

from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Section 11.H.4, III.C.2, and III.C.3, and for the TS changes proposed by the licensee, and concludes that the proposed actions will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

Regarding the exemption, the MSIV leakage, along with the containment leakage is used to calculate the maximum radiological consequences of a design basis accident. Section 15.6.5 of the LGS Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) identifies that standard and conservative assumptions have been used to calculate the offsite and control room doses, including the doses due to MSIV leakage, which could potentially result from a postulated LOCA. Further, the control room and offsite doses resulting from a postulated LOCA have recently been recalculated using currently accepted assumptions and methods. These analyses have demonstrated that the total leakage rate of 200 scfh results in dose exposures for the control room and offsite that remain within the requirements of 10 CFR Part 100 for offsite doses and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, for the control room doses.

Regarding the TS change, deletion of the MSIV LCS will reduce the overall occupational dose exposures and reduce the generation of low level radioactive waste due to the elimination of maintenance and surveillance activities associated with the system. The dose exposure associated with deleting the system will satisfy the ALARA requirements, and will be less than the dose which would result from maintenance and surveillance activities associated with the present system, if utilized for the remainder of the plant life. Thus, radiological releases will not differ significantly from those determined previously, and the proposed amendment does not otherwise affect facility radiological effluent or occupational exposures.

Therefore, there will not be a significant increase in the types and amounts of any effluent that may be released offsite and, as such, the proposed amendment does not alter any initial conditions assumed for the design basis accidents previously evaluated and the alternate system is capable of mitigating the design basis accidents.

Furthermore, the proposed exemption will not result in a significant increase to the LOCA doses previously evaluated against offsite and main control room

dose limits contained in 10 CFR Part 100 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criteria 19.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed actions involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. They do not affect nonradiological plant effluents and have no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed actions.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed actions, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed actions, the staff considered denial of the proposed actions. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the LGS, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, the staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed actions. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed actions will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed actions.

For further details with respect to the proposed actions, see the licensee's letter dated January 14, 1994, as supplemented by letters dated August 1, October 25, December 13, and December 22, 1994 (two submittals), which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Pottstown Public Library, 500 High Street, Pottstown, PA 19464.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of February 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Frank Rinaldi,

*Acting Director, Project Directorate I-2,
Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulations.*

[FR Doc. 95-2956 Filed 2-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-424 and 50-425]

Georgia Power Company, et al.; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Georgia Power Company, et al. (the licensee) to withdraw its January 22, 1993, application and August 6, 1993, supplement for proposed amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-68 and NPF-81 for the Vogle Electric Generating Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, located in Burke County, Georgia.

The proposed amendments would have revised the Technical Specifications to clarify and add requirements regarding the automatic load sequencers.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments published in the **Federal Register** on March 31, 1993 (58 FR 16860). However, by letter dated December 29, 1994, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated January 22, 1993, as supplemented August 6, 1993, and the licensee's letter dated December 29, 1994, which withdrew the application for license amendments. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Burke County Library, 412 Fourth Street, Waynesboro, Georgia.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of January 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Lois L. Wheeler,

*Project Manager, Project Directorate II-3,
Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-2957 Filed 2-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M