Specifications by changing the elevation that the average wind speed and average wind direction are measured and by correcting a typographical error, respectively. The proposed changes have no effect on any of the accidents analyzed in Chapter 14 of the Millstone Unit No. 2 FSAR [Final Safety Analysis Report]. Site flooding is considered in Section 2.5.4.2.1 of the FSAR. Utilizing the wind speed indicator at the 142-foot elevation, in lieu of the indicator on the 374foot elevation will not significantly change the ability of personnel to predict the potential for a major storm with flooding.

The proposed changes do not alter the intent of the surveillances, do not involve any physical changes to the plant, do not alter the way any structure, system, or component functions, and do not modify the manner in which the plant is operated.

Based on the above, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously analyzed.

2. Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously analyzed.

NNECO is proposing to revise LCOs 3.7.5.1.b.3 and 3.7.5.1.b.4 and Table 3.3–8 of the Millstone Unit No. 2 Technical Specifications by changing the elevation that the average wind speed and average wind direction are measured and by correcting a typographical error, respectively. The proposed changes do not alter the intent of the surveillances, do not involve any physical changes to the plant, do not alter the way any structure, system, or component functions, and do not modify the manner in which the plant is operated.

While the proposed changes to LCOs 3.7.5.1.b.3 and 3.7.5.1.b.4 do change the measurement location stipulated by the technical specifications, this change is insignificant. Utilizing the wind speed indicator at the 142-foot elevation, in lieu of the indicator on the 374-foot elevation will not significantly change the ability of personnel to predict the potential for a major storm with flooding.

Based on the above, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously analyzed.

3. Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

NNECO is proposing to revise LCOs 3.7.5.1.b.3 and 3.7.5.1.b.4 and Table 3.3-8 of the Millstone Unit No. 2 Technical Specifications by changing the elevation that the average wind speed and average wind direction are measured and by correcting a typographical error, respectively. The proposed changes will have no impact on the physical protective boundaries (fuel matrix/ cladding, reactor coolant system pressure boundary, and containment). The proposed changes do not alter the intent of the surveillances, do not involve any physical changes to the plant, do not alter the way any structure, system, or component functions, and do not modify the manner in which the plant is operated.

While the proposed changes to LCOs 3.7.5.1.b.3 and 3.7.5.1.b.4 do change the manner in which potential flooding is

predicted, this change is insignificant. Utilizing the wind speed and direction indicators at the 142-foot elevation, in lieu of the indicators at the 374-foot elevation will not significantly change the ability of personnel to predict the potential for a major storm with flooding.

Based on the above, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

Local public document room location: Learning Resource Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, Thames Valley Campus, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, CT 06360.

Attorney for licensee: Ms. L. M. Cuoco, Senior Nuclear Counsel, Northeast Utilities Service Company, Post Office Box 270, Hartford, CT 06141–0270.

*NRC Project Director:* Phillip F. McKee.

## Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al., Docket No. 50–336, Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2, New London County, Connecticut

*Date of amendment request:* December 21, 1994.

Description of amendment request: Proposed revision to License Condition and Technical Specifications to relocate the Fire Protection Requirements from the Technical Specifications to another controlled document, the technical requirements manual (TRM).

*Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination:* As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

\* \* \* The proposed changes do not involve a significant hazards consideration because the changes would not:

1. Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes relocates the provisions of the Fire Protection Program that are contained in the Technical Specifications and places them in the TRM. No current requirements are being added or deleted aside from removal of the special reports section. Review of the Fire Protection Program and its revisions will be the responsibility of the PORC [Plant Operations Review Committee] and SORC [Station Operations Review Committee], just as it has always been the responsibility of these groups to review changes to the fire protection Limiting Condition for Operation and Surveillance Requirements when they were part of the Technical Specifications. In addition, no design basis accidents are affected by this change, nor are safety systems adversely affected by the changes. Therefore, there is no impact on the probability of occurrence or the consequences of any design basis accidents.

2. Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

The proposed changes relocate the provisions of the Fire Protection Program that are contained in the Technical Specifications and places them in the TRM. No current requirements are being added or deleted aside from removal of the special report section. There are no new failure modes associated with the proposed changes. Since the plant will continue to operate as designed, the proposed changes will not modify the plant response to the point where it can be considered a new accident.

Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

No change is being proposed for the Fire Protection Program requirements themselves. The relevant Technical Specifications are being relocated, and the requirements contained therein are being incorporated into the TRM. Plant procedures will continue to provide the specific instructions necessary for the implementation of the requirements, just as when the requirements resided in the Technical Specifications. Fire Protection Program changes will be governed by the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59 and the current fire protection license condition. As such, the changes do not directly affect any protective boundaries nor does it impact the safety limits for the boundary. Thus, there are no adverse impacts on the protective boundaries, safety limits, or margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

*Local Public Document Room location:* Learning Resource Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, Thames Valley Campus, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, CT 06360.

Attorney for licensee: Ms. L. M. Cuoco, Senior Nuclear Counsel, Northeast Utilities Service Company, Post Office Box 270, Hartford, CT 06141–0270.

*NRC Project Director:* Phillip F. McKee.

## Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, et al., Docket No. 50–423, Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 3, New London County, Connecticut

*Date of amendment request:* December 2, 1994.

*Description of amendment request:* The proposed amendment modifies the