

Seahawk contends that the Commission and the courts have reexamined, modified and more clearly delineated the requirements for determining whether a facility qualifies for a gathering exemption from Commission jurisdiction under Section 1(b) of the NGA. The result of these recent actions was the development and implementation of the "modified primary function" test. Seahawk avers that the facilities comprising its system meet this test and therefore, are not subject to Commission jurisdiction. Moreover, Seahawk states that disclaiming jurisdiction over its facilities is consistent with the Commission's regulatory and statutory

objectives under the NGA and the NGPA.

Comment date: December 21, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation Columbia Gulf Transmission Company and Northern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP96-75-000]

Take notice that on November 17, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599,

and Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), 1111 South 103rd Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68124-1000 (jointly as the Companies), filed in Docket No. CP96-75-000 a joint application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon exchange services which were once required for the exchange of offshore Louisiana gas, which was authorized in Docket Nos. CP76-191, CP77-649, CP77-657 and CP80-204, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, the Companies are seeking abandonment authority for the following rate schedules:

Docket No.	Order date	Company	Rate schedule
CP76-191	Jan. 4, 1978	Columbia	X-68
CP76-191do	Columbia Gulf	X-48
CP76-191do	Northern	X-57
CP77-657	Jan. 2, 1979	Columbia	X-81
CP77-657do	Columbia Gulf	X-60
CP77-649do	Northern	X-74
CP80-204	June 12, 1980	Columbia	X-95
CP80-204do	Columbia Gulf	X-73
CP80-204do	Northern	X-105

The Companies state that both Columbia and Northern purchased gas from Exxon Corporation (Exxon) at Block 332, Eugene Island Area, offshore Louisiana, and that Columbia Gulf received the gas for Columbia's account at an existing receipt point on Exxon's production platform at Eugene Island Block 314. The Companies state that Northern was unable to take delivery of its Eugene Island Block gas, and the exchange certificated under Docket No. CP76-191 provided for Columbia and Columbia Gulf to take delivery of Northern's gas from Exxon for delayed redelivery to Northern. The Companies state that all gas was on an Mcf-for-Mcf basis. The Companies state when Northern was unable to take the gas into its own system, repayment was effected out of Columbia's share of the gas produced from the Exxon wells.

The Companies state that the exchange certificated under Docket Nos. CP77-657 and CP77-649 provided for Northern to deliver gas to Columbia Gulf for the account of Columbia at the outlet side of Sea Robin Pipeline Company's measurement facilities near Erath, Louisiana and the outlet side of Columbia Gulf's measurement facilities at the Blue Water offshore pipeline system near Egan, Louisiana. The Companies state that Columbia delivered gas to Northern or to

Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline) for Northern's account at an interconnection between Columbia Gulf and Trunkline near Egan, Louisiana. The Companies state that construction of the interconnection was paid for by Northern and maintained and operated by Columbia Gulf for Northern's account. The Companies state that all exchanges of gas were on an Mcf-for-Mcf basis.

The Companies state that Columbia purchased gas from Exxon in Vermilion Area Block 372, offshore Louisiana and Northern purchased gas from Texasgulf, Inc., West Cameron Area Block 405, offshore Louisiana. The Companies state that the exchange certificated under Docket No. CP80-204 provided for Columbia to deliver up to 20,000 Mcf/d of its Vermilion Block 372 gas to Northern at the producer platform in Vermilion Area Block 372, and for Northern to deliver up to 20,000 Mcf/d of its West Cameron Block 405 gas via Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, to Columbia Gulf at existing facilities located on producer platforms in West Cameron Area Blocks 616/630, offshore Louisiana. The Companies state that the exchange of gas was on an equivalent Btu basis.

The Companies submit that the proposed abandonments are required by the present and future public

convenience and necessity, as they will eliminate exchange services no longer needed and will permit the Companies to cancel their corresponding Volume II Rate Schedules.

Comment date: December 19, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

5. Koch Gateway Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP96-78-000]

Take notice that on November 20, 1995, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478, filed in Docket No. CP96-78-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211(a)(2) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) (18 CFR 157.205, and 157.211) for authorization to construct and install a four-inch delivery tap through which Koch Gateway will make natural gas deliveries to Shell Oil Company's St. Rose Refinery, under Koch Gateway's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to construct and install a four-inch delivery tap and meter station on its Baton Rouge-New Orleans line, Index 270, in St. Charles Parish, Louisiana. The total proposed