Museums or Federal agencies may not limit Indian tribal access to information needed to determine the geographic origin, cultural affiliation, and basic facts surrounding acquisition and accession of object covered by the summary. Museums or Federal agencies are under no obligation to pay the travel or other expenses of visiting Indian tribe representatives or traditional religious leaders.

One commenter recommended inclusion of time limits for Indian tribe and Native Hawaiian organization responses to museum and Federal agency requests for information outlined in § 10.8 (d)(4). No time limits for Indian tribe and Native Hawaiian organization response are included in the statutory language or the legislative history and none have been included in this subsection. Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations are under no requirement to respond to museum or Federal agency requests for information. One commenter recommended revising the request for information under § 10.8 (d)(4)(i) to include the name and address of one or more traditional religious leaders. Requirements to request the name and address of traditional religious leaders have already been included under § 10.8 (d)(4)(iii). One commenter objected to the implication in § 10.8 (d)(4)(ii) that, prior to consultation, a museum or Federal agency official could identify a sacred object in their collection to request the name and address of the lineal descendants of its previous custodian. Documentation may be sufficient to indicate that a particular item in a museum of Federal agency's collection might fit the definition of sacred object. The museum or Federal agency should use this information to advance the consultation process by requesting the name and address of any lineal descendants of its previous custodian. One commenter recommended that the requests for information also include a description of the Indian tribe's traditional kinship system under § 10.8 (d)(4)(ii)(A). Information regarding an Indian tribe's traditional kinship system is only necessary when an individual is claiming an unassociated funerary object or sacred object, and is more appropriately requested at that time. One commenter recommended amending § 10.8 (d)(4)(iii) to require consultation and agreement with the recommendations of traditional religious leaders. The recommended requirement is not appropriate since the statutory language does not require Indian tribes or Native Hawaiian

organizations to provide information regarding traditional religious leaders. One commenter recommended limiting the request for information to recommendations on how the consultation process should be conducted and that § 10.8 (d)(4)(i) (4)(ii), (4)(iii), and (4)(v) be deleted. The drafters recognize that the identification of lineal descendants, funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony may require Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations to divulge sensitive information. Requesting the information at the beginning of consultation, however, may lead to a more open and effective consultation process. Indian tribe officials are under no obligation to respond to these inquires.

One commenter, fearing widespread misapplication of these regulations, recommended requiring museums and Federal agency officials to document certain information and use that information to identify unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, objects of cultural patrimony, lineal descendants, and culturally affiliated Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations. The recommended text has been included as § 10.8 (a) and the subsequent section renumbered. Submission of this information to the Departmental Consulting Archeologist is not required by these regulations. The Review Committee, pursuant to section 8 (f), may request access to this information.

Two commenters requested clarification for requiring notification prior to repatriation of unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony in § 10.8 (e) (renumbered as § 10.8 (f)). The notification required in section 5 (d) of the Act ensures due process regarding the repatriation of human remains and associated funerary objects. Provisions of this subsection extend the notification procedures to ensure due process in the repatriation of unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony. The Review Committee recommended reducing the specificity of the requirement of an object-by-object listing of unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony to be repatriated. The regulatory text has been revised to require a description of any unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony to be repatriated in sufficient detail so as to allow others to determine if they are interested in the claim. Section 10.8 (e) of these regulations requires that museums and Federal

agencies consider the same types of information as are required in § 10.9 (c) in evaluating requests for repatriation. Two commenters recommended including text establishing a deadline for responses to the required notification. A minimum waiting period of thirty (30) days following publication of the notice of intent to repatriate in the Federal Register is established in § 10.10 (a)(3). Any claim received by a museum or Federal agency prior to actual repatriation, however, should be given full consideration. One commenter recommended requiring museum officials to consult with the appropriate Federal agency officials prior to issuance of notices by the museum regarding unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony that were excavated intentionally or discovered inadvertently on Federal lands. Notices regarding the repatriation of unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony that were excavated from Federal lands can only be issued by the appropriate Federal agency or by an institution specifically authorized to issue such notices by the appropriate Federal agency. One commenter recommended including language in this subsection informing Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations of their right by law to request access to museum or Federal agency records as they relate to the review of their claim. The recommended language is included in § 10.8 (d)(3). The Review Committee recommended inclusion of text in this subsection to reiterate the requirement in § 10.10 (a)(3) that repatriation not occur until at least thirty (30) days after publication of a notice of intent to repatriate in the Federal Register. The proposed language has been included.

## Section 10.9

This section presents procedures for carrying out section 5 of the Act related to conducting inventories of human remains and associated funerary objects in the collections of Federal agencies or museums receiving Federal funds. Fifteen commenters recommended changes to the inventory procedures in § 10.9. One commenter requested clarification of the deadlines and funding responsibility of this section. Section 10.9 (f) states that inventories under this section are to be completed not later than November 16, 1995. Funding responsibilities lie with the museums and Federal agencies maintaining such collections. Three commenters requested funding aid to comply with the Act. Although section 10 of the Act authorizes funding in