FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[DA 95-102]

Common Carrier Bureau Invites Public Comment on USTA Ex Parte Submission

January 24, 1995.

Comments: January 31, 1995.

On January 18, 1995, the United States Telephone Association (USTA), the national association that represents local exchange carriers (LECs), filed in CC Docket No. 94-1 a document entitled "A USTA Proposal for the LEC Price Cap Plan." USTA's submission sets forth various substantive recommendations for modifying the Commission's current rules governing the price cap regulation of LECs. USTA states that this proposal modifies the position that it previously has taken in this proceeding.

The Commission initiated CC Docket No. 94–1 to review the performance of LECs under price cap regulation and to consider possible changes to the current plan. Because the USTA proposal was not included in its comments in the regular pleading cycle of this proceeding, other parties to this proceeding have not had an opportunity to address its revised recommendations. In the interest of compiling as a complete a record as possible in this docket, the Common Carrier Bureau hereby invites interested parties to review and comment upon the USTA ex parte submission. The Bureau encourages parties to submit their comments on an expedited basis; it would be most helpful if parties would submit their ex parte comments by January 31, 1995.

An original and four copies of all pleadings must be filed in accordance with § 1.51(c) of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 1.51(c). In addition, one copy of each pleading must be filed the **International Transcription Services** (ITS), the Commission's copy contractor, at its offices at 2100 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

For further information, contact Dan Grosh or Anthony Bush, Tariff Division, Common Carrier Bureau.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

Acting Secretary. [FR Doc. 95-2421 Filed 1-31-95; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Crisis Counseling Assistance and Training

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: FEMA gives notice that the extension period for the California regular crisis counseling program for disaster survivors of the Northridge Earthquake is extended from 90 to 180 days. The severity of the emotional trauma resulting from the earthquake in California warrants an extension of 180

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 18, 1995. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Diana Paschke, Human Services Division, Response and Recovery Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-4026. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Emergency Management Agency

is charged with coordinating Federal disaster assistance under the provisions of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (the Act) when the President has declared a major disaster. FEMA provided funding for a regular crisis counseling program to help those suffering the trauma resulting from the Northridge Earthquake.

FEMA received a request from the State of California to extend the otherwise applicable time limitations authorized by section 416 of the Act, so that the State can provide additional mental health services that are critically needed for citizens during the recovery operation. The extent of the damages wrought by the earthquake were of such magnitude that the residents of California suffered significant emotional trauma that warrants continuation of disaster mental health counseling beyond the normal crisis counseling

time periods.

The Director, Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS), as the delegate to FEMA for the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, helps FEMA implement crisis counseling training and assistance. The Director, CMHS, recommended that FEMA extend the regular crisis counseling program, and documented a need to continue the regular crisis counseling program beyond a 90-day extension. Based upon the CMHS recommendation, FEMA has approved a

180-day extension to the time period for the California regular crisis counseling program from February 18, 1995, to August 17, 1995.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance.)

Dated: January 24, 1995.

Richard W. Krimm,

Associate Director, Response and Recovery Directorate.

[FR Doc. 95-2458 Filed 1-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-02-P

The National Board Fiscal Year 1995 Plan for Carrying Out the Emergency Food and Shelter Program (EFSP)

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice sets out the plan by which the Emergency Food and Shelter Program National Board (National Board) is conducting a program during FY 1995 to distribute \$130,000,000 to private voluntary organizations and local governments for delivering emergency food and shelter to needy individuals. The distribution formula for selecting organizations and localities, and the award amount for each, follow the Plan text.

DATES: The award to the National Board was made October 24, 1994.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fran McCarthy, Preparedness, Training and Exercise Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, (202) 646–3652, or Dennis H. Kwiatkowski, Chair, EFSP National Board, (202) 646-3487.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 11301 et seq., authorizes use of funds appropriated by the Congress to supplement and expand ongoing efforts to provide shelter, food, and supportive services to homeless, needy individuals. As in past phases, grant awards from this program are provided to address emergency needs. This program is not intended to address or correct structural poverty or longstanding problems. Rather, this appropriation is intended for the purchase of food and shelter to supplement and expand current available resources and not to substitute or reimburse ongoing programs and services.

The National Board has once again adopted the following operating principles:

- Speedy administration and funding.
- Awards to areas of greatest need.
- Local decision-making.
- Public/private sector cooperation.
- Minimum, but accountable

The National Board expects Local Boards, Local Recipient Organizations (LROs), and State Set-Aside (SSA)