

to the Agreement an effective date of November 4, 1995.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and all interested parties.

*Comment date:* December 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER96-278-000]

Take notice that on November 3, 1995, Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (RG&E), tendered for filing a Service Agreement for acceptance by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) between RG&E and Industrial Energy Applications, Inc. The terms and conditions of service under this Agreement are made pursuant to RG&E's FERC Electric Rate Schedule, Original Volume 1 (Power Sales Tariff) accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER94-1279. RG&E also has requested waiver of the 60-day notice provision pursuant to 18 CFR 35.11.

A copy of this filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of the State of New York.

*Comment date:* December 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER96-279-000]

Take notice that on November 3, 1995, Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (RG&E), tendered for filing a Service Agreement for acceptance by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) between RG&E and CMEX Energy, Inc. The terms and conditions of service under this Agreement are made pursuant to RG&E's FERC Electric Rate Schedule, Original Volume 1 (Power Sales Tariff) accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER94-1279. RG&E also has requested waiver of the 60-day notice provision pursuant to 18 CFR 35.11.

A copy of this filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of the State of New York.

*Comment date:* December 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Energy Transfer Group, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER96-280-000]

Take notice that on November 3, 1995, Energy Transfer Group, L.L.C. tendered for filing an application for Waivers, Blanket Authorizations, and Order Accepting Rate Schedule.

*Comment date:* December 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

17. Central Illinois Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER96-281-000]

Take notice that on November 3, 1995, Central Illinois Public Service Company (CIPS), submitted a Service Agreement, dated October 23, 1995, establishing Koch Power Services, Inc. (Koch) as a customer under the terms of CIPS' Coordination Sales Tariff CST-1 (CST-1 Tariff).

CIPS requests an effective date of October 23, 1995, for the service agreement with Koch. Accordingly, CIPS requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon Koch and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

*Comment date:* December 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

18. Central Maine Power Company

[Docket No. ES96-12-000]

Take notice that on November 14, 1995, Central Maine Power Company filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue and renew short-term notes, from time to time, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$130 million outstanding at any one time, on or before December 31, 1997, with a maturity of one year or less from the date of issuance.

*Comment date:* December 13, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

19. MDU Resources Group, Inc.

[Docket No. ES96-13-000]

Take notice that on November 15, 1995, MDU Resources Group, Inc. filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue promissory notes, from time to time, in an aggregate amount up to \$30 million principal amount outstanding at any one time, during the period from January 1, 1996 to December 31, 1997, with final maturities not later than December 31, 1998.

*Comment date:* December 13, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

20. Michael R. Whitley

[Docket No. ID-2921-000]

Take notice that on October 30, 1995, Michael R. Whitley (Applicant) tendered for filing an application under Section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act to hold the following positions:

*Kentucky Utilities Company*

Chairman, President, Chief Executor  
Officer, Director

*Electric Energy, Inc.*

Director

*Ohio Valley Electric Company*

Director

*Comment date:* December 13, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-29154 Filed 11-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 2535-003, South Carolina/Georgia]

**South Carolina Electric & Gas Company; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment**

November 22, 1995

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for relicense for the Stevens Creek Hydroelectric Project, located in Edgefield and McCormick Counties, South Carolina, and Columbia County, Georgia, and has prepared a Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) for the project. In the FEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the existing project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protection measures, would not constitute a major federal