

successfully improved and enhanced substance abuse treatment services for individuals receiving care through the publicly funded treatment system in Philadelphia.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Randolph Muck, Acting Chief, Systems Improvement Branch CSAT/SAMHSA, Rockwall II, Room 618, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD. 20857. Telephone: (301) 443-8802.

Dated: February 16, 1995.

**Richard Kopanda,**

*Acting Executive Officer, SAMHSA.*

[FR Doc. 95-4322 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact for an Incidental Take Permit for the Proposed Canyon Ridge, Phase A, Section 3 Development, Austin, Travis County, TX**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has prepared an Environmental Assessment/Habitat Conservation Plan for issuance of a section 10(a)(1)(B) permit amendment for the incidental take of the Federally endangered golden-cheeked warbler (*Dendroica chrysoparia*) during the construction and operation of a residential development in northwest Travis County, Texas.

#### **Proposed Action**

The proposed action is the issuance of a permit amendment under Section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act to authorize the incidental take of the golden-cheeked warbler during construction and operation of the Canyon Ridge development on the 24-acre site.

The Applicant plans to construct single-family and multi-family residences in northwest Travis County, Texas. The proposed development will comply with all local, State, and Federal environmental regulations addressing environmental impacts associated with this type of development. Details of the mitigation are provided in the Canyon Ridge, Phase A, Section 3 Environmental Assessment/Habitat Conservation Plan. Guarantees for implementation are provided in the Implementing Agreement. These conservation plan actions ensure that the criteria established for issuance of

an incidental take permit amendment will be fully satisfied.

#### **Alternatives Considered**

1. No action,
2. Proposed action,
3. Alternate site location,
4. Alternate site design,
5. Wait for issuance of a regional Section 10(a)(1)(B) permit.

Based upon information contained in the Environmental Assessment/Habitat Conservation Plan, the Service has determined that this action is not a major Federal action which would significantly affect the quality of the human environment within the meaning of Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. Accordingly, the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement on the proposed action is not warranted.

It is my decision to issue the section 10(a)(1)(B) permit amendment for the construction and operation of the Canyon Ridge, Phase A, Section 3 development in northwest Travis County, Texas.

**John G. Rogers,**

*Regional Director, Region 2, Albuquerque, New Mexico.*

[FR Doc. 95-4299 Filed 2-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

### National Park Service

#### **Notice of Publication of Final Sample Prospectus and Related Guidelines**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service published notice to rescind Chapter 6, 7, 8 and 11 of NPS-48 ("The Concessions Guidelines") Thursday, March 17, 1994 requesting comments at that time on the replacement document "Sample Prospectus and Related Guidelines." The document includes among other matters, a sample prospectus for solicitation of offers for National Park Service concessions contracts and permits, related evaluation guidelines and application information and criteria.

As an internal staff manual, notice of the Sample Prospectus and Related Guidelines is not required to be published in the **Federal Register** nor was public comment required yet to assure that the view of all interested parties were considered, the National Park Service sought public comment on its Sample Prospectus and Related Guidelines document and considered all comments received and amend the document if it is so warranted. The 60-day comment period has expired, and the public interest would not be served

in further delay of the effective date of this document.

#### **General Comments**

Only two entities responded to the publication of the notice with comments.

One commenter suggested that we withdraw this proposal until the Senate and House Finalize new legislation on Concession Management in the Parks. The public would not be served to consider this alternative as there exists a large backlog of NPS concession contract renewals which are necessary to complete to allow the commencement of major renovation and construction programs in areas of the nation park system, including improvements necessary to protect the health and safety of park visitors and NPS and concessioner employees. In addition, many concessioners are now operating under the terms of expired contracts and are accordingly, in need of contract renewal actions as soon as possible to permit business planning, actions and investments which require the existence of a new contract for implementation. It is also noted that the Sample Prospectus and Related Guidelines document is intended to provide guidance to NPS personnel concerning possible means to implement new policies and procedures adopted in the new NPS concession contracting regulations and new standard language concession contract, both of which were adopted after extensive public comment periods and consideration by NPS of all comments received.

This commenter discussed some issues that relate to NPS concession contracting regulations which were amended by NPS in furtherance of the objective of the Secretary's concession reform initiative. These issues, Possessory Interest, Compensation, Government Improvement and Capital Improvement accounts \* \* \* are not further discussed here as they were the subject of extensive public comment in the adoption of the amended regulations and standard contract language. The amended regulations were published in final in the **Federal Register** on September 3, 1992 (57 FR 40496) and the Final revision of the Standard Contract Language was published in the **Federal Register** on January 7, 1993 (58 FR 43140).

This commenter cautioned that in the preparation of the Prospectus there are two items listed for the Appendix which related to existing possessory interest and suggest that care be taken to be sure that the incumbent be aware of the value established by the present law. They propose that values supplied by