traffic control system, on the single siding, between Demmler, milepost PLY11.6 and Riverton, milepost PLY14.3, Pennsylvania, Cumberland Division, Pittsburgh Subdivision; consisting of the discontinuance and removal of the electric locks from four hand-operated switches, and establish Rule 105 for the method of operation on the siding.

The reason given for the proposed changes is to eliminate signal system no longer needed for present day operation. BS-AP-No. 3369

Applicant: Burlington Northern Railroad Company, Mr. William G. Peterson, Director Signal Engineering, 1900 Continental Plaza, Fort Worth, Texas 76102–5304.

The Burlington Northern Railroad Company seeks approval of the proposed modification of the traffic control system on the single main track, between milepost 310.0, near Neosho, Missouri, and milepost 345.0 near Afton, Oklahoma, on the Southern Corridor, Springfield Division, Monett Subdivision, consisting of the discontinuance and removal of 15 automatic signals, the discontinuance and removal of 2 absolute signals, and the installation of 20 automatic signals.

The reason given for the proposed changes is to respace signals associated with the installation of electronic coded track circuits.

Rules Standards & Instructions Application (RS&I-AP)-No. 1098

Applicants: Union Pacific Railroad Company, Chicago and NorthWestern Transportation Company, Mr. A.L. Shoener, Executive Vice President Operations, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1206, Omaha, Nebraska 68179.

The Union Pacific Railroad Company and Chicago and NorthWestern Transportation Company (CNW) jointly seek relief from the requirements of Section 236.566 (49 CFR, 236.566) of the Rules, Standard and Instructions to the extent that they be allowed to operate non-equipped automatic cab signal/ automatic train stop (ACS/ATS) locomotives, in unit coal train service, between Lake Bluff, milepost 30.2 and Waukegan, milepost 35.9, Wisconsin, on the Kenosha Subdivision of the former CNW, in ACS/ATS territory.

Applicant's justification for relief: To eliminate train delay, additional operating costs, and reduced locomotive utilization on the limited fleet of ATS equipped locomotives.

Any interested party desiring to protest the granting of an application shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which the protest is made, and contain a concise statement of the interest of the protestant in the proceeding. The original and two copies of the protest shall be filed with the Associate Administrator for Safety, FRA, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590 within 45 calendar days of the date of issuance of this notice. Additionally, one copy of the protest shall be furnished to the applicant at the address listed above.

FRA expects to be able to determine these matters without oral hearing. However, if a specific request for an oral hearing is accompanied by a showing that the party is unable to adequately present his or her position by written statements, an application may be set for public hearing.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on December 4, 1995.

Phil Olekszyk,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Compliance and Program Implementation. [FR Doc. 95–29930 Filed 12–7–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. 95-64; Notice 2]

Decision That Nonconforming 1993 Mercedes-Benz 500SL and 1994 and 1995 SL500 Passenger Cars Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of decision by NHTSA that nonconforming 1993 Mercedes-Benz 500SL and 1994 and 1995 SL500 passenger cars are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the decision by NHTSA that 1993 Mercedes-Benz 500SL and 1994 and 1995 SL500 passenger cars not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S.-certified versions of the 1993 Mercedes-Benz 500SL and 1994 and 1995 SL500), and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: This decision is effective December 8, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366– 5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) (formerly section 108(c)(3)(A)(i) of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (the Act)), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115 (formerly section 114 of the Act), and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

G&K Automotive Conversion, Inc. of Santa Ana, California (Registered Importer R-90-007) petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1993 Mercedes-Benz 500SL and 1994 and 1995 SL500 passenger cars are eligible for importation into the United States. NHTSA published notice of the petition on September 26, 1995 (60 FR 49661) to afford an opportunity for public comment. The reader is referred to that notice for a thorough description of the petition. No comments were received in response to the notice. Based on its review of the information submitted by the petitioner, NHTSA has decided to grant the petition.

Vehicle Eligibility Number for Subject Vehicles

The importer of a vehicle admissible under any final decision must indicate on the form HS–7 accompanying entry the appropriate vehicle eligibility number indicating that the vehicle is eligible for entry. VSP–140 is the vehicle eligibility number assigned to vehicles admissible under this decision.