other bone procedure. These risks include complications such as foot drop, sensory loss of the lower extremity, complications related to skin graft healing, chronic pain, and wound closure.

Response: We agree this is a complex procedure as confirmed by our acceptance of a RUC recommendation of 38.00 RVUs (see Table 4, "American Medical Association RUC Recommendations and HCFA's Decisions," in our December 8, 1994 final rule with comment period (60 FR 63441)). We do not believe, however, the arguments presented warrant an increase to 43.00 RVUs, which would be nearly 3.00 RVUs higher than the reference code for repair of a thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm with graft (CPT code 33877) valued at 40.29 RVUs. We have retained 38.00 RVUs for CPT code 20955 (37.58 RVUs after adjustment for budget neutrality).

Reconstruction for stabilization of unstable distal ulna or distal radioulnar

joint (CPT code 25337).

Comment: A commenter disagreed with our decision to value new CPT code 25337 at 9.10 RVUs instead of 9.50 RVUs as the RUC recommended. The commenter stated that the preservice and postservice work is quite similar between the new code and the reference service, CPT code 25312. The commenter also stated the intraservice work requires more skill, effort, and time because the new code may involve a two-tendon transfer with two skin incisions, hence, a larger surgical exposure. The potential of injury to the ulnar nerve is high. Confirmation of an accurate reduction of the distal ulna requires an intraoperative x-ray, and, frequently, internal fixation with Kwires. Tunnels must be drilled into bone to allow passage of the tendons.

Response: We agree with the comment and have assigned 9.50 RVUs to CPT code 25337, as recommended.

Distal radioulnar joint arthrodesis and segmental resection of ulna (Sauve-Kapandji procedure) (CPT code 25830).

Comment: A commenter stated that the work of CPT code 25830 is equal to the work of CPT code 25337 (Distal radioulnar tenodesis) and recommended that 9.50 RVUs are the most appropriate RVUs for both codes. The commenter also stated that the RUC accepted CPT code 25390 (Osteoplasty, radius or ulna; shortening), with 9.96 RVUs, as a reference service for new CPT code 25830. This reference service involves excising a carefully measured segment of ulna followed by internal fixation

using a plate and screws. Preoperative work is somewhat greater than the work in new CPT code 25830. New CPT code 25830 is similar to the reference service in that a small segment of ulna is excised and then fused to the adjacent radius using pins or screws. Plates are not used and the amount of excised ulna is less critical. For these clinical reasons, the commenter recommended 9.50 RVUs for CPT code 25830.

Response: We agree with the comment and have assigned 9.50 RVUs to CPT code 25830, as recommended.

Repair of cleft hand (CPT code

26580).

Comment: A commenter disagreed with our decision to value CPT code 26580 at 15.99 RVUs (15.81 RVUs rescaled) instead of 17.71 RVUs, as the RUC recommended (see Table 4 of our December 8, 1994 final rule with comment period (60 FR 63441)). The commenter objected to the use of CPT code 28360 (Repair of a cleft foot) as the reference service. The commenter argued that the choice of this code as a reference service was inappropriate because of its clinical dissimilarity to the revised code. The commenter stated that CPT code 26590 (Repair macrodactylia), with 17.63 RVUs, is a more appropriate reference service. This code deals with a congenital anomaly of the digits of the hand requiring exacting microsurgical reconstruction of soft tissue, nerves, and bone in an infant. Revised CPT code 26580 requires metacarpal alignment by soft tissue dissection or metacarpal osteotomy requiring internal fixation, reconstruction of the deep transverse metacarpal ligament, and a thumb realignment to allow opposition. Mobilization of skin flaps may be necessary to achieve skin closure. This is a microsurgical procedure performed in infants, with an amount of work similar to the work involved in CPT code 26590. For these clinical reasons, the commenter recommended that revised CPT code 26580 should have 17.71 RVUs.

Response: We agree with the comment and have assigned 17.71 RVUs to CPT code 26580, as recommended.

Application of rigid total contact leg cast (CPT code 29445).

Comment: A commenter stated that this service is overvalued compared to the application of an Unna boot (CPT code 29580), with 0.57 RVUs.

Response: We disagree that this service is overvalued. The application of a rigid total contact leg cast (CPT code 29445) involves more work than the

application of an Unna boot because it requires the physician to custom make and fabricate the walking surface for each cast. The patient is usually diabetic with a grade 1 or 2 foot ulcer. The use of casts by these patients is risky because they are at risk of developing additional ulcers. Therefore, a great deal of time is spent ensuring that the cast will fit properly. Unlike Unna boots or other casts, total contact casts are always performed personally by a physician who must hold the foot in place while applying the cast.

Nasal/sinus endoscopy, surgical with frontal sinus exploration, with or without removal of tissue from frontal

sinus (CPT code 31276).

Comment: A commenter objected to the assigned 7.42 RVUs and requested an increase to 8.85 RVUs. The commenter presented the following description of the procedure. This procedure is performed around the middle turbinate anterior attachment in the frontal recess at 90 degrees to the line of sight. It requires the use of 70 and 30 degree telescopes, small-angled forceps, curettes, and hooks. It requires an exhaustive knowledge of frontal recess anatomy, very delicate technique, removal of tiny bone fragments, preservation of mucosa, and a dry field. It is very tedious and time-consuming. The commenter believed that the overall intensity and time is significantly greater for the endoscopic frontal sinustomy than either a total ethmoidectomy (CPT code 31255), with 6.96 RVUs, or an external frontal sinusotomy (CPT code 31075), with 8.85 RVUs.

Response: We agree with the comment and have assigned 8.85 RVUs to CPT code 31276, as recommended.

Gastroplasties and gastric bypass for obesity (CPT codes 43842, 43843, 43846, 43847, and 43848).

Comment: A commenter requested a re-evaluation of the entire family of codes because of a lack of representation of bariatric surgeons in the original resource-based relative value scale process. The commenter stated that the modest increase in the RVUs we assigned to these procedures still left a deficit valuation of approximately 27 percent for CPT codes 43842 and 43843, 17 percent for CPT codes 43846 and 43847, and 12 percent for CPT code 43848.

Response: We have not revised the interim RVUs because we do not believe the commenter made a compelling argument for change. We also disagree that the increases in RVUs assigned to