above (40 CFR 178.20). A copy of the objections and/or hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be submitted to the OPP docket for this rulemaking. The objections submitted must specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections (40 CFR 178.25). Each objection must be accompanied by the fee prescribed by 40 CFR 180.33(i). If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issue(s) on which a hearing is requested, the requestor's contentions on such issues, and a summary of any evidence relied upon by the objector (40 CFR 178.27). A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: There is a genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established, resolve one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issue(s) in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested (40 CFR 178.32).

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, Oct. 4, 1993), the Agency must determine whether the regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the requirements of the Executive Order. Under section 3(f), the order defines a "significant regulatory action" as an action that is likely to result in a rule (1) having an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, or adversely and materially affecting a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities (also referred to as "economically significant"); (2) creating serious inconsistency or otherwise interfering with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially altering the budgetary impacts of entitlement, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) raising novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of the Executive Order, EPA has determined that this rule is not "significant" and is therefore not subject to OMB review.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-354, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new tolerances or raising tolerance levels or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the **Federal Register** of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: January 27, 1995.

Daniel M. Barolo,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR part 180 is amended as follows:

PART 180-[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

2. In § 180.205(a), by adding and alphabetically inserting entries for the following raw agricultural commodities, to read as follows:

§180.205 Paraquat; tolerances for residues.

(a) * *

Commodity				Parts per million	
		*		* 0.05	
Rice, s	* * * *	*	*	0.06 *	
* :	* *	* *			

[FR Doc. 95–2822 Filed 2–7–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

40 CFR Part 180

[PP 8F3634/R1069; FRL-3734-9]

RIN 2070-AB78

Propionic Acid; Exemptions from the Requirement of a Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of propionic acid when used as a fungicide in postharvest application in or on the following raw agricultural commodities (RACs): cottonseed, peanuts, rice grain, and soybeans. Stop-Shock, Inc., requested these exemptions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This regulation becomes effective February 8, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** Written objections and hearing requests, identified by the document control number [PP 8F3634/ R1069], may be submitted to: Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. A copy of any objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be identified by the document control number and should also be submitted to: Public Response and Program **Resources Branch, Field Operations** Division (7605C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, deliver objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk to: Rm. 1132, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. Fees accompanying objections shall be labeled "Tolerance Petition Fees" and forwarded to: EPA, Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, OPP (tolerance fees), P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Cynthia Giles-Parker, Product Manager (PM) 22, Registration Division (7505C), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Rm. 227, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703-305-5540).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of February 14, 1990 (55 FR 5229), EPA issued a proposed exemption from the requirement of a tolerance under 40 CFR 180.1023 for residues of propionic acid in or on the following raw agricultural commodities: cotonseed, peanuts, rice grain, and soybeans.

No public comments or requests for referral to an advisory committee were received in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking.

The data submitted in the petition and other relevant material have been evaluated and discussed in the proposed rule. Propionic acid is to be applied without dilution and immediately after harvest by use of lowpressure nozzles to achieve uniform coverage as the commodity passes by the spraying applicator. The purpose of the postharvest application is to prevent fungal growth in and on the freshly harvested commodity.

Therefore, based on the information considered by the Agency and discussed in detail in the proposed rule, and that