

final disclaimer rules have been before Congress for 30 legislative days pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 438(d). The disclaimer rules were transmitted to Congress on Oct. 2, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Ms. Susan E. Propper, Assistant General Counsel, 999 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20463, (202) 219-3690 or (800) 424-9530.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Election Campaign Act (the "Act") at 2 U.S.C. 441d(a) requires a disclaimer on communications by any person that expressly advocate the election or defeat of a clearly identified federal candidate, or solicit contributions, through any form of general public political advertising. On Oct. 5, 1995, the Commission published in the Federal Register revisions to the implementing regulations, which are found at 11 CFR 110.11. 60 FR 52069.

In the discussion before adopting these revisions, the Commission considered including phone banks in the list of communications that require a disclaimer, but could not reach a majority decision to do so by the required four affirmative votes. See 2 U.S.C. 437c(c). Consequently, this proposal was not included in the final rules.

Accordingly, the term "phone bank" does not appear anywhere in the text of the final rules. 60 FR 52072. Also, the Explanation and Justification ("E&J") that accompanied the final rules correctly explained the Commission's action both in its discussion of phone banks (60 FR 52070) and in the discussion of so-called "push poll" activity. 60 FR 52071-72. (The term "push poll" is generally used to refer to phone bank activities or written surveys that provide false or misleading information about a candidate under the guise of conducting a legitimate poll.)

However, the E&J's discussion of new disclaimer requirements for certain "exempt activities," that is, activities by a candidate or political party committee that are exempt from the Act's contribution and expenditure limits under 11 CFR 100.8(b)(10), (16), (17), or (18), inadvertently retained a statement from an earlier document to the effect that exempt phone banks would require a disclaimer. The Commission is deleting this language from the E&J to insure that no one is misled by this inconsistency.

**Correction of Publication**

Accordingly, the publication of final regulations on October 5, 1995 (60 FR 52069), which were the subject of FR Doc. 95-24749, is corrected as follows:

**Explanation and Justification (Preamble) (Corrected)**

On p. 52070, in the third column, in the second full paragraph, in lines 14 and 15, "phone banks and" should be removed.

Danny Lee McDonald,  
*Chairman, Federal Election Commission.*  
[FR Doc. 95-29141 Filed 11-28-95; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6715-01-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**15 CFR Part 902**

**50 CFR Part 641**

[Docket No. 950810206-5268-03; I.D. 071395A]

**RIN 0648-AG29**

**Reef Fish Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico; Amendment 8**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS issues this final rule to implement certain provisions of Amendment 8 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico (FMP). Amendment 8 initiates a limited entry program for the commercial red snapper sector of the reef fish fishery in the Gulf of Mexico. Initial participants in the limited entry program will receive shares of the commercial quota of red snapper based on specified criteria. The percentage shares of the commercial quota equate to individual transferable quotas (ITQs). In addition, NMFS clarifies the regulations regarding commercial permit requirements, and informs the public of the approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) of the collection-of-information requirements contained in this rule and publishes the OMB control numbers for those collections. The intended effect of this rule is to manage the commercial red snapper sector of the reef fish fishery to preserve its long-term economic viability.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 1, 1996; except that the amendments to 15 CFR part 902 and 50 CFR 641.2, 641.7(s), 641.24(g), and the additions 50 CFR 641.7(ee) and 641.10 heading and paragraph (c), are effective November 24, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Requests for copies of the final regulatory flexibility analysis

(FRFA) should be sent to Robert Sadler, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 9721 Executive Center Drive N., St. Petersburg, FL 33702.

Comments regarding the collection-of-information requirements contained in this rule should be sent to Edward E. Burgess, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 9721 Executive Center Drive N., St. Petersburg, FL 33702, and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Washington, DC 20503 (Attention: NOAA Desk Officer).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Robert Sadler, 813-570-5305.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The reef fish fishery of the Gulf of Mexico is managed under the FMP. The FMP was prepared by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) and is implemented through regulations at 50 CFR part 641 under the authority of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson Act).

Based on a preliminary evaluation of Amendment 8 at the beginning of formal agency review, NMFS disapproved three of its measures after determining that they were inconsistent with the provisions of the Magnuson Act and other applicable law. NMFS published a proposed rule to implement the remaining measures of Amendment 8 and to clarify existing regulations regarding commercial permit requirements (60 FR 44825, August 29, 1995). The rationale for the remaining measures of Amendment 8 and for the clarification of existing regulations, as well as the reasons for the disapproval of the three Amendment 8 measures at the beginning of formal agency review, are contained in the preamble of the proposed rule and are not repeated here. On October 13, 1995, NMFS approved the remaining measures of Amendment 8; this final rule implements those approved measures.

**Comments and Responses**

A minority report signed by three Council members was submitted with Amendment 8. In addition, written comments during the comment period were received from 34 entities, including individual representatives of four commercial seafood associations (fishing associations), two state government agencies, and 28 members of the public. Seventeen of the comments supported the proposed rule and/or Amendment 8, including 12 from persons holding red snapper endorsements on their reef fish vessel permits. Sixteen of the comments opposed the proposed rule and/or Amendment 8, including three from endorsement holders. Three of the