9 | Congress whether such capability and process fully |
| 10 | and effectively operates— |
| 11 | (A) as the process by which the Federal |
| 12 | Government receives from any entity a cyber |
| 13 | threat indicator or defensive measure under this |
| 14 | Act; and |
| 15 | (B) in accordance with the policies, proce- |
| 16 | dures, and guidelines developed under this sec- |
| 17 | tion. |
| 18 | (3) Public Notice and Access.—The Sec- |
| 19 | retary of Homeland Security shall ensure there is |
| 20 | public notice of, and access to, the capability and |
| 21 | process developed and implemented under paragraph |
| 22 | (1) so that— |
| 23 | (A) any entity may share cyber threat indi- |
| 24 | cators and defensive measures through such |
| 25 | process with the Federal Government; and |

- 1 (B) all of the appropriate Federal entities 2 receive such cyber threat indicators and defen-3 sive measures in real time with receipt through 4 the process within the Department of Home-5 land Security.
 - (4) Other federal entities.—The process developed and implemented under paragraph (1) shall ensure that other Federal entities receive in a timely manner any cyber threat indicators and defensive measures shared with the Federal Government through such process.
 - (5) REPORT ON DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLE-MENTATION.—
 - (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall submit to Congress a report on the development and implementation of the capability and process required by paragraph (1), including a description of such capability and process and the public notice of, and access to, such process.
 - (B) CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—The report required by subparagraph (A) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

| 1 | (d) Information Shared With or Provided to |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.— |
| 3 | (1) No waiver of privilege or protec- |
| 4 | TION.—The provision of cyber threat indicators and |
| 5 | defensive measures to the Federal Government |
| 6 | under this Act shall not constitute a waiver of any |
| 7 | applicable privilege or protection provided by law, in- |
| 8 | cluding trade secret protection. |
| 9 | (2) Proprietary information.—Consistent |
| 10 | with section 4(c)(2), a cyber threat indicator or de- |
| 11 | fensive measure provided by an entity to the Federal |
| 12 | Government under this Act shall be considered the |
| 13 | commercial, financial, and proprietary information of |
| 14 | such entity when so designated by the originating |
| 15 | entity or a third party acting in accordance with the |
| 16 | written authorization of the originating entity. |
| 17 | (3) Exemption from disclosure.—Cyber |
| 18 | threat indicators and defensive measures provided to |
| 19 | the Federal Government under this Act shall be— |
| | |

(A) deemed voluntarily shared information and exempt from disclosure under section 552 of title 5, United States Code, and any State, tribal, or local law requiring disclosure of information or records; and

20

21

22

23

| 1 | (B) withheld, without discretion, from the |
|----|---|
| 2 | public under section 552(b)(3)(B) of title 5, |
| 3 | United States Code, and any State, tribal, or |
| 4 | local provision of law requiring disclosure of in- |
| 5 | formation or records. |
| 6 | (4) Ex parte communications.—The provi- |
| 7 | sion of a cyber threat indicator or defensive measure |
| 8 | to the Federal Government under this Act shall not |
| 9 | be subject to a rule of any Federal agency or depart- |
| 10 | ment or any judicial doctrine regarding ex parte |
| 11 | communications with a decisionmaking official. |
| 12 | (5) Disclosure, retention, and use.— |
| 13 | (A) AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—Cyber |
| 14 | threat indicators and defensive measures pro- |
| 15 | vided to the Federal Government under this Act |
| 16 | may be disclosed to, retained by, and used by, |
| 17 | consistent with otherwise applicable provisions |
| 18 | of Federal law, any Federal agency or depart- |
| 19 | ment, component, officer, employee, or agent of |
| 20 | the Federal Government solely for— |
| 21 | (i) a cybersecurity purpose; |
| 22 | (ii) the purpose of identifying a cyber- |
| 23 | security threat, including the source of |
| 24 | such cybersecurity threat, or a security |
| 25 | vulnerability; |

| 1 | (iii) the purpose of identifying a cy- |
|----|---|
| 2 | bersecurity threat involving the use of an |
| 3 | information system by a foreign adversary |
| 4 | or terrorist; |
| 5 | (iv) the purpose of responding to, or |
| 6 | otherwise preventing or mitigating, an im- |
| 7 | minent threat of death, serious bodily |
| 8 | harm, or serious economic harm, including |
| 9 | a terrorist act or a use of a weapon of |
| 10 | mass destruction; |
| 11 | (v) the purpose of responding to, or |
| 12 | otherwise preventing or mitigating, a seri- |
| 13 | ous threat to a minor, including sexual ex- |
| 14 | ploitation and threats to physical safety; or |
| 15 | (vi) the purpose of preventing, inves- |
| 16 | tigating, disrupting, or prosecuting an of- |
| 17 | fense arising out of a threat described in |
| 18 | clause (iv) or any of the offenses listed |
| 19 | in— |
| 20 | (I) section $3559(c)(2)(F)$ of title |
| 21 | 18, United States Code (relating to |
| 22 | serious violent felonies); |
| 23 | (II) sections 1028 through 1030 |
| 24 | of such title (relating to fraud and |
| 25 | identity theft); |

| 1 | (III) chapter 37 of such title (re- |
|----|--|
| 2 | lating to espionage and censorship); |
| 3 | and |
| 4 | (IV) chapter 90 of such title (re- |
| 5 | lating to protection of trade secrets). |
| 6 | (B) Prohibited activities.—Cyber |
| 7 | threat indicators and defensive measures pro- |
| 8 | vided to the Federal Government under this Act |
| 9 | shall not be disclosed to, retained by, or used |
| 10 | by any Federal agency or department for any |
| 11 | use not permitted under subparagraph (A). |
| 12 | (C) Privacy and civil liberties.— |
| 13 | Cyber threat indicators and defensive measures |
| 14 | provided to the Federal Government under this |
| 15 | Act shall be retained, used, and disseminated by |
| 16 | the Federal Government— |
| 17 | (i) in accordance with the policies, |
| 18 | procedures, and guidelines required by sub- |
| 19 | sections (a) and (b); |
| 20 | (ii) in a manner that protects from |
| 21 | unauthorized use or disclosure any cyber |
| 22 | threat indicators that may contain personal |
| 23 | information of or identifying specific per- |
| 24 | sons; and |

1 (iii) in a manner that protects the 2 confidentiality of cyber threat indicators containing personal information of or iden-3 4 tifying a specific person. (D) Federal regulatory authority.— 6 (i) In General.—Except as provided 7 in clause (ii), cyber threat indicators and 8 defensive measures provided to the Federal 9 Government under this Act shall not be di-10 rectly used by any Federal, State, tribal, 11 or local government to regulate, including 12 an enforcement action, the lawful activities 13 of any entity, including activities relating 14 to monitoring, operating defensive meas-15 ures, or sharing cyber threat indicators. 16 (ii) Exceptions.— 17 REGULATORY (I)AUTHORITY 18 SPECIFICALLY RELATING TO PREVEN-19 TION OR MITIGATION OF CYBERSECU-20 RITY THREATS.—Cyber threat indica-21 tors and defensive measures provided 22 to the Federal Government under this 23 Act may, consistent with Federal or

State regulatory authority specifically

relating to the prevention or mitiga-

24

| 1 | tion of cybersecurity threats to infor- |
|----------------------|---|
| 2 | mation systems, inform the develop- |
| 3 | ment or implementation of regulations |
| 4 | relating to such information systems. |
| 5 | (II) Procedures developed |
| 6 | AND IMPLEMENTED UNDER THIS |
| 7 | ACT.—Clause (i) shall not apply to |
| 8 | procedures developed and imple- |
| 9 | mented under this Act. |
| 10 | SEC. 6. PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY. |
| 11 | (a) Monitoring of Information Systems.—No |
| 1 1 | |
| | cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court |
| 12 | |
| 12 13 | cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court |
| 12 13 | cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court against any private entity, and such action shall be |
| 12 13 14 15 | cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court against any private entity, and such action shall be promptly dismissed, for the monitoring of information systems. |
| 12 13 14 15 | cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court against any private entity, and such action shall be promptly dismissed, for the monitoring of information systems and information under section 4(a) that is conducted |

- 19 in any court against any entity, and such action shall be
- 20 promptly dismissed, for the sharing or receipt of cyber
- threat indicators or defensive measures under section 4(c)
- 22 if—
- 23 (1) such sharing or receipt is conducted in ac-
- 24 cordance with this Act; and

| 1 | (2) in a case in which a cyber threat indicator |
|----|--|
| 2 | or defensive measure is shared with the Federal |
| 3 | Government, the cyber threat indicator or defensive |
| 4 | measure is shared in a manner that is consistent |
| 5 | with section $5(c)(1)(B)$ and the sharing or receipt, |
| 6 | as the case may be, occurs after the earlier of— |
| 7 | (A) the date on which the interim policies |
| 8 | and procedures are submitted to Congress |
| 9 | under section $5(a)(1)$; or |
| 10 | (B) the date that is 60 days after the date |
| 11 | of the enactment of this Act. |
| 12 | (c) Construction.—Nothing in this section shall be |
| 13 | construed— |
| 14 | (1) to require dismissal of a cause of action |
| 15 | against an entity that has engaged in gross neg- |
| 16 | ligence or willful misconduct in the course of con- |
| 17 | ducting activities authorized by this Act; or |
| 18 | (2) to undermine or limit the availability of oth- |
| 19 | erwise applicable common law or statutory defenses. |
| 20 | SEC. 7. OVERSIGHT OF GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES. |
| 21 | (a) Biennial Report on Implementation.— |
| 22 | (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after |
| 23 | the date of the enactment of this Act, and not less |
| 24 | frequently than once every 2 years thereafter, the |
| 25 | heads of the appropriate Federal entities shall joint- |

ly submit and the Inspector General of the Department of Homeland Security, the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community, the Inspector General of the Department of Justice, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, and the Inspector General of the Department of Energy, in consultation with the Council of Inspectors General on Financial Oversight, shall jointly submit to Congress a detailed report concerning the implementation of this Act.

- (2) Contents.—Each report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include the following:
 - (A) An assessment of the sufficiency of the policies, procedures, and guidelines required by section 5 in ensuring that cyber threat indicators are shared effectively and responsibly within the Federal Government.
 - (B) An evaluation of the effectiveness of real-time information sharing through the capability and process developed under section 5(c), including any impediments to such real-time sharing.
 - (C) An assessment of the sufficiency of the procedures developed under section 3 in ensuring that cyber threat indicators in the posses-

| 1 | sion of the Federal Government are shared in |
|----|---|
| 2 | a timely and adequate manner with appropriate |
| 3 | entities, or, if appropriate, are made publicly |
| 4 | available. |
| 5 | (D) An assessment of whether cyber threat |
| 6 | indicators have been properly classified and an |
| 7 | accounting of the number of security clearances |
| 8 | authorized by the Federal Government for the |
| 9 | purposes of this Act. |
| 10 | (E) A review of the type of cyber threat in- |
| 11 | dicators shared with the Federal Government |
| 12 | under this Act, including the following: |
| 13 | (i) The degree to which such informa- |
| 14 | tion may impact the privacy and civil lib- |
| 15 | erties of specific persons. |
| 16 | (ii) A quantitative and qualitative as- |
| 17 | sessment of the impact of the sharing of |
| 18 | such cyber threat indicators with the Fed- |
| 19 | eral Government on privacy and civil lib- |
| 20 | erties of specific persons. |
| 21 | (iii) The adequacy of any steps taken |
| 22 | by the Federal Government to reduce such |
| 23 | impact. |
| 24 | (F) A review of actions taken by the Fed- |
| 25 | eral Government based on cyber threat indica- |

- tors shared with the Federal Government under
 this Act, including the appropriateness of any
 subsequent use or dissemination of such cyber
 threat indicators by a Federal entity under section 5.
 - (G) A description of any significant violations of the requirements of this Act by the Federal Government.
 - (H) A summary of the number and type of entities that received classified cyber threat indicators from the Federal Government under this Act and an evaluation of the risks and benefits of sharing such cyber threat indicators.
 - (3) RECOMMENDATIONS.—Each report submitted under paragraph (1) may include recommendations for improvements or modifications to the authorities and processes under this Act.
 - (4) FORM OF REPORT.—Each report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.
 - (b) Reports on Privacy and Civil Liberties.—
 - (1) BIENNIAL REPORT FROM PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OVERSIGHT BOARD.—Not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act and not less frequently than once every 2 years

| 1 | thereafter, the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight |
|---|---|
| 2 | Board shall submit to Congress and the President a |
| 3 | report providing— |

- (A) an assessment of the effect on privacy and civil liberties by the type of activities carried out under this Act; and
- (B) an assessment of the sufficiency of the policies, procedures, and guidelines established pursuant to section 5 in addressing concerns relating to privacy and civil liberties.
- (2) Biennial report of inspectors general.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act and not less frequently than once every 2 years thereafter, the Inspector General of the Department of Homeland Security, the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community, the Inspector General of the Department of Justice, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, and the Inspector General of the Department of Energy shall, in consultation with the Council of Inspectors General on Financial Oversight, jointly submit to Congress a report on the receipt, use, and dissemination of cyber

| 1 | threat indicators and defensive measures that |
|----|--|
| 2 | have been shared with Federal entities under |
| 3 | this Act. |
| 4 | (B) Contents.—Each report submitted |
| 5 | under subparagraph (A) shall include the fol- |
| 6 | lowing: |
| 7 | (i) A review of the types of cyber |
| 8 | threat indicators shared with Federal enti- |
| 9 | ties. |
| 10 | (ii) A review of the actions taken by |
| 11 | Federal entities as a result of the receipt |
| 12 | of such cyber threat indicators. |
| 13 | (iii) A list of Federal entities receiving |
| 14 | such cyber threat indicators. |
| 15 | (iv) A review of the sharing of such |
| 16 | cyber threat indicators among Federal en- |
| 17 | tities to identify inappropriate barriers to |
| 18 | sharing information. |
| 19 | (3) Recommendations.—Each report sub- |
| 20 | mitted under this subsection may include such rec- |
| 21 | ommendations as the Privacy and Civil Liberties |
| 22 | Oversight Board, with respect to a report submitted |
| 23 | under paragraph (1), or the Inspectors General re- |
| 24 | ferred to in paragraph (2)(A), with respect to a re- |
| 25 | port submitted under paragraph (2), may have for |

- improvements or modifications to the authorities under this Act.
- 3 (4) FORM.—Each report required under this
 4 subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form,
 5 but may include a classified annex.

6 SEC. 8. CONSTRUCTION AND PREEMPTION.

- 7 (a) OTHERWISE LAWFUL DISCLOSURES.—Nothing in
- 8 this Act shall be construed—
- 9 (1) to limit or prohibit otherwise lawful disclo-10 sures of communications, records, or other informa-11 tion, including reporting of known or suspected 12 criminal activity, by an entity to any other entity or 13 the Federal Government under this Act; or
- 14 (2) to limit or prohibit otherwise lawful use of 15 such disclosures by any Federal entity, even when 16 such otherwise lawful disclosures duplicate or rep-17 licate disclosures made under this Act.
- 18 (b) Whistle Blower Protections.—Nothing in
- 19 this Act shall be construed to prohibit or limit the disclo-
- 20 sure of information protected under section 2302(b)(8) of
- 21 title 5, United States Code (governing disclosures of ille-
- 22 gality, waste, fraud, abuse, or public health or safety
- 23 threats), section 7211 of title 5, United States Code (gov-
- 24 erning disclosures to Congress), section 1034 of title 10,
- 25 United States Code (governing disclosure to Congress by

- 1 members of the military), section 1104 of the National
- 2 Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3234) (governing disclo-
- 3 sure by employees of elements of the intelligence commu-
- 4 nity), or any similar provision of Federal or State law.
- 5 (c) Protection of Sources and Methods.—
- 6 Nothing in this Act shall be construed—
- 7 (1) as creating any immunity against, or other-
- 8 wise affecting, any action brought by the Federal
- 9 Government, or any agency or department thereof,
- to enforce any law, executive order, or procedure
- governing the appropriate handling, disclosure, or
- use of classified information;
- 13 (2) to affect the conduct of authorized law en-
- 14 forcement or intelligence activities; or
- 15 (3) to modify the authority of a department or
- agency of the Federal Government to protect classi-
- fied information and sources and methods and the
- 18 national security of the United States.
- 19 (d) Relationship to Other Laws.—Nothing in
- 20 this Act shall be construed to affect any requirement
- 21 under any other provision of law for an entity to provide
- 22 information to the Federal Government.
- (e) Prohibited Conduct.—Nothing in this Act
- 24 shall be construed to permit price-fixing, allocating a mar-
- 25 ket between competitors, monopolizing or attempting to

| 1 | monopolize a market, boycotting, or exchanges of price or |
|----|--|
| 2 | cost information, customer lists, or information regarding |
| 3 | future competitive planning. |
| 4 | (f) Information Sharing Relationships.—Noth- |
| 5 | ing in this Act shall be construed— |
| 6 | (1) to limit or modify an existing information |
| 7 | sharing relationship; |
| 8 | (2) to prohibit a new information sharing rela- |
| 9 | tionship; |
| 10 | (3) to require a new information sharing rela- |
| 11 | tionship between any entity and the Federal Govern- |
| 12 | ment; or |
| 13 | (4) to require the use of the capability and |
| 14 | process within the Department of Homeland Secu- |
| 15 | rity developed under section 5(c). |
| 16 | (g) Preservation of Contractual Obligations |
| 17 | AND RIGHTS.—Nothing in this Act shall be construed— |
| 18 | (1) to amend, repeal, or supersede any current |
| 19 | or future contractual agreement, terms of service |
| 20 | agreement, or other contractual relationship between |
| 21 | any entities, or between any entity and a Federal en- |
| 22 | tity; or |
| 23 | (2) to abrogate trade secret or intellectual prop- |
| 24 | erty rights of any entity or Federal entity. |

| 1 | (h) Anti-Tasking Restriction.—Nothing in this | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Act shall be construed to permit the Federal Govern- | | | | |
| 3 | ment— | | | | |
| 4 | (1) to require an entity to provide information | | | | |
| 5 | to the Federal Government; | | | | |
| 6 | (2) to condition the sharing of cyber threat in | | | | |
| 7 | dicators with an entity on such entity's provision of | | | | |
| 8 | cyber threat indicators to the Federal Government | | | | |
| 9 | or | | | | |
| 10 | (3) to condition the award of any Federal | | | | |
| 11 | grant, contract, or purchase on the provision of | | | | |
| 12 | cyber threat indicator to a Federal entity. | | | | |
| 13 | (i) No Liability for Non-Participation.—Noth | | | | |
| 14 | ing in this Act shall be construed to subject any entity | | | | |
| 15 | to liability for choosing not to engage in the voluntary ac- | | | | |
| 16 | tivities authorized in this Act. | | | | |
| 17 | (j) Use and Retention of Information.—Noth | | | | |
| 18 | ing in this Act shall be construed to authorize, or to mod | | | | |
| 19 | ify any existing authority of, a department or agency of | | | | |
| 20 | the Federal Government to retain or use any information | | | | |
| 21 | shared under this Act for any use other than permitte | | | | |
| 22 | in this Act. | | | | |
| 23 | (k) Federal Preemption.— | | | | |
| 24 | (1) In general.—This Act supersedes any | | | | |
| 25 | statute or other provision of law of a State or polit | | | | |

- ical subdivision of a State that restricts or otherwise
 expressly regulates an activity authorized under this
 Act.
- 4 (2) STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT.—Nothing in 5 this Act shall be construed to supersede any statute 6 or other provision of law of a State or political sub-7 division of a State concerning the use of authorized 8 law enforcement practices and procedures.
- 9 (l) REGULATORY AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this Act
 10 shall be construed—
- 11 (1) to authorize the promulgation of any regu-12 lations not specifically authorized by this Act;
- 13 (2) to establish or limit any regulatory author-14 ity not specifically established or limited under this 15 Act; or
- (3) to authorize regulatory actions that would
 duplicate or conflict with regulatory requirements,
 mandatory standards, or related processes under another provision of Federal law.
- (m) AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TO
 RESPOND TO CYBER ATTACKS.—Nothing in this Act shall
- 22 be construed to limit the authority of the Secretary of De-
- 23 fense to develop, prepare, coordinate, or, when authorized
- 24 by the President to do so, conduct a military cyber oper-
- 25 ation in response to a malicious cyber activity carried out

- 1 against the United States or a United States person by
- 2 a foreign government or an organization sponsored by a
- 3 foreign government or a terrorist organization.

4 SEC. 9. REPORT ON CYBERSECURITY THREATS.

- 5 (a) Report Required.—Not later than 180 days
- 6 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director
- 7 of National Intelligence, in coordination with the heads of
- 8 other appropriate elements of the intelligence community,
- 9 shall submit to the Select Committee on Intelligence of
- 10 the Senate and the Permanent Select Committee on Intel-
- 11 ligence of the House of Representatives a report on cyber-
- 12 security threats, including cyber attacks, theft, and data
- 13 breaches.
- 14 (b) Contents.—The report required by subsection
- 15 (a) shall include the following:
- 16 (1) An assessment of the current intelligence
- sharing and cooperation relationships of the United
- 18 States with other countries regarding cybersecurity
- threats, including cyber attacks, theft, and data
- breaches, directed against the United States and
- 21 which threaten the United States national security
- interests and economy and intellectual property, spe-
- cifically identifying the relative utility of such rela-
- tionships, which elements of the intelligence commu-

- nity participate in such relationships, and whether
 and how such relationships could be improved.
 - (2) A list and an assessment of the countries and nonstate actors that are the primary threats of carrying out a cybersecurity threat, including a cyber attack, theft, or data breach, against the United States and which threaten the United States national security, economy, and intellectual property.
 - (3) A description of the extent to which the capabilities of the United States Government to respond to or prevent cybersecurity threats, including cyber attacks, theft, or data breaches, directed against the United States private sector are degraded by a delay in the prompt notification by private entities of such threats or cyber attacks, theft, and breaches.
 - (4) An assessment of additional technologies or capabilities that would enhance the ability of the United States to prevent and to respond to cybersecurity threats, including cyber attacks, theft, and data breaches.
 - (5) An assessment of any technologies or practices utilized by the private sector that could be rapidly fielded to assist the intelligence community in preventing and responding to cybersecurity threats.

- 1 (c) FORM OF REPORT.—The report required by sub-
- 2 section (a) shall be made available in classified and unclas-
- 3 sified forms.
- 4 (d) Intelligence Community Defined.—In this
- 5 section, the term "intelligence community" has the mean-
- 6 ing given that term in section 3 of the National Security
- 7 Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).
- 8 SEC. 10. CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.
- 9 (a) Public Information.—Section 552(b) of title
- 10 5, United States Code, is amended—
- 11 (1) in paragraph (8), by striking "or" at the
- end;
- 13 (2) in paragraph (9), by striking "wells." and
- inserting "wells; or"; and
- 15 (3) by inserting after paragraph (9) the fol-
- lowing:
- 17 "(10) information shared with or provided to
- the Federal Government pursuant to the Cybersecu-
- rity Information Sharing Act of 2015.".
- 20 (b) Modification of Limitation on Dissemina-
- 21 TION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION CONCERNING PENETRA-
- 22 Tions of Defense Contractor Networks.—Section
- 23 941(c)(3) of the National Defense Authorization Act for
- 24 Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 10 U.S.C. 2224
- 25 note) is amended by inserting at the end the following:

- 1 "The Secretary may share such information with other
- 2 Federal entities if such information consists of cyber
- 3 threat indicators and defensive measures and such infor-
- 4 mation is shared consistent with the policies and proce-
- 5 dures promulgated by the Attorney General under section
- 6 5 of the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015.".

Calendar No. 28

114TH CONGRESS S. 754

A BILL

To improve cybersecurity in the United States through enhanced sharing of information about cybersecurity threats, and for other purposes.

March 17, 2015

Read twice and placed on the calendar