included as attachment C. The new technology (i.e. ASOS, WSR–88D, and AWIPS) has or will be installed and will enhance services.

4. A map showing planned NEXRAD coverage at an elevation of 10,000 feet for Montana is included as attachment D. NWS operational radar coverage for the Havre service area will be increased and no area will be missed in coverage.

5. The following evidence, based upon operational demonstration of modernized NWS operations, played a key role in concluding there will be no degradation of service.

A. The WSR-88D RADAR Commissioning Report, attachment E, validates that the WSR-88D meets technical specifications (acceptance test); is fully operational (satisfactory operation of system interfaces and satisfactory support of associated NWS forecasting and warning services); service backup capabilities are functioning properly; a full set of operations and maintenance documentation is available; and spare parts and test equipment and trained operations and maintenance personnel are available on site. Training was completed but, two national work-arounds remain in effect.

B. The User Confirmation of Services, attachment F, documents that three negative comments were received, but none impacted the WSO Havre service area. These negative comments were answered to the satisfaction of the commentors, as stated in the service Confirmation Report.

6. A memorandum assigning the liaison officer for the Havre service area is included as attachment H.

I have considered recommendations of the Modernization Transition Committee (Committee) (attachment I) and the ______ public comments recieved during the comment period (attachment J). On _______ the Committee voted to endorse the proposed consolidation (attachment K). I believe all negative comments have been addressed to the satisfaction of our customers and I continue to recommend this certification.

Endorsement

I, Thomas D. Potter, Director, Western Region, endorse this consolidation certification.

Thomas D. Potter

Date

Attachments

Memorandum for: Thomas D. Potter, Director, Western Region

From:

Kenneth Mielke, AM/MIC, NWSFO Great Falls, MT

Brenda Brock, MIC, NWSO Missoula, MT

Subject: Recommendation for Consolidation Certification

After reviewing the attached documentation, I have determined, in my professional judgment, consolidation of the Helena Weather Service Office (WSO) with the future Great Falls Weather Forecast Office (WFO) and the Missoula Weather Forecast Office will not result in any degradation in weather services to the Helena service area. This proposed certification is in accordance with the advance notification provided in the National Implementation Plan. Accordingly, I am recommending you approve this action in accordance with section 706 of Public Law 102–567. If you concur, please endorse this recommendation and forward this package to the Assistant Administrator for Weather Services for final certification. If Dr. Friday approves, he will forward the certification to the Secretary for approval and transmittal to Congress.

My recommendation is based on my review of the pertinent evidence and application for the modernization criteria for consolidation of a field office. In summary:

1. A description of local weather characteristics and weather-related concerns affecting the weather services provided in the Helena service area is included as attachment A. As discussed below, I find that providing the services which address these characteristics and concerns from the future Great Falls and Missoula WFOs will not degrade these services.

Ž. A detailed list of the services currently provided within the Helena service area from the Helena WSO location and a list of services to be provided from the future Great Falls and Missoula WFO locations after consolidation is included as attachment B. Comparison of these services shows that all services currently provided will continue to be provided after the proposed consolidation. Also, the enclosed map shows the WSO Helena Area of Responsibility (i.e. "Affected Service Area") and the future WFO Great Falls Area of Responsibility. As discussed below, I find that there will be no degradation in the quality of these services as a result of the consolidation.

3. A description of the recent or expected modernization of National Weather Service (NWS) operations which will enhance services in the WSO Helena service area is included as attachment C. The new technology (i.e. ASOS, WSR-88D, and AWIPS) has or will be installed and will enhance services.

4. A map showing planned NEXRAD coverage at an elevation of 10,000 feet for Montana is included as attachment D. NWS operational radar coverage for the Helena service area will be increased.

5. The following evidence, based upon operational demonstration of modernized NWS operations, played a key role in concluding there will be no degradation of service.

A. The WSR–88D RADAR Commissioning Reports for Great Falls and Missoula, attachment E, validate that the WSR–88Ds meet technical specifications (acceptance test); are fully operational (satisfactory operation of system interfaces and satisfactory support of associated NWS forecasting and warning services); service backup capabilities are functioning properly; a full set of operations and maintenance documentation is available; and spare parts and test equipment and trained operations and maintenance personnel are available on site. Training was completed but, two national work-arounds remain in effect.

B. The User Confirmation of Services for NWSFO Great Falls and NWSO Missoula,

attachment F, document that only three negative comments were received from Great Falls. All three of the negative comments have been answered to the satisfaction of the commentors, as stated in the service Confirmation Report. Two negative comments were received from Missoula and both were answered to the satisfaction of the commentors.

6. A memorandum assigning the liaison officer for the Helena service area is included as attachment H.

I have considered recommendations of the Modernation Transition Committee (Committee) (attachment I) and the

______ public comments received during the comments period (attachment J). On ______ the Committee voted to endorse the proposed consolidation (attachment K). I believe all negative comments have been addressed to the satisfaction of our customers and I continue to recommend this certification.

Endorsement

I, Thomas D. Potter, Director, Western Region, endorse this consolidation certification.

Thomas D. Potter

Date

Attachments

[FR Doc. 95–30987 Filed 12–20–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–12–M

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Announcement of Import Restraint Limits for Certain Cotton and Man-Made Fiber Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in Qatar

December 15, 1995.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs establishing limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 1996. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Janet Heinzen, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–4212. For information on the quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927–5850. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482–3715.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended; section 204 of the