determination. This change would make the TMI-2 Technical Specifications consistent with the Standard Technical Specifications for B&W Plants (NUREG 1430).

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration which is presented below:

10 CFR 50.92 provides the criteria which the Commission uses to perform a no significant hazards consideration. 10 CFR 50.92 states that an amendment to a facility license involves no significant hazards if operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not:

1. Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated, or

2. Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated, or

3. Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed change to the technical specifications is administrative and does not involve any physical changes to the facility. No changes are made to operating limits or parameters, nor to any surveillance activities. Based on this, GPU Nuclear has concluded that the proposed change does not:

1. Involve a significant increase in the probability of occurrence of the consequences of an accident previously evaluated. The proposed amendment is purely administrative and affects only the review of activities that involve considerable review by the NRC. This change will not degrade the performance of review for either of the two activities that are affected. This proposed technical specification change does not involve changes to hardware configuration, operation, or testing. Therefore, this change does not increase the probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident since the change is administrative and no new failure modes are created.

3. Involve a change in the margin of safety. This change is administrative in nature; compatible with standard technical specifications; and does not affect any safety settings, equipment, or operational parameters.

Based on the above analysis it is concluded that the proposed changes involve no significant safety hazards considerations as defined by 10 CFR 50.92.

The NRC staff has reviewed the analysis of the licensee and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Government Publications Section, State Library of Pennsylvania, Walnut Street and Commonwealth Avenue, Box 1601, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105

Attorney for licensee: Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire, Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037

NRC Project Director: Seymour H. Weiss

Illinois Power Company and Soyland Power Cooperative, Inc., Docket No. 50-461, Clinton Power Station, Unit No. 1, DeWitt County, Illinois

Date of amendment request: October 27, 1995

Description of amendment request: The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification (TS) 3.1.3, "Control Rod OPERABILITY," to include the 25% surveillance overrun allowed by Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.0.2 into the allowances of the surveillance Notes for control rod "notch" testing per Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.1.3.2 and SR 3.1.3.3. The proposal also includes a clarification to the description of TS Table 3.3.3.1-1, "Post Accident Monitoring Instrumentation,' Function 7, to indicate that the Function's requirements apply to the position indication for only automatic primary containment isolation valves, rather than all primary containment isolation valves. Finally, the proposal includes changes to correct a number of editorial and typographical errors inadvertently contained in TS 3.3.4.1, "End of Cycle Recirculation Pump Trip (EOC-RPT) Instrumentation," TS 3.3.6.1, "Primary Containment and Drywell Isolation Instrumentation," TS 3.3.8.2, "Reactor Protection System (RPS) Electric Power Monitoring," and TS 3.6.5.2, "Drywell Air Lock."

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration which is presented below:

(1) The proposed changes associated with Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.1.3 are being made to make the surveillance requirement (SR) Notes agree with their original intent. The Notes were originally intended to allow the testing of control rods to be tracked as a group, i.e., partially withdrawn and fully withdrawn. In the event that a control rod(s) has changed from one test group to another, the Notes were intended to allow performance of the next surveillance on that control rod(s) to be delayed to coincide with the next regularly scheduled performance of the test of the new group. However, these Notes failed to include the 25% surveillance extension allowances of SR 3.0.2. This proposed change merely adds

the 25% extension to the time allowed by the Notes to make them agree with the Frequency plus the extension allowance of SR 3.0.2. The addition of the word "fully" to the Note for SR 3.1.3.2 is to provide for clarification only. These changes are consistent with changes approved for the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station (GGNS) and River Bend Station and are being proposed for the Clinton Power Station (CPS) for consistency. The proposed changes do not involve a change to the control rods or control rod drive system design or operation. Further, the proposed change does not affect the way in which the associated control rod test is performed, only the "triggers" for performance of the test are affected. These triggers are being revised to make them consistent with their original intent. As a result, the proposed change cannot increase the probability or the consequences of any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change to the description of LCO 3.3.3.1 Function 7 to include "automatic" is provided for clarification only. As described in the Bases for this Function, the requirements for operability are currently only associated with automatic primary containment isolation valves (PCIVs). As a result, this change does not involve a change to the scope of this LCO. In addition, these changes are consistent with changes approved for GGNS and are being proposed for CPS for consistency. Since this request does not affect the design or operation of this equipment, nor does it alter the scope of this Technical Specification (TS) requirement, this proposed change cannot increase the probability or the consequences or any accident previously evaluated.

The remaining proposed changes are purely editorial and do not affect the design or operation of any equipment or alter the technical requirements of any TS. As a result, these proposed changes cannot increase the probability or the consequences of any accident previously evaluated.

(2) The proposed changes do not affect the design or operation of any equipment. In addition, the proposed changes do not affect the manner in which any test is performed or involve a change to any plant operating mode or configuration. As a result, Illinois Power has concluded that the proposed changes cannot create the possibility of an accident not previously evaluated.

(3) The proposed changes to the SRs for LCO 3.1.3 are being made to make the SR Notes agree with their original intent and thus permit control rods to be tested as originally intended. The proposed changes do not involve a change to the control rods or control rod drive system design or operation. Further, the proposed change does not affect the way in which this test is performed or the routine Frequency of performing the test, only the "triggers" are affected. Since these triggers are being revised to make them consistent with their original intent, Illinois Power has determined that this change does not result in a reduction in the margin of safety.

The proposed change to the description of LCO 3.3.1 Function 7 to include "automatic" is provided for clarification only. As described in the Bases for this Function, the requirements for operability are