Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California, 90261, telephone (310)–725–6533.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comment Invited

Interest parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views. or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with the comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 95– AWP-41." The postcard will be date/ time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the System Management Branch, Air Traffic Division, at 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, System Management Branch, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, California 90009. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2A, which describes the application procedures.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to establish a Class E airspace area at North

Law Vegas Air Terminal, Las Vegas NV. The development of a GPS SIAP at North Las Vegas Air Terminal has made this proposal necessary. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate Class E airspace for aircraft executing the GPS RWY 12 SIAP at North Las Vegas Air Terminal, Las Vegas, NV. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9C dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in this Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT **Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44** FR 10034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71-[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959– 1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.09C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, is amended as follows: Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth * * * * * *

AWP NV E5 North Las Vegas Air Terminal, NV [New]

North Las Vegas Air Terminal, NV (Lat. 36°12′45″ N, long. 115°11′49″ W).

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.9-mile radius of the North Las Vegas Air Terminal, excluding that portion within the Las Vegas, NV, Class B airspace area.

* * * *

Issued in Los Angeles, California, on November 16, 1995. James H. Snow, *Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Western-Pacific Region.* [FR Doc. 95–29351 Filed 12–5–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 303

Rules and Regulations Under the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: On January 27, 1992, Courtaulds Fibers, Inc. ("Courtaulds") applied to the Federal Trade Commission ("the Commission") requesting establishment of a new generic name and definition for a fiber it manufactures. It recommended "lyocell" be adopted as the new generic name for this fiber. The application was filed pursuant to Rule 8 (16 CFR 303.8) of the Rules and Regulations Under the **Textile Fiber Products Identification** Act, 15 U.S.C. 70 et seq., and Subpart C of Part 1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 1.26. In the application Courtaulds stated that its cellulosic fiber differs in kind and chemical structure from any of the existing fiber definitions of Rule 7 (16 CFR 303.7).

Commission staff, with the assistance of an expert on textiles, after review of Courtauld's application, determined that various tests were necessary in order to evaluate whether lyocell was, in fact, a new generic fiber. Courtaulds performed these tests using the procedures and under the conditions outlined by the textile expert. In March 1995, Courtaulds submitted the results of these tests, as well as other materials relating to its application.

Although the Commission has determined that the proposed new fiber falls within the existing Rule 7(d) (16