sentence of § 10.8 (a). One commenter (67–3) recommended defining the term as used in section 106 of NHPA. Two commenters recommended changing the term to "activities" or "actions" to make it clear that provisions of the Act do not necessarily apply to Federal "undertakings" conducted on private land. The term has been changed to "actions" to clarify that Federal agencies may not be responsible for ensuring that requirements of this section are met for all collections obtained as part of section 106 "undertakings" on non-Federal land.

One commenter recommended including language in §10.8 (a) to require Federal agencies to consult with non-Federal institutions prior to initiating consultation with Indian tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations that are culturally affiliated with human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony from Federal lands but currently in the possession of the non-Federal institution. Another commenter recommended including specific language to stress that non-Federal institutions do not have authorization to unilaterally dispose of human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony from Federal lands. Requirements regarding the relationship between Federal agencies and non-Federal institutions are not specified in the Act. ARPA and NHPA assign responsibility for long term care and curation of collections from Federal land and actions to the Federal agency that manages the land or undertakes the action.

One commenter recommended including language in §10.8 (b) specifying that summaries should include information readily available from museum records as to whether an object is an unassociated funerary object, sacred object, or object of cultural patrimony, as well as an assessment of the general reliability of the records. Information regarding individual unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony is more appropriately shared during the consultation process. The regulatory text has not been changed.

Three commenters recommended including some provision for extension of the November 16, 1993 deadline for completion of the summaries in § 10.8 (c). While provisions for extensions to the November 16, 1995 deadline for completion of inventories of human remains and associated funerary objects are included in section 5 (c) of the Act, no such provisions for extension of the summary deadlines are included in either the statutory language or in the legislative history. Provisions for extensions to the summary deadlines have not been included in these regulations.

Six commenters recommended changes regarding the identification of consulting parties in § 10.8 (d)(1). Two commenters recommended deleting § 10.8 (d)(1)(i) requiring consultation with lineal descendants, since section 7 (a)(3) of the Act only requires consultation with lineal descendants to determine the place and manner of delivery of human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony being repatriated. The subsection requiring consultation with lineal descendants has been deleted. Two commenters recommended that identification of traditional religious leaders in §10.8 (d)(1)(ii) be made by "members of" Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations to be consistent with the definition of that term. The phrase has been edited to conform with the definition of in §10.2 (a)(13). One commenter recommended deleting §10.8 (d)(1)(ii)(A) and (a)(ii)(B) requiring consultation with Indian tribes from whose tribal or aboriginal lands unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony were recovered since section 7 (a)(2) of the Act specifies that only lineal descendants and culturally affiliated Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations have standing to make a claim. Another commenter recommended including language in the rule indicating a presumption that the Indian tribe from whose tribal lands unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony were recovered is the custodian. The requirements in §10.8 (d)(1)(ii)(A) and (d)(1)(ii)(B) are included to ensure that all Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations that are potentially culturally affiliated with particular unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony are included in the consultation process. Whether an Indian tribe from whose tribal or aboriginal lands a particular unassociated funerary object, sacred object, or objects of cultural patrimony originated is culturally affiliated with that object must be determined on an item-by-item basis. Two commenters recommended deleting the phrase "or likely to be" in § 10.8 (d)(1)(iii). This subsection defines the class of consulting parties from which the culturally affiliated Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization will be identified. The phrase is used to

indicate that the identification of consulting parties should be inclusive to ensure all Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations that are, or are likely to be culturally affiliated with the unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony are included in the consultation process.

One commenter recommended revising the requirement to initiate consultation no later than the completion of the summary process in § 10.8 (d)(2) to indicate consultation must follow completion of the summary. Another commenter recommended revising the subsection to require the initiation of consultation as early as possible. Another commenter recommended requiring museums and Federal agencies to provide Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations with a "notice of summary" indicating that their collections were under review. The Review Committee recommended revising the subsection to indicate that consultation should result in telephone or face-to-face dialogue. The drafters intend the summary to serve as an initial invitation from the museum or Federal agency to the Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization to engage in consultation regarding the identification of unassociated funerary objects, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony in their collection. All museums and Federal agencies are required to complete their summaries by November 16, 1993. Language has been added to the subsection indicating that consultation may be initiated with a letter, but should be followed up by telephone or face-to-face dialogue with the appropriate Indian tribe official.

The Review Committee recommended requiring museums and Federal agencies to provide copies of their summaries to the Departmental Consulting Archeologist in $\S 10.8$ (d)(3). The Departmental Consulting Archeologist provides staff support to the Review Committee, which in turn is required, under section 8 (c)(2) of the Act, to monitor the summary and inventory processes to ensure a fair, objective consideration and assessment of all available relevant information and evidence. The recommended language has been included. One commenter requested clarification regarding the requirement in the second sentence of § 10.8 (d)(3) that museums and Federal agencies, upon request, provide Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations with access to records, catalogues, relevant studies, or other pertinent data. The regulatory language is drawn from section 6 (b)(2) of the Act.