[FR Doc. 95–3015 Filed 2–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Notice of Cases Filed; Week of November 7 Through November 11, 1994

During the week of November 7 through November 11, 1994 the appeals and applications for exception or other relief listed in the appendix to this notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Under DOE procedural regulations, 10 CFR part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the procedural regulations. For purposes of the regulations, the date of service of

notice is deemed to be the date of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt by an aggrieved person of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585.

Dated: January 30, 1995.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS [Week of November 7 Through November 11, 1994]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
11/7/94	E.O. Smelser, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	VFA-0011	Appeal of an Information Request Denial/Reconsideration. If granted: The October 4, 1994 Freedom of Information Appeal Decision and Order (Case Number LFA–0420) is sued by the Office of Hearings and Appeals would be rescinded, and E.O. Smelser would receive access to remaining NRIS database information.
11/7/94	Texaco/Major Oils, San Francisco, California	RR321–170	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Texaco Refund Proceeding. If granted: The October 4, 1994 Decision and Order (Case Number RR321–165) issued to Major Oils would be modified regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Texaco Refund Proceeding.
11/8/94	Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico.	VSO-0011	Request for Hearings under 10 C.F.R. Part 710. If granted: An individual employed at Albuquerque Operations Office would receive a hearing under 10 C.F.R. Part 710.
11/8/94	Gulf/Ryder Energy Distribution, Hardin, Kentucky.	RR300-261	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Texaco Refund Proceeding. If granted: The August 9, 1991 Decision and Order (Case Nos. RF300–11185 and RF300–17936) issued to Ryder Energy Distributing would be modified regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Gulf Refund Proceeding.
11/8/94	Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.	VPZ-0001	Request for Deposition. If granted: Benton County would be required to make five individuals available for deposition.
11/8/94	Texaco/Ryder Energy Distributing, Hardin, Kentucky.	RR321–171	Request for Modification/Rescission in the Texaco Refund Proceeding. If granted: The July 29, 1994 Decision and Order (Case No. RF321–14683) issued to Ryder Energy Distributing would be modified regarding the firm's application for refund submitted in the Texaco Refund Proceeding.

REFUND APPLICATIONS RECEIVED [Week of 11/7/94 Through 11/11/94]

Date received	Name of refund proceeding/name of refund applicant	Case No.
11/8/94 11/7/94 11/7/94	American Blackline Coatings United L.P. Gas Corp P & T Texaco Service Dick's Suburban Texaco Texaco Utilities Co	RG272-1 RF340-201 RF321-21042 RF321-21043 RC272-265

[FR Doc. 95–3016 Filed 2–6–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–1–P

Notice of Issuance of Decisions and Orders; Week of October 17 Through October 21, 1994

During the week of October 17 through October 21, 1994 the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to appeals and applications for other relief filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Appeals

Dale N. Treweek, 10/19/94, LFA-0423

Dale N. Treweek filed an appeal from a partial denial of a request for information under the Freedom of Information Act issued by the DOE's Office of Engineering, Operations, Security, and Transition Support (EOST). In response to the request, EOST released some documents and stated that it had found no further responsive documents. After Treweek filed the Appeal, he conducted discussions with representatives of the DOE that identified certain potentially responsive documents that had not been released. The DOE therefore granted the Appeal and remanded the case to EOST for further action.