the Scott facial tissue brand, Scotties, along with certain tangible and

intangible assets.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the Federal Register and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Anthony V. Nanni, Chief, Litigation I Section, Antitrust Division, United States Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 4000, Washington, D.C. 20530 (telephone: 202/307–6694).

Constance K. Robinson, *Director of Operations*.

United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division

United States of America and State of Texas, Plaintiffs, v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation and Scott Paper Company, Defendants. Civil Action No.: 3:95 CV 3055– P. Filed: December 12, 1995.

## Stipulation

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, that:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the Northern District of Texas.
- 2. The parties consent that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court. upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16(b)–(h)), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that either plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which either or both may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court.

3. The parties shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the filing of this Stipulation, comply with all the terms and provisions of the Final Judgment as though they were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

4. In the event either plaintiff withdraws its consent, or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatever and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.

Dated: December 12, 1995.

For Plaintiff United States:

Anne K. Bingaman

Assistant Attorney General, District of Columbia #369900.

Lawrence R. Fullerton,

Deputy Asst. Attorney General, District of Columbia #251264.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, District of Columbia #244800.

Charles E. Biggio, Sr. Counsel,

State of New York (no bar no. assigned) Anthony V. Nanni, Chief,

Litigation I Section State of New York (no bar number assigned).

Anthony E. Harris, Attorney,

State of Illinois #01133713, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 1401 H Street, N.W., Suite 4000, Washington, D.C. 20530, (202) 307–6583.

For Plaintiff State of Texas:

Dan Morales.

Attorney General of Texas

Jorge Vega,

First Assistant Attorney General

Laquita A. Hamilton,

Deputy Attorney General

Thomas P. Perkins, Jr.,

Assistant Attorney General, Chief, Consumer Protection Div.

Mark Tobey,

Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Section, State of Texas #22082960, P.O. Box 12548, Austin TX 78711–2548, (512) 463–2185.

For Defendant Kimberly-Clark Corp.:

William O. Fifield, Esquire,

State of Illinois #0080332, Sidley & Austin, One First National Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60603, (312) 853–7474

For Defendant Scott Paper Company: Michael L. Weiner, Esquire,

State of New York (no bar number assigned) Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, 919 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022– 3897, (212) 735–2632

Executed on: December 11, 1995.

United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division

United States of American and State of Texas. Plaintiffs, v. Kimberly-Clark Corporation and Scott Paper Company, Defendants. Civil No.: 3:95 CF 3055–P. Filed: December 12, 1995.

## Final Judgment

Whereas, plaintiffs, the United States of American and the State of Texas, having filed their Complaint herein on December 12, 1995, and plaintiffs and defendants, by their respective attorneys, having consented to the entry of this Final Judgment without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and without this Final Judgment constituting any evidence against or an admission by any party with respect to any issue of law or fact herein;

And whereas, defendants have agreed to be bound by the provisions of this Final Judgment pending its approval by the Court;

And whereas, prompt and certain divestiture of certain rights and assets to assure that competition is not substantially lessened are the essence of this agreement;

And whereas, plaintiffs require defendants to make certain divestitures for the purpose of establishing viable competitors in the sale of baby wipes and facial tissue;

And whereas, defendants have represented to plaintiffs that the divestitures required below can and will be made and that defendants will later raise no claims of hardship or difficulty as grounds for asking the Court to modify any of the divestiture provisions contained below;

New, therefore, before the taking of any testimony, and without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and upon consent of the parties hereto, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed as follows:

## I. Jurisdiction

This Court has jurisdiction over each of the parties hereto and the subject matter of this action. The Complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against defendants under Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended (15 U.S.C. 18).

## II. Definitions

As used in this Final Judgment:

A. "Kimberly-Clark" means defendant Kimberly-Clark Corporation, a Delaware corporation with its headquarters in Dallas, Texas, and includes its successors and assigns, and its subsidiaries, directors, officers, managers, agents, and employees.

- B. "Scott" means defendant Scott Paper Company, a Pennsylvania corporation with its headquarters in Boca Raton, Florida, and includes its successors and assigns, and its subsidiaries, directors, officers, managers, agents, and employees.
- C. "Relevant Wet Wipes Assets" means:
- (1) The Dover, Delaware plant of Scott, including all tangible assets used by Scott in connection with its business of researching, developing, making, having made, packaging, distributing, or selling products of the Dover plant, including but not limited to: the manufacturing plant and associated web making, converting, packaging and distributing equipment and facilities, inventory, real property, and any other interests, or tangible assets or