

### Special Emphasis Panel in Systemic Reform; Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

*Name:* Special Emphasis Panel in Systemic Reform.

*Dates:* February 16-17, 1995.

*Times:* 12:00 noon-6:30 p.m.; February 16, 1995;

8:00 a.m.-12:00 noon; February 17, 1995.

*Place:* Doubletree Hotel, 300 Army Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202, (703) 416-4100, FAX (703) 416-4126.

*Type of Meeting:* Closed.

*Contact:* Dr. Richard J. Anderson, Senior Project Director, Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, Office of Systemic Reform, National Science Foundation, Suite 875, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230, (703) 306-1683.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to the NSF EPSCoR program for financial support.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate proposals from states participating in the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research. Proposals requesting one-year Experimental Systemic Initiative grants are submitted in response to NSF EPSCoR solicitation 94-55.

*Reason for Closing:* The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 522 b. (c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: January 30, 1995.

**M. Rebecca Winkler,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-2604 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission, new, or extension: New.

2. The title of the information collection: Policy Statements, "Criteria

for Guidance of States and NRC in Discontinuance of NRC Regulatory Authority and Assumption Thereof By States Through Agreement" (46 FR 7540; January 23, 1981, as amended by policy statements published at 46 FR 36969, July 16, 1981, and 48 FR 33376, July 21, 1983) and "NRC Review of Agreement State Radiation Control Programs: Final General Statement of Policy" (57 FR 22495, May 28, 1992); and Comprehensive and Update questionnaires, Evaluation of Agreement State Radiation Control Programs.

3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.

4. How often the collection is required: Policy Statements: As needed. Questionnaires: Initially for review of a State's request to become an Agreement State Program and biennial thereafter.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: Any State receiving Agreement State status by signing Section 274(b) agreement with NRC. Presently there are 29 Agreement States. Because a few of the States have more than one program, there are 34 programs in all.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: New Agreement States: Approximately one response every three years; Existing Agreement States: Approximately one-half (17) of continuing Agreement State programs are asked to respond annually.

7. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: For continuing Agreement State programs, approximately 211,680 hours would be expended, or an average of 6,226 hours per program; for a new Agreement State program, approximately 3,600 hours would be expended each year over a three year period; therefore, approximately a total of 215,280 hours would be expended annually.

8. An indication of whether Section 3504(h) Pub. L. 96-511 applies: Not applicable.

9. Abstract: Agreement States are requested to provide information concerning their materials regulatory programs in their States. This information is used by the Commission to carry out its reviews of State radiation control programs to ensure that these programs are compatible with the Commission's, meet the applicable parts of Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act, and are adequate to protect the public health and safety.

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, D.C. 20037.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB Reviewer:

Troy Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503).

Comments may also be communicated by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda J. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 28th day of January, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Gerald S. Cranford,**

*Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.*

[FR Doc. 95-2576 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-325]

#### Carolina Power & Light Company; Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an one/time Exemption from the requirements of Section III.D.1.(a) of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50 for Facility Operating License No. DPR-71 issued to the Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) for operation of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 1 (BSEP-1), located in Brunswick County, North Carolina.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant a one-time partial Exemption from the schedular requirement in Section III.D.1.(a) of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50, which requires a set of 3 Type A containment integrated leak rate tests to be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period. The third test of the set shall be conducted when the plant is shutdown for the 10-year plant inservice inspections. The proposed action would extend the second 10-year period for the performance of the third Type A test at BSEP-1 until the reload 10 outage (B110R1) in September 1996.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for Exemption dated November 22, 1994.

##### The Need for the Proposed Action

During the first 10-year service period, Type A tests were conducted as required by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J. Since the first 10-year service period for BSEP-1 was not aligned with the service period for BSEP-2, the licensee moved the end date for the BSEP-1 back to coincide with the BEEP-2 end date.