transactions in securities, and to protect investors and the public.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change will remove or lessen existing burdens on competition in that it will enhance the liquidity of and provide for greater price competition in Amex securities trading under \$10.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such other period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve the proposed rule change, or
- (B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

## **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Amex. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-Amex-95-02 and should be submitted by March 7, 1995.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

#### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–3568 Filed 2–13–95; 8:45 am]

[Release No. 34–35345; File No. SR-CBOE-94–54]

# Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange Relating to Firm Quote Responsibilities

February 8, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on January 4, 1995, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the CBOE. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to expand the applicability of the firm quote rule to include two-part orders in equity options, in which the component series are on opposite sides of the market and in a one-to-one ratio. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE and at the Commission.

# II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to expand the applicability of

Rule 8.51 to certain two part equity orders and thus, to attempt to ensure the ability of public customers to execute defined risk strategies, such as spreads and straddles, at the disseminated market quotes.

CBOE Rule 8.51 places the responsibility on the trading crowd to ensure that non-broker-dealer customer orders are sold or bought, up to ten contracts, at the quoted offer or bid, respectively. This "firm quote" or "tenup" requirement is meant to provide confidence that the displayed quotes may be relied upon by the investing public and to ensure that public customer orders will be executed at those quotes.

From its inception the rule was intended to apply to, and has been interpreted to apply only to, single part orders, i.e., either a buy order or a sell order for a particular option series. The Exchange has determined, however, that public customers would be served better if the interpretation were expanded to included a requirement to provide a tenup market in two-part equity option orders in which the components of the order are on opposite sides of the market and in a one-to-one ration to each other. The expansion in the interpretation of this rule would make it possible for public customers to execute both sides of a defined risk strategy such as a spread or a straddle, at the disseminated prices. This rule change, then, should help the Exchange compete more effectively for public customer order flow and trading activity.

The Exchange does not believe this rule change would be burdensome to market-makers because, under the current interpretation, the marketmakers would be required to satisfy the ten-up requirement as to each leg of a spread or straddle if each was placed as a separate order. This rule change would merely ensure that these two components may be done at the same time, as one order, and at the same prevailing market quotes. The Exchange believes, however, that it is inappropriate, under any circumstances, to extend the firm-quote treatment to multi-part orders with all parts on the same side of the market as this would effectively impose the burden on options market-makers of making markets in the underlying security. For example, a position in a long call and a short put is economically equivalent to being long the underlying stock; and thus, requiring a trading crowd to provide firm quote treatment to an order for this position would essentially be requiring the option market-makers to act as market-makers in the underlying security.