

Part 50 reactor licensee, of FSP's QA program in February 1991. The FSP QA Manager later admitted that he had not in fact conducted an audit of CPR, and that he had used the Toledo Edison audit report to fabricate the July 31, 1992 audit report of CPR.

On August 19, 1992, the second day of the inspection, Mr. Babcock told the inspectors to leave at the end of that day and not return until after Labor Day. At 4:45 p.m. that day, Mr. Babcock was presented with another letter from the NRC staff which was witnessed by members of the inspection team and Mr. Henry Allen of FSP. This letter reiterated the legal authority of the NRC to conduct the inspection, and notified Mr. Babcock that continued refusals to permit inspection of FSP or CPR would be treated as a violation of 10 CFR 21.41, could result in enforcement action, and could be subject to treatment as a criminal violation in accordance with Sections 161o and 223 of the AEA. Notwithstanding this second letter, Mr. Babcock continued to deny the NRC inspectors access to the CPR laboratory and to records of the CPR laboratory. The inspectors left the site at 5:00 pm as Mr. Babcock had requested.

The inspection team also requested copies of QA manuals for both FSP and CPR which would provide the basis to support FSP's certifications to licensees that its products were manufactured under an appendix B Quality Assurance (QA) program. Copies of these documents were not furnished by FSP due to Mr. Babcock's suspension of further inspection activities.

As a result of FSP's and Mr. Babcock's curtailing the inspection, the inspection team was unable to review the implementation of FSP's QA Program against licensee PO's or to inspect CPR's testing of FSP's grout and concrete mix products, and thus was unable to determine whether those products were produced, tested and provided in compliance with appendix B and part 21. Therefore, the NRC staff could not determine whether there was reasonable assurance that those FSP grout and concrete mix products were acceptable for use in safety-related applications in nuclear power plants.

Shortly thereafter, the NRC obtained a federal criminal search warrant, which was executed on September 1, 1992. Certain documents and testimonial evidence were taken.

Additionally, the NRC Office of Investigations conducted an investigation of the allegations leading to and the events surrounding the inspection. (OI Case No. 1-92-037). During the course of the OI investigation, Mr. Babcock instructed

his attorney to forward to the NRC a letter dated February 18, 1994, which Mr. Babcock had composed and signed. The attorney forwarded the letter, in which Mr. Babcock stated: "We did not deny the NRC inspectors access to the laboratory in August 1992. Mr. John S. Ma, a civil engineer on the NRC inspection team, was escorted to the lab where he conducted an inspection of the test laboratory." As indicated above, and as known to Mr. Babcock, no NRC inspectors were allowed in the laboratory at any time during the August 1992 inspection and, therefore, the statement concerning Mr. Ma's access to and inspection of the CPR laboratory is deliberately false. The letter was material because it provided incorrect information to the NRC on a matter that was under investigation.

IV

Based on the facts discussed above, the NRC concludes that the following violations of NRC requirements occurred:

A. 10 CFR 50.5, "Deliberate misconduct" prohibits any contractor (including a supplier or consultant), subcontractor, or any employee of a contractor or subcontractor who knowingly provides to any licensee, contractor, or subcontractor, components, equipment, materials, or other goods or services, that relate to a licensee's activities subject to this part, from deliberately submitting to the NRC, a licensee, or a licensee's contractor or subcontractor, information that the person submitting the information knows to be incomplete or inaccurate in some respect material to the NRC.

Contrary to the above, the Quality Assurance Manager of Five Star Products, and Five Star Products through its Quality Assurance Manager, prepared an audit report for Five Star Products of the Construction Products Research QA Program, dated July 31, 1992, without conducting an audit of Construction Products Research, and provided that audit report to NRC inspectors during an inspection of Five Star Products on August 18-19, 1992, knowing that no such audit had been conducted. This audit report was material to the NRC because it was capable of influencing its determination of whether the Construction Products Research QA Program complied with appendix B, and 10 CFR part 21 requirements.

B. Contrary to 10 CFR 50.5, Mr. H. Nash Babcock, the Vice President of Five Star Products, Inc. and the President of Construction Products Research, prepared and caused to be sent to the NRC a letter, in which Mr.

Babcock stated that one NRC inspector had been allowed to and did in fact inspect the laboratory test facility of Construction Products Research on August 19, 1992. In fact, as Mr. Babcock knew, no NRC inspector was permitted to inspect the laboratory facilities of Construction Products Research during the August 18-19, 1992 inspection. The letter was material to the NRC because it provided information directly related to a matter under investigation by the NRC, specifically, whether Mr. Babcock had deliberately denied NRC inspectors access to the Construction Products Research test facility in violation of NRC requirements.

C. 10 CFR 21.41 requires that each individual, corporation, partnership or other entity subject to the regulations in part 21 shall permit duly authorized representatives of the Commission to inspect its records, premises, activities, and basic components as necessary to effectuate the purposes of part 21.

10 CFR 21.51(b) requires, in part, that each individual, corporation, partnership or other entity subject to the regulations in part 21 must afford the Commission, at all reasonable times, the opportunity to inspect records pertaining to basic components.

Contrary to the above, on August 18 and 19, 1992, Five Star Products, Inc., through H. Nash Babcock, Vice President of Five Star Products, and Construction Products Research, Inc., through H. Nash Babcock, President of Construction Products Research, denied NRC inspectors access necessary to conduct an inspection of Five Star Products' contracted laboratory test facility, Construction Products Research, for, and of Construction Products Research records of test data associated with, safety-related grout and concrete mix products sold by Five Star Products to nuclear power plants licensed under 10 CFR part 50, pursuant to purchase orders specifying compliance with appendix B and 10 CFR part 21. Mr. Babcock also refused to allow NRC inspectors reasonable access to CPR laboratory personnel. By terminating the inspection, Mr. Babcock also prevented NRC inspectors from completing their examination of Five Star records.

V

The NRC and its licensees must be able to rely on licensee contractors and officers of licensee contractors, including providers of safety-related basic components such as Five Star Products, Inc., and suppliers of services associated with basic components, such as Construction Products Research, Inc., to comply with NRC requirements,