

limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to (Project Director): petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to the attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment which is available for public inspection at the Commission's

Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room for the particular facility involved.

Arizona Public Service Company, et al., Docket Nos. STN 50-528, STN 50-529, and STN 50-530, Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units Nos. 1, 2, and 3, Maricopa County, Arizona

*Date of amendments request:*

November 7, 1995

*Description of amendments request:*

The proposed amendment would adopt the improved Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-1432) format and content of Section 5.0, "Design Features," as modified by approved changes to the improved Standard Technical Specifications.

*Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination:* As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The Proposed amendment does not change the Design Features, only relocates the information to other documents. This is consistent with the NRC Policy Statement and NUREG-1432. Therefore, relocating existing information, eliminating information which duplicates information found in other licensee documents, and making administrative improvements provide Technical Specifications which are easier to use. Because information is relocated to established programs where changes to those programs are controlled by regulatory requirements, there is no reduction in commitment and adequate control is still maintained. Likewise, the elimination of information which duplicates information in other licensee documents, enhances the useability of the Technical Specifications without reducing commitments. The administrative improvements being proposed neither add nor delete requirements, but merely clarify and improve the understanding and readability of the Technical Specifications. Since the requirements remain the same, these changes only affect the method of presentation and are considered administrative, and as such, would not affect possible initiating events for accidents previously evaluated or any system functional requirement.

Therefore, the proposed changes would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The relocation of existing requirements, the elimination of requirements which

duplicate existing information, and making administrative improvements are all changes that are administrative in nature. The proposed changes will not affect any plant system or structure, nor will they affect any system functional or operability requirements. Consequently, no new failure modes are introduced as a result of the proposed changes. The proposed changes are consistent with the improved Standard Technical Specifications, for the most part, as plant specific information is included in this section. Therefore, the proposed change would not create the possibility of a new or different type of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed changes are administrative in nature in that no change[s] to the design features of the facility are being made. The Design Features Section is being reformatted to be consistent, for the most part, with NUREG-1432, "Standard Technical Specifications, Combustion Engineering Plants," Revision 1. The proposed changes do not affect the UFSAR design bases, accident assumptions, or Technical Specification Bases. In addition, the proposed changes do not affect release limits, monitoring equipment, or practices. Consequently, the proposed changes would not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on that review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendments request involve no significant hazards consideration.

*Local Public Document Room*

*location:* Phoenix Public Library, 1221 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004

*Attorney for licensee:* Nancy C. Loftin, Esq., Corporate Secretary and Counsel, Arizona Public Service Company, P.O. Box 53999, Mail Station 9068, Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999

*NRC Project Director:* William H. Bateman

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company, Docket No. 50-213, Haddam Neck Plant, Middlesex County, Connecticut

*Date of amendment request:* October 20, 1995

*Description of amendment request:*

The proposed amendment would revise the Electrical Power Systems Surveillance Intervals from 18 months to once per refueling (i.e., nominal 24 months).

*Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination:* As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented