

EXECUTIVE ORDER

13669

- - - - -

2014 AMENDMENTS TO THE MANUAL FOR COURTS-MARTIAL, UNITED STATES

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (Uniform Code of Military Justice, 10 U.S.C. 801-946), and in order to prescribe amendments to the Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, prescribed by Executive Order 12473 of April 13, 1984, as amended, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Part II, the Discussion for Part II, and the Analysis for Part II of the Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, are amended as described in the Annex attached and made a part of this order.

Sec. 2. These amendments shall take effect as of the date of this order, subject to the following:

(a) Nothing in these amendments shall be construed to make punishable any act done or omitted prior to the effective date of this order that was not punishable when done or omitted.

(b) Nothing in these amendments shall be construed to invalidate any nonjudicial punishment proceedings, restraint, investigation, referral of charges, trial in which arraignment occurred, or other action begun prior to the effective date of this order, and any such nonjudicial punishment, restraint, investigation, referral of charges, trial, or other action may proceed in the same manner and with the same effect as if these amendments had not been prescribed.

THE WHITE HOUSE,

June 13, 2014.

[FR Doc. 2014-14429 Filed 06/17/2014 at 11:15 am; Publication
Date: 06/18/2014]

ANNEX

Section 1. Part II of the Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, is amended as follows:

(a) R.C.M. 405(f)(10) is amended to read as follows:

“(10) Have evidence, including documents or physical evidence, produced as provided under subsection (g) of this rule;”.

(b) R.C.M. 405(g)(1)(B) is amended to read as follows:

“(B) *Evidence.* Subject to Mil. R. Evid., Section V, evidence, including documents or physical evidence, which is relevant to the investigation and not cumulative, shall be produced if reasonably available. Such evidence includes evidence requested by the accused, if the request is timely and in compliance with this rule. As soon as practicable after receipt of a request by the accused for information that may be protected under Mil. R. Evid. 505 or 506, the investigating officer shall notify the person who is authorized to issue a protective order under subsection (g)(6) of this rule, and the convening authority, if different. Evidence is reasonably available if its significance outweighs the difficulty, expense, delay, and effect on military operations of obtaining the evidence.”

(c) R.C.M. 405(g)(2)(C) is amended to read as follows:

“(C) *Evidence generally.* The investigating officer shall make an initial determination whether evidence is reasonably available. If the investigating officer decides that it is not

reasonably available, the investigating officer shall inform the parties."

(d) R.C.M. 405(g) (2) (C) (i) is inserted to read as follows:

"(i) *Evidence under the control of the Government.* Upon the investigating officer's determination that evidence is reasonably available, the custodian of the evidence shall be requested to provide the evidence. A determination by the custodian that the evidence is not reasonably available is not subject to appeal by the accused, but may be reviewed by the military judge under R.C.M. 906(b) (3)."

(e) R.C.M. 405(g) (2) (C) (ii) is inserted to read as follows:

"(ii) *Evidence not under the control of the Government.* Evidence not under the control of the Government may be obtained through noncompulsory means or by subpoena duces tecum issued pursuant to procedures set forth in R.C.M. 703(f) (4) (B). A determination by the investigating officer that the evidence is not reasonably available is not subject to appeal by the accused, but may be reviewed by the military judge under R.C.M. 906(b) (3)."

(f) R.C.M. 405(i) is amended to read as follows:

"(i) *Military Rules of Evidence.* The Military Rules of Evidence do not apply in pretrial investigations under this rule except as follows:

(1) Military Rules of Evidence 301, 302, 303, 305, and Section V shall apply in their entirety.

(2) Military Rule of Evidence 412 shall apply in any case defined as a sexual offense in Mil. R. Evid. 412(d).

(3) In applying these rules to a pretrial investigation, the term "military judge," as used in these rules, shall mean the investigating officer, who shall assume the military judge's powers to exclude evidence from the pretrial investigation, and who shall, in discharging this duty, follow the procedures set forth in the rules cited in paragraphs (1) and (2)."

(g) R.C.M. 703(e) (2) (B) is amended to read as follows:

"(B) *Contents.* A subpoena shall state the command by which the proceeding is directed, and the title, if any, of the proceeding. A subpoena shall command each person to whom it is directed to attend and give testimony at the time and place specified therein. A subpoena may also command the person to whom it is directed to produce books, papers, documents, data, or other objects or electronically stored information designated therein at the proceeding or at an earlier time for inspection by the parties. A subpoena issued for an investigation pursuant to Article 32 shall not command any person to attend or give testimony at an Article 32 investigation."

(h) R.C.M. 703(e) (2) (C) is amended to read as follows:

“(C) *Who may issue.*

(1) A subpoena to secure evidence may be issued by:

(a) the summary court-martial;

(b) detailed counsel representing the United States at an Article 32 investigation;

(c) the investigating officer appointed under R.C.M. 405(d) (1);

(d) after referral to a court-martial, detailed trial counsel;

(e) the president of a court of inquiry; or

(f) an officer detailed to take a deposition.

(2) A subpoena to secure witnesses may be issued by:

(a) the summary court-martial;

(b) after referral to a court-martial, detailed trial counsel;

(c) the president of a court of inquiry; or

(d) an officer detailed to take a deposition.

(i) R.C.M. 703(e) (2) (D) is amended to read as follows:

“(D) *Service.* A subpoena may be served by the person authorized by this rule to issue it, a United States Marshal, or any other person who is not less than 18 years of age. Service shall be made by delivering a copy of the subpoena to the person named and by providing to the person named travel orders and a means for reimbursement for fees and mileage as may be

prescribed by the Secretary concerned, or in the case of hardship resulting in the subpoenaed witness's inability to comply with the subpoena absent initial government payment, by providing to the person named travel orders, fees, and mileage sufficient to comply with the subpoena in rules prescribed by the Secretary concerned."

(j) R.C.M. 703(e)(2)(G)(ii) is amended to read as follows:

"(ii) *Requirements.* A warrant of attachment may be issued only upon probable cause to believe that the witness was duly served with a subpoena, that the subpoena was issued in accordance with these rules, that a means of reimbursement of fees and mileage was provided to the witness or advanced to the witness in cases of hardship, that the witness is material, that the witness refused or willfully neglected to appear at the time and place specified on the subpoena, and that no valid excuse is reasonably apparent for the witness's failure to appear."

(k) R.C.M. 703(f)(4)(B) is amended to read as follows:

"(B) *Evidence not under the control of the Government.* Evidence not under the control of the Government may be obtained by subpoena issued in accordance with subsection (e)(2) of this rule. A subpoena duces tecum to produce books, papers, documents, data, or other objects or electronically stored information for a pretrial investigation pursuant to Article 32 may be issued, following the convening authority's order

directing such pretrial investigation, by either the investigating officer appointed under R.C.M. 405(d)(1) or the detailed counsel representing the United States. A person in receipt of a subpoena duces tecum for an Article 32 hearing need not personally appear in order to comply with the subpoena."

(1) R.C.M. 1103(b)(3) is amended by inserting new subsection (N) after R.C.M. 1103(b)(3)(M) as follows:

"(N) Documents pertaining to the receipt of the record of trial by the victim pursuant to subsection (g)(3) of this rule."

(m) R.C.M. 1103(g) is amended by inserting new subsection (3) after R.C.M. 1103(g)(2) as follows:

"(3) *Cases involving sexual offenses.*

(A) "Victim" defined. For the purposes of this rule, a victim is a person who suffered a direct physical, emotional, or pecuniary harm as a result of matters set forth in a charge or specification; and is named in a specification under Article 120, Article 120b, Article 120c, Article 125, or any attempt to commit such offense in violation of Article 80.

(B) *Scope; qualifying victim.* In a general or special court-martial, a copy of the record of trial shall be given free of charge to a victim as defined in subparagraph (A) for a specification identified in subparagraph (A) that resulted in any finding under R.C.M. 918(a)(1). If a victim is a minor, a

copy of the record of trial shall instead be provided to the parent or legal guardian of the victim.

(C) *Notice.* In accordance with regulations of the Secretary concerned, and no later than authentication of the record, trial counsel shall cause each qualifying victim to be notified of the opportunity to receive a copy of the record of trial. Qualifying victims may decline receipt of such documents in writing and any written declination shall be attached to the original record of trial.

(D) *Documents to be provided.* For purposes of this subsection, the record of trial shall consist of documents described in subsection (b) (2) of this rule, except for proceedings described in subsection (e) of this rule, in which case the record of trial shall consist of items described in subsection (e). Matters attached to the record as described in subsection (b) (3) of this rule are not required to be provided.”

(n) R.C.M. 1104(b) (1) is amended by inserting new subsection (E) after the Discussion section to R.C.M. 1104(b) (1) (D) (iii) (d) as follows:

“(E) *Victims of Sexual Assault.* Qualifying victims, as defined in R.C.M. 1103(g) (3) (A), shall be served a copy of the record of trial in the same manner as the accused under subsection (b) of this rule. In accordance with regulations of the Secretary concerned:

(i) A copy of the record of trial shall be provided to each qualifying victim as soon as it is authenticated or, if the victim requests, at a time thereafter. The victim's receipt of the record of trial, including any delay in receiving it, shall be documented and attached to the original record of trial.

(ii) A copy of the convening authority's action as described in R.C.M. 1103(b)(2)(D)(iv) shall be provided to each qualifying victim as soon as each document is prepared. If the victim makes a request in writing, service of the record of trial may be delayed until the action is available.

(iii) Classified information pursuant to subsection (b)(1)(D) of this rule, sealed matters pursuant to R.C.M. 1103A, or other portions of the record the release of which would unlawfully violate the privacy interests of any party, to include those afforded by 5 U.S.C. § 552a, the Privacy Act of 1974, shall not be provided. Matters attached to the record as described in R.C.M. 1103(b)(3) are not required to be provided."

(o) R.C.M. 1105A is newly inserted and reads as follows:

"Rule 1105A. Matters submitted by a crime victim

(a) *In general.* A crime victim of an offense tried by any court-martial shall have the right to submit a written statement to the convening authority after the sentence is adjudged.

(b) "*Crime victim*" defined. For purposes of this rule, a crime victim is a person who has suffered direct physical, emotional, or pecuniary harm as a result of the commission of an offense of which the accused was found guilty, and on which the convening authority is taking action under R.C.M. 1107. When a victim is under 18 years of age, incompetent, incapacitated, or deceased, the term includes one of the following (in order of precedence): a spouse, legal guardian, parent, child, sibling, or similarly situated family member. For a victim that is an institutional entity, the term includes an authorized representative of the entity.

(c) *Format of statement*. The statement shall be in writing, and signed by the crime victim. Statements may include photographs, but shall not include video, audio, or other media.

(d) *Timing of statement*.

(1) *General and special courts-martial*. The crime victim shall submit the statement to the convening authority's staff judge advocate or legal officer no later than 10 days after the later of:

(A) if the victim is entitled to a copy of the record of proceedings in accordance with Article 54(e), UCMJ, the date on which the victim receives an authenticated copy of the record of trial or waives the right to receive such a copy; or

(B) the date on which the recommendation of the staff judge advocate or legal officer is served on the victim.

(2) *Summary courts-martial.* The crime victim shall submit the statement to the summary court-martial officer no later than 7 days after the sentence is announced.

(3) *Extensions.* If a victim shows that additional time is required for submission of matters, the convening authority or other person taking action, for good cause, may extend the submission period for not more than an additional 20 days.

(e) *Notice.* Subject to such regulations as the Secretary concerned may prescribe, trial counsel or the summary court-martial officer shall make reasonable efforts to inform crime victims of their rights under this rule, and shall advise such crime victims on the manner in which their statements may be submitted.

(f) *Waiver.*

(1) *Failure to submit a statement.* Failure to submit a statement within the time prescribed by this rule shall be deemed a waiver of the right to submit such a statement.

(2) *Submission of a statement.* Submission of a statement under this rule shall be deemed a waiver of the right to submit an additional statement.

(3) *Written waiver.* A crime victim may expressly waive, in writing, the right to submit a statement under this rule. Once filed, such waiver may not be revoked."

(p) R.C.M. 1106(a) is amended to read as follows:

"(a) *In general.* Before the convening authority takes action under R.C.M. 1107 on a record of trial by general court-martial, on a record of trial by special court-martial that includes a sentence to a bad-conduct discharge or confinement for one year, or on a record of trial by special court-martial in which a victim is entitled to submit a statement pursuant to R.C.M. 1105A, that convening authority's staff judge advocate or legal officer shall, except as provided in subsection (c) of this rule, forward to the convening authority a recommendation under this rule."

(q) R.C.M. 1106(d)(3) is amended to read as follows:

"(3) *Required contents.* Except as provided in subsection (e), the staff judge advocate or legal advisor shall provide the convening authority with a copy of the report of results of the trial, setting forth the findings, sentence, and confinement credit to be applied; a copy or summary of the pretrial agreement, if any; a copy of any statement submitted by a crime victim pursuant to R.C.M. 1105A; any recommendation for clemency by the sentencing authority made in conjunction with the

announced sentence; and the staff judge advocate's concise recommendation."

(r) R.C.M. 1106(f) and (f)(1) are amended to read as follows:

"(f) Service of recommendation on defense counsel, accused, and victim; defense response.

(1) Service of recommendation on defense counsel, accused, and victim. Before forwarding the recommendation and the record of trial to the convening authority for action under R.C.M. 1107, the staff judge advocate or legal officer shall cause a copy of the recommendation to be served on the counsel for the accused. A separate copy will be served on the accused. If it is impracticable to serve the recommendation on the accused for reasons including the transfer of the accused to a different place, the unauthorized absence of the accused, or military exigency, or if the accused so requests on the record at the court-martial or in writing, the accused's copy shall be forwarded to the accused's defense counsel. A statement shall be attached to the record explaining why the accused was not served personally. If the accused was found guilty of any offense that resulted in direct physical, emotional, or pecuniary harm to a victim or victims, a separate copy of the recommendation will be served on that victim or those victims. When a victim is under 18 years of age, incompetent, incapacitated, deceased, or otherwise unavailable, service shall be made on one of the

following (in order of precedence): the victim's attorney, spouse, legal guardian, parent, child, sibling, or similarly situated family member. For a victim that is an institutional entity, service shall be made on an authorized representative of the entity.

(s) R.C.M. 1106(f) (4) is amended to read as follows:

"(4) *Response.* Counsel for the accused may submit, in writing, corrections or rebuttal to any matter in the recommendation and its enclosures believed to be erroneous, inadequate, or misleading, and may comment on any other matter."

(t) R.C.M. 1107(b) (3) (A) is amended by inserting new subsection (iv) immediately after R.C.M. 1107(b) (3) (A) (iii) as follows:

"(iv) Any statement submitted by a crime victim pursuant to R.C.M. 1105A."

(u) R.C.M. 1107(b) (3) is amended by inserting new subsection (C) immediately after R.C.M. 1107(b) (3) (B) (iii) as follows:

"(C) *Prohibited matters.* The convening authority shall not consider any matters that relate to the character of a victim unless such matters were presented as evidence at trial and not excluded at trial."

(v) R.C.M. 1306(a) is amended to read as follows:

"(a) *Matters submitted.*

(1) *By a crime victim.* After a sentence is adjudged, a crime victim may submit a written statement to the convening

authority in accordance with R.C.M. 1105A. A statement submitted by a crime victim shall be immediately served on the accused.

(2) *By the accused.* After a sentence is adjudged, the accused may submit written matters to the convening authority in accordance with R.C.M. 1105."

Sec. 2. The Discussion to Part II of the Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, is amended as follows:

(a) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 306(b) is amended to read as follows:

"The disposition decision is one of the most important and difficult decisions facing a commander. Many factors must be taken into consideration and balanced, including, to the extent practicable, the nature of the offenses, any mitigating or extenuating circumstances, the views of the victim as to disposition, any recommendations made by subordinate commanders, the interest of justice, military exigencies, and the effect of the decision on the accused and the command. The goal should be a disposition that is warranted, appropriate, and fair.

In deciding how an offense should be disposed of, factors the commander should consider, to the extent they are known, include:

(A) the nature of and circumstances surrounding the offense and the extent of the harm caused by the offense, including the

offense's effect on morale, health, safety, welfare, and discipline;

(B) when applicable, the views of the victim as to disposition;

(C) existence of jurisdiction over the accused and the offense;

(D) availability and admissibility of evidence;

(E) the willingness of the victim or others to testify;

(F) cooperation of the accused in the apprehension or prosecution of another accused;

(G) possible improper motives or biases of the person(s) making the allegation(s);

(H) availability and likelihood of prosecution of the same or similar and related charges against the accused by another jurisdiction;

(I) appropriateness of the authorized punishment to the particular accused or offense.

(b) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 405(g)(1)(B) is amended to read as follows:

"In preparing for the investigation, the investigating officer should consider what evidence, including evidence that may be obtained by subpoena duces tecum, will be necessary to prepare a thorough and impartial investigation. The investigating officer should consider, as to potential witnesses, whether their

personal appearance will be necessary. Generally, personal appearance is preferred, but the investigating officer should consider whether, in light of the probable importance of a witness's testimony, an alternative to testimony under subsection (g) (4) (A) of this rule would be sufficient.

After making a preliminary determination of what witnesses will be produced and other evidence considered, the investigating officer should notify the defense and inquire whether it requests the production of other witnesses or evidence. In addition to witnesses for the defense, the defense may request production of witnesses whose testimony would favor the prosecution.

Once it is determined what witnesses the investigating officer intends to call, it must be determined whether each witness is reasonably available. That determination is a balancing test. The more important the testimony of the witness, the greater the difficulty, expense, delay, or effect on military operations must be to permit nonproduction. For example, the temporary absence of a witness on leave for 10 days would normally justify using an alternative to that witness's personal appearance if the sole reason for the witness's testimony was to impeach the credibility of another witness by reputation evidence, or to establish a mitigating character trait of the accused. On the other hand, if the same witness was the only eyewitness to the

offense, personal appearance would be required if the defense requested it and the witness is otherwise reasonably available. The time and place of the investigation may be changed if reasonably necessary to permit the appearance of a witness. Similar considerations apply to the production of evidence, including evidence that may be obtained by subpoena duces tecum.

If the production of witnesses or evidence would entail substantial costs or delay, the investigating officer should inform the commander who directed the investigation.

The provision in (B), requiring the investigating officer to notify the appropriate authorities of requests by the accused for information privileged under Mil. R. Evid. 505 or 506, is for the purpose of placing the appropriate authority on notice that an order, as authorized under subsection (g)(6), may be required to protect whatever information the government may decide to release to the accused."

(c) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 405(g)(2)(B) is amended to read as follows:

"The investigating officer should initially determine whether a civilian witness is reasonably available without regard to whether the witness is willing to appear. If the investigating officer determines that a civilian witness is apparently reasonably available, the witness should be invited to attend

and, when appropriate, informed that necessary expenses will be paid.

If the witness refuses to testify, the witness is not reasonably available because civilian witnesses may not be compelled to attend a pretrial investigation. Under subsection (g) (3) of this rule, civilian witnesses may be paid for travel and associated expenses to testify at a pretrial investigation. Except for use in support of the deposition of a witness under Article 49, UCMJ, and ordered pursuant to R.C.M. 702(b), the investigating officer and any government representative to an Article 32, UCMJ, proceeding does not possess authority to issue a subpoena to compel against his or her will a civilian witness to appear and provide testimony.”

(d) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 405(g) (2) (C) (i) is amended to read as follows:

“Evidence shall include documents and physical evidence that are relevant to the investigation and not cumulative. See subsection (g) (1) (B). The investigating officer may discuss factors affecting reasonable availability with the custodian and with others. If the custodian determines that the evidence is not reasonably available, the reasons for that determination should be provided to the investigating officer.”

(e) The following Discussion is inserted immediately after R.C.M. 405(g) (2) (C) (ii):

"A subpoena duces tecum to produce books, papers, documents, data, electronically stored information, or other objects for a pretrial investigation pursuant to Article 32 may be issued by the investigating officer or counsel representing the United States. See R.C.M. 703(f)(4)(B).

The investigating officer may find that evidence is not reasonably available if: the subpoenaed party refuses to comply with the duly issued subpoena duces tecum; the evidence is not subject to compulsory process; or the significance of the evidence is outweighed by the difficulty, expense, delay, and effect on military operations of obtaining the evidence."

(f) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 405(g)(3) is amended to read as follows:

"See Department of Defense Joint Travel Regulations, Vol. 2, paragraph C7055."

(g) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 405(i) is amended to read as follows:

"With regard to all evidence, the investigating officer should exercise reasonable control over the scope of the inquiry. See subsection (e) of this rule. An investigating officer may consider any evidence, even if that evidence would not be admissible at trial. However, see subsection (g)(4) of this rule as to limitations on the ways in which testimony may be presented. Certain rules relating to the form of testimony that

may be considered by the investigating officer appear in subsection (g) of this rule.

Mil. R. Evid. 412 evidence, including closed hearing testimony, must be protected pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a. Evidence deemed admissible by the investigating officer should be made a part of the report of investigation. See subsection (j) (2) (C), *infra*. Evidence deemed inadmissible, and the testimony taken during the closed hearing, should not be included in the report of investigation and should be safeguarded. The investigating officer and counsel representing the United States are responsible for careful handling of any such evidence to prevent indiscriminate viewing or disclosure. Although R.C.M. 1103A does not apply, its requirements should be used as a model for safeguarding inadmissible evidence and closed hearing testimony. The convening authority and the appropriate judge advocate are permitted to review such safeguarded evidence and testimony. See R.C.M. 601(d) (1)."

(h) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 703(e) (2) (B) is amended to read as follows:

"A subpoena may not be used to compel a witness to appear at an examination or interview before trial, but a subpoena may be used to obtain witnesses for a deposition or a court of inquiry. In accordance with subsection (f) (4) (B) of this rule, a subpoena

duces tecum to produce books, papers, documents, data, or other objects or electronically stored information for pretrial investigation pursuant to Article 32 may be issued, following the convening authority's order directing such pretrial investigation, by either the investigating officer appointed under R.C.M. 405(d)(1) or the counsel representing the United States.

A subpoena normally is prepared, signed, and issued in duplicate on the official forms. See Appendix 7 for an example of a subpoena with certificate of service (DD Form 453) and a Travel Order (DD Form 453-1)."

(i) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 703(e)(2)(D) is amended to read as follows:

"If practicable, a subpoena should be issued in time to permit service at least 24 hours before the time the witness will have to travel to comply with the subpoena.

Informal service. Unless formal service is advisable, the person who issued the subpoena may mail it to the witness in duplicate, enclosing a postage-paid envelope bearing a return address, with the request that the witness sign the acceptance of service on the copy and return it in the envelope provided. The return envelope should be addressed to the person who issued the subpoena. The person who issued the subpoena should include with it a statement to the effect that the rights of the witness

to fees and mileage will not be impaired by voluntary compliance with the request and that a voucher for fees and mileage will be delivered to the witness promptly on being discharged from attendance.

Formal service. Formal service is advisable whenever it is anticipated that the witness will not comply voluntarily with the subpoena. Appropriate fees and mileage must be paid or tendered. See Article 47. If formal service is advisable, the person who issued the subpoena must assure timely and economical service. That person may do so by serving the subpoena personally when the witness is in the vicinity. When the witness is not in the vicinity, the subpoena may be sent in duplicate to the commander of a military installation near the witness. Such commanders should give prompt and effective assistance, issuing travel orders for their personnel to serve the subpoena when necessary.

Service should ordinarily be made by a person subject to the code. The duplicate copy of the subpoena must have entered upon it proof of service as indicated on the form and must be promptly returned to the person who issued the subpoena. If service cannot be made, the person who issued the subpoena must be informed promptly. A stamped, addressed envelope should be provided for these purposes.

For purposes of this Rule, *hardship* is defined as any situation which would substantially preclude reasonable efforts to appear that could be solved by providing transportation or fees and mileage to which the witness is entitled for appearing at the hearing in question.”

(j) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 703(e)(2)(G)(i) is amended to read as follows:

“A warrant of attachment (DD Form 454) may be used when necessary to compel a witness to appear or produce evidence under this rule. A warrant of attachment is a legal order addressed to an official directing that official to have the person named in the order brought before a court.

Subpoenas issued under R.C.M. 703 are Federal process and a person not subject to the code may be prosecuted in a Federal civilian court under Article 47 for failure to comply with a subpoena issued in compliance with this rule and formally served.

Failing to comply with such a subpoena is a felony offense, and may result in a fine or imprisonment, or both, at the discretion of the district court. The different purposes of the warrant of attachment and criminal complaint under Article 47 should be borne in mind. The warrant of attachment, available without the intervention of civilian judicial proceedings, has as its purpose the obtaining of the witness’s presence,

testimony, or documents. The criminal complaint, prosecuted through the civilian Federal courts, has as its purpose punishment for failing to comply with process issued by military authority. It serves to vindicate the military interest in obtaining compliance with its lawful process.

For subpoenas issued for a pretrial investigation pursuant to Article 32 under subsection (f) (4) (B), the general court-martial convening authority with jurisdiction over the case may issue a warrant of attachment to compel production of documents.”

(k) The Discussion immediately following R.C.M. 703(f) (1) is amended to read as follows:

“Relevance is defined by Mil. R. Evid. 401. Relevant evidence is necessary when it is not cumulative and when it would contribute to a party’s presentation of the case in some positive way on a matter in issue. A matter is not in issue when it is stipulated as a fact. The discovery and introduction of classified or other government information is controlled by Mil. R. Evid. 505 and 506.”

(l) The following Discussion is added immediately after R.C.M. 703(f) (4) (B) :

“The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, P.L. 112-81, § 542, amended Article 47 to allow the issuance of subpoenas duces tecum for Article 32 hearings. Although the amended language cites Article 32(b), this new subpoena power

extends to documents subpoenaed by the investigating officer and counsel representing the United States, whether or not requested by the defense.”

(m) The following Discussion is inserted immediately after R.C.M. 1103(b) (3) (N) :

“Per R.C.M. 1114(f), consult service regulations for distribution of promulgating orders.”

(n) The following Discussion is added immediately after R.C.M. 1103(g) (3) (B) :

“This rule is not intended to limit the Services’ discretion to provide records of trial to other individuals.”

(o) The following Discussion is inserted immediately after R.C.M. 1103(g) (3) (D) :

“Subsections (b) (3) (N) and (g) (3) of this rule were added to implement Article 54(e), UCMJ, in compliance with the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (P.L. 112-81, § 586). Service of a copy of the record of trial on a victim is prescribed in R.C.M. 1104(b) (1) (E).”

(p) The following Discussion is added immediately after R.C.M. 1104(b) (1) (E) :

“Subsection (b) (1) (E) of this rule was added to implement Article 54(e), UCMJ, in compliance with the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (P.L. 112-81, § 586). The

content of the victim's record of trial is prescribed in R.C.M. 1103(g) (3) (D).

Promulgating orders are to be distributed in accordance with R.C.M. 1114(f)."

(q) The following Discussion is added immediately after R.C.M. 1105A(c):

"Statements should be submitted to the convening authority's staff judge advocate or legal officer, or, in the case of a summary court-martial, to the summary court-martial officer."

(r) The Discussion immediately after R.C.M. 1106(d) (3) is amended to read as follows:

"The recommendation required by this rule need not include information regarding the recommendations for clemency. See R.C.M. 1105(b) (2) (D), which pertains to clemency recommendations that may be submitted by the accused to the convening authority.

The recommendation is only required to include a crime victim's statement if the statement is submitted by the crime victim under the provisions of R.C.M. 1105A. The recommendation is not required to contain any other statements that a crime victim may have made on other occasions unless those previous statements are submitted by the crime victim under the provisions of R.C.M. 1105A."

(s) The Discussion immediately after R.C.M. 1106(f) (7) is amended to read as follows:

““New matter” includes discussion of the effect of new decisions on issues in the case, matter from outside the record of trial, and issues not previously discussed. “New matter” does not ordinarily include any discussion by the staff judge advocate or legal officer of the correctness of the initial defense comments on the recommendation. The method of service and the form of the proof of service are not prescribed and may be by any appropriate means. See R.C.M. 1103(b) (3) (G). For example, a certificate of service, attached to the record of trial, would be appropriate when the accused is served personally. If a victim statement, submitted under R.C.M. 1105A, is served on the accused prior to service of the recommendation, then that statement shall not be considered a “new matter” when it is again served on the accused as an enclosure to the recommendation.”

Sec. 3. Appendix 21 of the Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, Analysis of Rules for Courts-Martial, is amended as follows:

R.C.M. 1107, after the paragraph beginning with the words “Subsection (3) (A) (i),” insert the following language:

“2014 Amendment. The prohibition against considering matters that relate to the character of a victim expands upon the prohibition against considering “submitted” matters that is

set forth in section 1706(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-66, 127 Stat. 961 (2013). This revision does not incorporate the word "submitted" from section 1706(b), in order to afford greater protection to the victim by prohibiting convening authority consideration of any evidence of a victim's character not admitted into evidence at trial, no matter the source."