Table Of Contents

	2.6	Spin-Orbital Coupling	99
	2.7	Knight Shift	100
	2.8	Spin-Nuclear Coupling	101
	2.9	Spin-Nuclear and Orbital-Nuclear	
		Coupling of Hydrinos	103
		D Einstein A Coefficient	
	2.13	I Intensity of Spin-Nuclear and Orbital-Nuclear Coupling	
		nsitions of Hydrinos	
	F	References	110
3.	Elec	etron in Free Space	111
		Charge Density Function	
		Current Density Function	
		Stern-Gerlach Experiment	
		Electric Field of a Free Electron	
	F	References	121
4.	Equ	nation of the Photon	122
	4.1	Right and Left Hand Circular and Elliptically	
	Pola	arized Photons	122
	4.2	Linear Polarized Photons	131
	4.3	Spherical Wave	135
	4.4	Photon Torpedoes	137
	F	References	137
5.	Ato	mic Coulomb Field CollapseHydrino Theory	
Bla	ackL	ight Process	139
	5.1	BlackLight Process	139
	5.2	Energy Hole Concept	141
	5.3	Catalysts	148
	5.4	Disproportionation of Energy States	153
	5.5	Interstellar Disproportionation Rate	159
	5.6	Coulombic Annihilation Fusion (CAF)	160
	5.7	New "Ground" State	161
	F	References	161
6.	Sta	bility of Atoms and Hydrinos	163
	6.1	Instability of Excited States	164
	6.2	Stability of "Ground" and Hydrino States	166
	6.3	Disproportionation Mechanism	167
	6.4	Energy Hole as a Multipole Expansion	170
	6.5	Power Density of a Gas Energy Cell	171
		References	
7.		e Two-Electron Atom	
	7.1	Determination of Orbitsphere Radii, r_n	177
	7.2	Energy Calculations	181
	7.3	Hydride Ion	187

7.4 Hydrino Hydride Ion	189
7.5 Hydrino Hydride Ion Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Shift.	191
References	
8. Derivation of Electron Scattering by Helium	193
8.1 Classical Scattering of Electromagnetic Radiation	193
8.2 Classical Wave Theory of Electron Scattering	196
8.3 Electron Scattering Equation for the Helium Atom	
Based on the Orbitsphere Model	204
8.4 Discussion	212
References	213
9. Excited States of Helium	215
References	
10. The Three-Electron Atom	
10.1 The Lithium Atom	
10.2 The Radius of the Outer Electron of the Lithium Atom	
10.4 The Ionization Energy of Lithium	
10.5 Three Electron Atoms with a Nuclear Charge Z > 3	228
10.6 The Radius of the Outer Electron of Three Electron	
Atoms with a Nuclear Charge Z > 3	230
10.7 The Ionization Energies of Three Electron Atoms	
with a Nuclear Charge Z > 3	
References	
11. The Electron Configuration of Atoms	
12. The Nature of the Chemical Bond	
12.1 Hydrogen-Type Molecular Ions	
12.2 Force Balance of Hydrogen-Type Molecular Ions	
12.3 Energies of Hydrogen-Type Molecular Ions	
12.4 Vibration of Hydrogen-Type Molecular Ions	
12.5 Hydrogen-Type Molecules	
12.6 Force Balance of Hydrogen-Type Molecules	
12.7 Energies of Hydrogen-Type Molecules	
12.8 Vibration of Hydrogen-Type Molecules	
12.9 The Hydrogen Molecular Ion H ₂ +	
12.10 Force Balance of the Hydrogen Molecular Ion	
12.11 Energies of the Hydrogen Molecular Ion	
12.12 Vibration of the Hydrogen Molecular Ion	
12.13 The Hydrogen Molecule H ₂	
12.14 Force Balance of the Hydrogen Molecule	
12.15 Energies of the Hydrogen Molecule	
12.16 Vibration of the Hydrogen Molecule	
12.17 The Dihydrino Molecular Ion $\mathrm{H_2}^{*+}$	
12.18 Force Balance of the Dihydrino Molecular Ion	252

12.19 Energies of the Dihydrino Molecular Ion	253
12.20 Vibration of the Dihydrino Molecular Ion	254
12.21 The Dihydrino Molecule H ₂ *	254
12.22 Force Balance of the Dihydrino Molecule	254
12.23 Energies of the Dihydrino Molecule	255
12.24 Vibration of the Dihydrino Molecule	255
12.25 Geometry	
12.26 Ionization Energies	
12.27 Sizes of Representative Atoms and Molecules	257
12.28 Ortho-Para Transition of Hydrogen-Type	
Molecules	259
References	
13. Molecular Coulomb Field CollapseBlackLight Process	261
13.1 Below "Ground" State Transitions of Hydrogen-Type	
Molecules and Molecular Ions	261
13.2 Energy Holes	
13.3 Catalytic Energy Holes for Hydrogen-Type Molecules	
14. Diatomic Molecular Energy States	
14.1 Excited Electronic States of Ellipsoidal M.O.'s	
14.2 Magnetic Moment of an Ellipsoidal M.O	
14.3 Magnetic Field of an Ellipsoidal M.O	
14.4 Diatomic Molecular Vibration	
14.5 Diatomic Molecular Rotation	271
14.6 Diatomic Molecular Rotation of Hydrogen-Type	
Molecules	272
14.7 Diatomic Molecular Rotation of Hydrogen-Type	
Molecular Ions	275
References	277
SECTION II Collective Phenomena	278
15. Statistical Mechanics	
References	
1	
16.1 Fourier Transform of the System Function	
16.3 Critical Temperature, T _c	
16.4 Josephson Junction, Weak Link	
References	
17. Quantum Hall Effect	
17.2 Integral Quantum Hall Effect	
17.3 Fractional Quantum Hall Effect	297

References	.299
18. Aharonov-Bohm Effect	.300
References	.303
SECTION III Gravity, Cosmology, Elementary Particles,	and
Nuclear Physics	.304
19. Creation of Matter from Energy	.307
20. Pair Production	.312
References	.316
21. Positronium	.317
22. Relativity	.320
22.1 Basis of a Theory of Relativity	320
22.2 Lorentz Transformations	.324
22.3 Time Dilation	
22.4 The Relativity Principle and the Covariance of Equation	ns
in Galilean or Euclidean Spacetime and Riemann Spacetime	
References	
23. Gravity	
23.1 Quantum Gravity of Fundamental Particles	
23.2 Particle Production	
23.3 Orbital Mechanics	.357
23.4 Relativistic Corrections of Newtonian Mechanics	
and Newtonian Gravity	
23.5 Precession of the Perihelion	
23.6 Deflection of Light	
23.7 Cosmology	
23.8 Einstein Cosmological Predictions	.370
23.9 Cosmology Based on the Relativistic Effects of	070
Matter/Energy Conversion on Spacetime	
23.10 The Arrow of Time and Entropy	
23.11 The Arrow of Time	.378
23.12 The Expanding Universe and the Microwave	270
Background	
23.13 Composition of the Universe	
23.14 Power Spectrum of the Cosmos	.398
23.15 The Differential Equation of the Radius of the	400
Universe	
24. Unification of Spacetime, the Forces, Matter, and Energy 24.1 Relationship of Spacetime and the Forces	
24.1 Relationship of Spacetime, Matter, and Charge	
24.2 Relationship of Spacetime, Matter, and Charge	
24.4 Wave Equation	
≈T.T Wave LyuauUII	OIF.

References	417
25. Inertia	418
26. Possibility of a Negative Electron Gravitational Mass	420
26.1 General Considerations	420
26.2 Positive, Zero, and Negative Gravitational Mass	426
26.3 Antigravity Device	
26.4 Hyperbolic Electrons	433
26.5 Preferred Embodiment of an Antigravity Device	441
26.6 Mechanics	451
26.7 Experimental	455
References	456
27. Leptons	458
27.1 The Electron-Antielectron Lepton Pair	458
27.2 The Muon-Antimuon Lepton Pair	459
27.3 The Tau-Antitau Lepton Pair	460
References	461
28. Proton and Neutron	
28.1 Quark and Gluon Functions	464
28.2 Magnetic Moments	
28.3 Neutron and Proton Production	468
References	470
29. The Weak Nuclear Force: Beta Decay of the Neutron	471
29.1 Beta Decay Energy	471
29.2 Neutrinos	472
30. Quarks	474
30.1 Down-Down-Up Neutron (ddu)	475
30.2 Strange-Strange-Charm Neutron (ssc)	475
30.3 Bottom-Bottom-Top Neutron (bbt)	477
References	478
31. The Strong Nuclear Force	
32. K-Capture	480
References	480
33. Alpha Decay	481
33.1 Electron Transmission and Reflection at a Potential	
Energy Step	
33.2 Transmission Out of a Nucleus - Alpha Decay	485
References	
34. Mö ssbauer Phenomenon	489
A STATE OF THE OFFICE	400
SECTION IV Retrospect	
35. The Schrödinger Wavefunction in Violation of Maxwel	
Equation	
References	494

36. Classical Electron Radius	495
References	497
37. Wave-Particle Duality	498
37.1 The Wave-Particle Duality is Not Due to the Uncerta	
Principle	505
37.2 Inconsistencies of Quantum Mechanics	513
37.3 The Aspect Experiment - Spooky Actions	
at a Distance?	516
37.4 Bell's Theorem Test of Local Hidden Variable	
Theories (LHVT) and Quantum Mechanics	523
37.5 Schrö dinger "Black" Cats	526
37.6 Schrö dinger Fat Cats-Another Flawed	
Interpretation	541
37.7 Physics is Not Different on the Atomic Scale	553
References	555
38. The Hydrogen Atom Revisited	559
38.1 Abstract	560
38.2 Introduction	562
38.3 Development of Atomic Theory	563
38.3.1 Bohr Theory	563
38.3.2 Schrö dinger Theory of the Hydrogen Atom	
38.4 The Wave-Particle Duality is Not Due to the Uncerta	inty
Principle	
38.5 The Correspondence Principle Does Not Hold	
38.6 Classical Solution of the Schrödinger Equation	
38.7 Fractional Quantum Energy Levels of Hydrogen	576
38.8 Identification of Lower-Energy Hydrogen by Soft	
X-rays from Dark Interstellar Medium	
38.9 The Data And Its Interpretation	
38.10 Hydrogen Catalysts	
38.11 Anomalous Thermal Broadening of the Atomic Hye	drogen
Emission Spectrum in a Gas Discharge Cell by the	
	586
38.12 Backward Peak in the Electron Spectrum from Coll	
of 70 keV Protons with Hydrogen Atoms	587
38.13 Novel Energy States of Hydrogen Formed by a	
Catalytic Reaction	
38.14 Discussion	
References	594
SECTION V Prospect: Quarks to Cosmos to	
Consciousness	
39. Nature of Consciousness	598

© 2000 by BlackLight Power, Inc. All rights reserved.

	•	•	•
V	1	1	1

39.1 Relationship of Spacetime and the Arrow of Time	598
39.2 Consciousness	605
39.3 Reason and Intelligence	607
39.4 BlackLight Brainchild	
39.5 Experimental	
References	
SECTION VI Astrophysics	730
40. Spectral Data of Hydrinos from the Dark Interstellar	
Medium and Spectral Data of Hydrinos, Dihydrinos, and H	Iydrind
Hydride Ions from the Sun	731
40.1 Interstellar Medium	732
40.2 Solar Data	739
40.3 Stellar Data	758
40.4 Planetary Data	763
40.5 Cosmic Background Explorer Data	
40.6 Solar Infrared Data	
40.7 Identification of Hydrino Hydride Energy Levels by	
Soft X-rays, Ultraviolet (UV), and Visible Emissions	
from the Sun	770
Do formance a	700

SYMBOLS

μ_o	permeability of free-space
ϵ_o	permittivity or capacitivity of free-space
$ \eta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_o}{\varepsilon_o}} $ $ c = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu_o \varepsilon_o}} $	intrinsic impedance of free-space
$c = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mu_o \varepsilon_o}}$	speed of light
$\alpha = \frac{\mu_o e^2 c}{2h}$	fine structure constant
$h = e^2$	Planck constant bar electron charge squared
$a_o = \frac{4\pi\varepsilon_0 \hbar^2}{e^2 \mu_e}$	Bohr radius
$ \begin{array}{l} e \mu_e \\ 0 = \frac{h}{2e} \\ \mu_N = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_P} \\ \mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e} \end{array} $	magnetic flux quantum
$\mu_N = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_P}$	nuclear magneton
$\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e}$	Bohr magneton
λ_c	Compton wavelength bar
$\hat{\lambda}_c = \alpha a_o = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c}$	electron Compton wavelength bar
G	gravitational constant
m_e	mass of the electron
m_{μ}	rest mass of the muon
$m_{ au}$	rest mass of the tau
μ_e	reduced electron mass
$m_N^{}$	rest mass of the neutron
m_P	rest mass of the proton

And God said, "Let there be light"; and there was light. And God saw that the light was good; and God separated the light from the darkness.

Genesis 1:3

Apparently there is color, apparently sweetness, apparently bitterness; actually there are only atoms and the void.

Democritus 420 BC

I cannot believe that God would choose to play dice with the universe.

Albert Einstein

All truth goes through three steps. First, it is ridiculed. Second, it is violently opposed, and finally it is accepted as self-evident.

Arthur Schopenhauer German Philosopher

FOREWORD

BLACKLIGHT POWER, inc. (BLP) of Malvern, Pennsylvania, is developing a revolutionary energy technology--catalytic hydrogen collapse. More explicitly, thermal energy is catalytically released as the electrons of atomic hydrogen atoms are induced to undergo transitions to lower energy levels corresponding to fractional quantum numbers. The Company uses a hot refractory metal (e.g., a hot tungsten filament) to break hydrogen molecules into individual, normal hydrogen atoms. A vaporized inorganic catalyst causes the normal hydrogen atoms to collapse to smaller-than-normal hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen collapse is accompanied by a release of energy that is intermediate between chemical and nuclear energies. BLP's new technology can operate under the conditions of many existing electric power plants. It should be possible to retrofit these power plants to accommodate the new technology. The advantages are that the hydrogen fuel can be obtained by diverting a fraction of the output energy of the process to split water into its elemental constituents, and pollution which is inherent with fossil and nuclear fuels is eliminated.

BLP is not developing so-called "Cold Fusion", which refers to the failed attempt of producing substantial nuclear energy at room temperature. In contrast, BLP has obtained compelling theoretical and experimental support for fractional quantum energy states of hydrogen, which is the basis of a new hydrogen energy source. Some revisions to standard quantum theory are implied. Quantum mechanics becomes a real physical description as opposed to a purely mathematical model where the old and the revised versions are interchangeable by a Fourier Transform operation [1]. These revisions transform Schrödinger's and Heisenberg's quantum theory into what may be termed a classical quantum theory. Physical descriptions flow readily from the theory. For example, in the old quantum theory the spin angular momentum of the electron is called the "intrinsic angular momentum". This term arises because it is difficult to provide a physical interpretation for the electron's spin angular momentum. Quantum Electrodynamics provides somewhat of a physical interpretation by proposing that the "vacuum" contains fluctuating electric and magnetic fields. In contrast, in Mills' theory proposed herein, spin angular momentum results from the motion of negatively charged mass moving systematically, and the equation for angular momentum, $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$, can be applied directly to the wave function (a current density function) that describes the electron.

The quantum number n=1 is routinely used to describe the "ground" electronic state of the hydrogen atom. I will show that the n=1

state is the "ground" state for "pure" photon transitions (the n=1 state can absorb a photon and go to an excited electronic state, but it cannot release a photon and go to a lower-energy electronic state). However, an electron transition from the ground state to a lower-energy state is possible by a "resonant collision" mechanism. These lower-energy states have fractional quantum numbers, $n=\frac{1}{\text{integer}}$. Processes that occur

without photons and that require collisions are common. For example, the exothermic chemical reaction of H+H to form H_2 does not occur with the emission of a photon. Rather, the reaction requires a collision with a third body, M, to remove the bond energy- $H+H+M-H_2+M^*$. The third body distributes the energy from the exothermic reaction, and the end result is the H_2 molecule and an increase in the temperature of

the system. Similarly, the n=1 state of hydrogen and the $n=\frac{1}{\text{integer}}$ states

of hydrogen are nonradiative, but a transition between two nonradiative states is possible via a resonant collision, say n=1 to n=1/2. In these cases, during the collision the electron couples to another electron transition or electron transfer reaction which can absorb the exact amount of energy that must be removed from the hydrogen atom, a resonant energy sink. The end result is a lower-energy state for the hydrogen and an increase in the temperature of the system.

NEW QUANTUM THEORY

J. J. Balmer showed in 1885 that the frequencies for some of the lines observed in the emission spectrum of atomic hydrogen could be expressed with a completely empirical relationship. This approach was later extended by J. R. Rydberg, who showed that all of the spectral lines of atomic hydrogen were given by the equation:

$$\bar{v} = R \; \frac{1}{n_f^2} - \frac{1}{n_i^2} \tag{1}$$

where $R = 109,677 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $n_f = 1,2,3,...$, $n_i = 2,3,4,...$, and $n_i > n_f$.

Niels Bohr, in 1913, developed a theory for atomic hydrogen that gave energy levels in agreement with Rydberg's equation. An identical equation, based on a totally different theory for the hydrogen atom, was developed by E. Schrödinger, and independently by W. Heisenberg, in 1926.

$$E_n = -\frac{e^2}{n^2 8\pi \varepsilon_o a_H} = -\frac{13.598 \, eV}{n^2}$$
 (2a)

$$n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
 (2b)

where a_H is the Bohr radius for the hydrogen atom (52.947 pm), e is the magnitude of the charge of the electron, and ϵ_o is the vacuum permittivity.

Recently, I have built on this work by deriving a new atomic theory based on first principles. The novel theory hereafter referred to as Mills' theory unifies Maxwell's Equations, Newton's Laws, and General and Special Relativity. The central feature of this theory is that all particles (atomic-size and macroscopic particles) obey the same physical laws. Whereas Schrödinger postulated a boundary condition:

0 as r , the boundary condition in Mills' theory was derived from Maxwell's equations [2]:

For non-radiative states, the current-density function must not possess space-time Fourier components that are synchronous with waves traveling at the speed of light.

Application of this boundary condition leads to a physical model of particles, atoms, molecules, and, in the final analysis, cosmology. The closed-form mathematical solutions contain fundamental constants <u>only</u>, and the calculated values for physical quantities agree with experimental observations. In addition, the theory predicts that Eq. (2b), should be replaced by Eq. (2c).

$$n = 1, 2, 3, ..., and, n = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, ...$$
 (2c)

FRACTIONAL QUANTUM ENERGY LEVELS OF HYDROGEN

A number of experimental observations lead to the conclusion that atomic hydrogen can exist in fractional quantum states that are at lower energies than the traditional "ground" (n = 1) state. For example, the existence of fractional quantum states of hydrogen atoms explains the spectral observations of the extreme ultraviolet background emission from interstellar space [3], which may characterize dark matter as demonstrated in Table 1. (In these cases, a hydrogen atom in a fractional quantum state, $H(n_i)$, collides, for example, with a $n = \frac{1}{2}$

hydrogen atom, $H(n_f)$, and $H(n_f)$, and $H(n_f)$ is ionized.

$$H(n_i) + H(n_f) + H^+ + e^- + photon$$
 (3)

xiv

The energy released, as a photon, is the difference between the energies of the initial and final states given by Eqs. (2a-2c) minus the ionization energy of H $\frac{1}{2}$, 54.4 eV.)

Table Figure 4 of Labov and Bowyer). Observed emission data. (Raw extreme ultraviolet background spectral data taken from raw data and

	Observed	rved		Fredicted	cted
Peak #	Wavelength	Energy	Peak Assignment	a	Wavelength
	(A)	(eV)		(eV)	(A)
_	84.8	146.2	$1/7 \rightarrow 1/8$ H transtiton	149.6	82.9
6	101.5	122.2	$1/6 \rightarrow 1/7$ H transition	122.4	101.3
ယ	116.8	106.2	$1/2 \rightarrow 1/4$ H transition	108.8	114.0
4	129.6	95.6	$1/5 \rightarrow 1/6$ H transition	95.2	130.2
C	139.6	88.8	He scattered peak #3	87.6	141.5
6	163.2	75.9		74.8	165.7
7	181.7	68.3	$1/4 \rightarrow 1/5$ H transition	68.0	182.3
∞	200.6	61.8	Second order of peak #2	61.2	202.6
9 *	233.8	53.0	$1 \rightarrow 1/3$ H transition	54.4	227.9
10	261.2	47.5	He scattered peak #7	46.8	265.0
11	302.5	41.0	$1/3 \rightarrow 1/4$ H transition	40.8	303.9
12	459.1	27.0	Second order of peak #9	27.2	455.8
13	584	21.2	He resonance scattered	21.2	584
			emission		
14	607.5	20.4	Second order of peak #11	20.4	607.8
15	633.0	19.7	He scattered peak #11	19.6	633.0
16			1/2 1/3 H transition	13.6	912.3

^aFor helium inelastic scattered peaks of hydrogen transitions, $n_i \rightarrow n_f$ $E = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) X13.6 \ eV - 54.4 \ eV - 21.21 \ eV$

 \mathbf{E}

 $X13.6 \ eV - 54.4 \ eV$

aFor lower-energy transitions, $n=1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{4},...$, and $n_i>n_f$ induced by a disproportionation reaction with $H\left(\frac{a_H}{2}\right)$

E =

calculated 430Å, and Bowyer and Labov used three monochrometers for maximal sensitivity in each energy range: 80-230Å, 230-30Å, and 430-650Å. The monochrometer change at 230Å resulted in the 6 Å discrepancy between the and observed lines.

© 2000 by BlackLight Power, Inc. All rights reserved.

xvi

Table 2. Representative analytical tests performed on BlackLight's novel hydride compounds with the corresponding laboratory.

Laboratory Analytical Test

Lehigh University X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

Virginia Tech Raman Spectroscopy

Charles Evans & Associates East TOFSIMS, XPS, EDS, Scanning Electron Spectroscopy

University of Massachusetts Proton NMR

Charles Evans & Associates West ToF-SIMS

Xerox ToF-SIMS, XPS

Physical Electronics, Inc. ToF-SIMS

Spectral Data Services Proton & K NMR

Surface Science Associates FTIR

IC Laboratories XRD

Ricerca, Inc. LC/MS

PerSeptive Biosystems ESIToFMS

INP EUV Spectroscopy

Galbraith Laboratories Elemental Analysis

Franklin & Marshall College XRD

Pennsylvania State University Calvet calorimetry, XRD

TA Instruments TGA/DTA

Northeastern University Mossbauer Spectroscopy

M-Scan Inc. FABMSMS, ESIMS,

Solids Probe Magnetic Sector Mass Spectroscopy

Micromass ESITOFMS

Southwest Research Institute Solids Probe,

Direct Exposure Probe Magnetic Sector Mass Spectroscopy

BlackLight Power, Inc. Calvet and Heat Loss Calorimetry, Cryogenic Gas Chromatography,

ToF-SIMS, XPS, LC/MS, UV and EUV Spectroscopy, Thermal Decomposition/Gas Chromatography, MS of Gasses Solids Probe Quadrapole Mass Spectroscopy, ESIToFMS Other aspects of Mills' theory include the relationship of the mechanism that determines the masses of the fundamental particles to cosmology:

- Fundamental particle production occurs when the energy of the particle given by the Planck equation, Maxwell's Equations, and Special Relativity is equal to mc^2 , and the proper time is equal to the coordinate time according to General Relativity. The gravitational equations with the equivalence of the particle production energies permit the equivalence of mass/energy and the spacetime metric from which the gravitational constant and the masses of the leptons, the quarks, and nucleons are derived.
- The gravitational equations with the equivalence of the particle production energies permit the equivalence of mass/energy $(E=mc^2)$ and spacetime $(\frac{c^3}{4\pi G}=3.22~X\,10^{34}~\frac{kg}{\rm sec})$. Spacetime expands as mass is released as energy which provides the basis of the atomic, thermodynamic, and cosmological arrows of time. Entropy and the expansion of the universe are large scale consequences.
- The universe is closed independently of the total mass of the universe, and different regions of space are isothermal even though they are separated by greater distances than that over which light could travel during the time of the expansion of the universe. The calculated microwave background temperature is 2.7 °K.
- The universe is oscillatory in matter/energy and spacetime with a finite minimum radius, the gravitational radius $(3.12 \, X \, 10^{11} \, light \, years)$; thus, the gravitational force causes celestial structures to evolve on a time scale that is greater than the period of oscillation $(9.83 \, X \, 10^{11} \, light \, years)$.
- The value of the Hubble constant (Mills) is $H_0 = 78.6 \, \frac{km}{\sec \, Mpc}$. Presently, stars exist which are older than the elapsed time of the present expansion as stellar evolution occurred during the contraction phase. The maximum energy release of the universe which occurs at the beginning of the expansion phase is $2.89 \, X \, 10^{51} \, W$.

xviii

References

1. The theories of Bohr, Schrödinger, and presently Mills all give the identical equation for the principal energy levels of the hydrogen atom.

$$E_{ele} = -\frac{Z^2 e^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 n^2 a_H} = -\frac{Z^2}{n^2} X 2.1786 X 10^{-18} J = -Z^2 X \frac{13.598}{n^2} eV$$
 (4)

The present theory solves the two dimensional wave equation for the charge density function of the electron. And, the Fourier transform of the charge density function is a solution of the three dimensional wave equation in frequency (k,ω) space. Whereas, the Schrödinger equation solutions are three dimensional in spacetime. The energy is given by

$$\psi H \psi dv = E \psi^2 dv; \tag{5}$$

$$\psi^2 dv = 1 \tag{6}$$

Thus,

$$\psi H \psi dv = E \tag{7}$$

In the case that the potential energy of the Hamiltonian, H, is a constant times the wavenumber, the Schrödinger equation is the well known Bessel equation. Then with one of the solutions for ψ , Eq. (7) is equivalent to an inverse Fourier transform. According to the duality and scale change properties of Fourier transforms, the energy equation of the present theory and that of quantum mechanics are identical, the energy of a radial Dirac delta function of radius equal to an integer multiple of the radius of the hydrogen atom (Eq. (4)). And, Bohr obtained the same energy formula by postulating nonradiative states with angular momentum

$$L_{z} = m\hbar \tag{8}$$

and solving the energy equation classically.

The mathematics for all three theories converge to Eq. (4). However, the physics is quite different. Only the Mills theory is derived from first principles and holds over a scale of spacetime of 45 orders of magnitude: it correctly predicts the nature of the universe from the scale of the quarks to that of the cosmos.

- 2. Haus, H. A., "On the radiation from point charges", American Journal of Physics, 54, (1986), pp. 1126-1129.
- 3. Labov, S., Bowyer, S., "Spectral observations of the extreme ultraviolet background", The Astrophysical Journal, 371, (1991), pp. 810-819.