included an exception to the teaching physician presence requirement for certain evaluation and management services furnished in certain centers within the context of certain types of residency training programs. The new exception is found in § 415.174 ("Exception: Evaluation and management services furnished in certain centers"). The effective date of the regulations concerning teaching physicians will be July 1, 1996.

## *F. Unspecified Physical and Occupational Therapy Services (HCFA Common Procedure Coding System Codes M0005 Through M0008 and H5300)*

We proposed to eliminate HCFA Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes M0005 through M0008 and H5300 and to redistribute the RVUs to the codes in the physical medicine section of the CPT (CPT codes 97010 through 97799). This policy change requires a single way of reporting and paying for a service for which there are now two ways to report. We proposed no change regarding what services may be covered, only as to how covered services would be billed and paid.

*Comment:* All the comments we received expressed agreement with our proposal to eliminate the HCPCS codes M0005 through M0008 and H5300. The commenters stated that these services can be accurately reported using the new and revised physical medicine and rehabilitation codes in the CPT. They considered the decision to delete these codes to be appropriate and long overdue. The commenters cited the opportunity for unnecessary duplications of service codes and the misuse or overuse of the "M" codes in billing by physical therapists to support eliminating the HCPCS codes.

However, some commenters were concerned that carriers might be reluctant to follow billing rules under the CPT for occupational and physical therapists in the same manner as is accepted for physicians. One commenter had encountered problems in the past with carrier refusals to accept the range of codes allowed under the scope of practice, to allow payment for both physical therapy and occupational therapy services required by the same patient, or to reimburse for more than one code per visit. Another commenter questioned whether occupational therapists in independent practice could report the full range of codes or if some codes were appropriate only to physical therapists in independent practice. The commenter also observed that some procedures can be considered either a physical therapy

service or an occupational therapy service based solely on the specialty of the provider performing the service. Because of the coding flexibility, a beneficiary who has met his or her outpatient limit for physical therapy can continue to receive some of the same services under the occupational therapy outpatient limit if the service is furnished by an occupational therapist and vice versa.

Response: Physical therapy and occupational therapy services required by the same patient are permitted, as is payment for more than one code per visit, subject to statutory requirements and limitations. That is, the provider of a service must be qualified within the State's scope of practice to furnish the service. According to § 410.60 ("Outpatient physical therapy services: Conditions."), the services must be furnished under a written plan of treatment established by the physician or therapist caring for the patient. The services also must be medically necessary and reasonable for the diagnosis or treatment of an illness or injury, as mandated by section 1862(a) of the Act. Occupational and physical therapy services furnished to the same patient on the same day would necessitate two separate treatment plans, two separate physician orders, and both must be medically necessary.

The current Medicare coding limitations apply when both physical therapists and occupational therapists furnish services to the same patient. Specifically, we do not allow separate payment for CPT code 97250 (Myofascial release/soft tissue mobilization, one or more regions) for the same patient, on the same date of service as CPT codes 97265 (Joint mobilization), 97260 (Manipulation (cervical, thoracic, lumbosacral, sacroiliac, hand, wrist) (separate procedure)), or 97261 (each additional area) because these services overlap. Because of the duplication of services represented by the codes for manual manipulation (CPT codes 97260 and 97261), soft tissue mobilization (CPT code 97250), joint mobilization (CPT code 97265), or osteopathic manipulation (CPT codes 98925 through 98929), we do not permit separate payment if any of these codes are reported for the same patient, on the same date of service. Aside from these limitations, there is nothing that precludes the payment for both physical therapy and occupational therapy services for the same patient on the same date of service.

The full range of CPT codes 97010 through 97799 may be reported by occupational therapists in independent

practice as well as by physical therapists in independent practice if the service is within the scope of practice. We have no national payment policy that prevents occupational therapists in independent practice from billing and being paid for any CPT code that describes a service they furnish that may be covered. We do not allow payment for evaluation and management services billed by physical therapists in independent practice and occupational therapists in independent practice because the work RVUs for these services include work that they are not trained to perform (for example, evaluation for and prescription of drug therapy and evaluation for and prescription of surgical or other therapy). Otherwise, occupational therapists in independent practice may bill using any CPT code the carrier determines describes the covered services, not just the codes in the physical medicine section of the CPT.

It follows, therefore, that the same procedure code may be used to bill for an occupational therapy service or a physical therapy service. The covered outpatient limit applies to both specialties individually.

*Comment:* We were requested to clarify whether carriers allow payment for therapists' bills submitted under the physician fee schedule in the same manner as physicians' bills for similar services.

*Response:* Section 1848(j)(3) of the Act defines physicians' services to include outpatient physical therapy and occupational therapy for physician fee schedule payment and, therefore, bills of physical therapists and occupational therapists in independent practice are treated in the same manner as bills of a physician for covered services.

*Comment:* We received one comment asking if RVUs will be established for CPT codes 97545 and 97546, which are currently carrier priced.

Response: We reviewed the RUC recommendations and decided to defer assigning RVUs for these codes until there is a better definition of the services. It is unclear whether the time specified in the codes (CPT 97545, work hardening/conditioning, initial 2 hours and CPT code 97546, each additional hour) describes the time of the patient or the practitioner. It is our belief that it is possible for physical therapists in independent practice to do work hardening for four patients simultaneously, rotating from patient to patient located within the same room. Moreover, we believe that there is more work (that is, higher intensity and oneon-one attention) in the first 2 or more hours of service, not the initial 2 hours