Dated: November 24, 1995.

Nancy Foster,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Fisheries. National Marine Fisheries Serivce.

[FR Doc. 95-29262 Filed 11-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-W

50 CFR Part 676

[Docket No.950123023-5271-02; I.D. 110795E]

RIN 0648-AH38; 0648-AI09

Limited Access Management of Federal Fisheries In and Off of Alaska; **Determinations and Appeals;** Individual Fishing Quota Program

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: NMFS issues this final rule adopting as final without change two interim rules that amended regulations implementing the Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ) Program for Pacific halibut and sablefish fixed gear fisheries in and off of Alaska.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective November 30, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Hale, 907-586-7228.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The IFQ Program is a regulatory regime developed by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) to promote the conservation and management of Pacific halibut (Hippoglossus stenolepis) and sablefish (Anoplopoma fimbria) stocks in Federal waters in and off of Alaska. The IFQ Program limits access to Pacific halibut and sablefish fixed gear fisheries through the annual issuance of IFQ Holders of IFQ may harvest their IFQ, specific to species, vessel category, and regulatory area, any time during the IFQ fishing season. Further information about the IFQ Program is contained in the preamble to the final implementing regulations published at 58 FR 59375, November 9, 1993, and subsequent amendments.

This action makes final several changes to the IFQ implementing regulations that were originally effected by interim rules. One interim rule reduced the two-stage appeals procedures to a single-step process, shortened the length of time required for certain appeals-related actions, and established a quota shares (QS) reserve to permit the deferred allocation of IFQ

for qualified persons whose QS are in dispute at the time of annual IFQ allocation. The other interim rule allowed vessels subject to existing IFQ Program recordkeeping and observer coverage requirements to fish for IFQ species in regulatory areas for which persons aboard a vessel hold IFQ less than the total amount of IFQ species on board. These changes are described below.

Changes to the Determinations and Appeals Procedures

Final rules implementing the appeals procedure for limited access management of Federal fisheries in and off of Alaska became effective July 1, 1994 (59 FR 28281, June 1, 1994). A detailed explanation of the procedure for appealing initial administrative determinations appeared in the preamble of the notice of proposed rulemaking published at 59 FR 5979 on February 9, 1994. NMFS identified three changes to the final rules as necessary to improve the efficiency of the appeals process. An interim rule published at 60 FR 6448, February 2, 1995, effected

these changes, as follows.

1. The first change eliminated applicants' right to appeal an appellate officer's decision to the Director, Alaska Region, NMFS (Regional Director), but retained the Regional Director's discretionary authority to renew, modify, reverse, or remand any such decision. This effectively changed the original two-stage appeals procedure to a single-step process. The original procedure provided an applicant a firststage opportunity to appeal an initial administrative determination to an appellate officer and a second-stage opportunity to appeal the appellate officer's decision to the Regional Director. This interim rule eliminated the second-stage appeal; however, the Regional Director routinely reviews appellate officers' decisions and may reverse, modify, or remand those decisions for further consideration. The appellate officer's decision, unless acted on by the Regional Director, becomes the final agency action for purposes of judicial review 30 days after issuance.

2. The second change substantially reduced the time period within which an appellant may file an appeal from 90 Federal business days to 60 calendar days after the date of the initial administrative determination. The original appeals filing period consisted of 90 days, not including weekends and holidays. The revised appeals filing period consists of 60 calendar days unless the last day falls on a weekend or holiday. The original appeals filing period was intended to provide an

appellant with a liberal period within which to prepare an appeal. NMFS determined that this period was unnecessarily long and would exacerbate expected delays in the resolution of appeals. Disputes in which two or more applicants make claims to the same vessel or catch data should be resolved at the same time. Without this change, one claimant could file a prompt appeal while another could delay filing for up to 4 months, thereby preventing the prompt issuance of disputed IFQ to the rightful party. The revised period provides appellants with adequate time to prepare and file appeals, and benefits all affected parties by accelerating the appeals process.

3. The third change shortened the period of delayed effectiveness of an appellate officer's decision from 45 Federal business days to 30 calendar days after the date the decision is issued, unless, prior to that time, the Regional Director modifies the decision, issues an order staying the effectiveness of the decision pending review, or accelerates the effectiveness date. This change also accelerated the agency's final actions on appeals. NMFS determined that 30 calendar days are adequate for the Regional Director to review an appellate officer's decision and take any necessary action, such as a stay.

These changes implemented by the interim rule help to expedite the appeals process, which benefits the fishermen involved.

Changes to the Establishment of QS **Pools**

Regulations pertaining to the calculation of QS and the QS pool for an area are found at § 676.20. The interim rule at 60 FR 6448, February 2, 1995, also amended § 676.20(d)(3) to establish a reserve within the QS pool of each IFQ regulatory area. Without such a reserve, contested catch history would not be included in the pool, and persons without QS included in the QS pool on January 31 of any year, when annual allocations of IFQ are determined, would be unable to participate in the IFQ fisheries in that

A problem of particular concern in the initial year of the IFQ Program was that numerous appeals involved disputes over who owned or leased a vessel that made qualified landings but not over the amount of those landings. Many of these appeals were not resolved by January 31, 1995. Failure to establish a reserve within the QS pool could have prevented the prevailing party from receiving and using IFQ during the IFQ