administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of bicycle speedometers. This merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 9029.20.20, 9029.40.80, and 9029.90.40. HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. Our written description remains dispositive.

The review covers the shipments of Cat Eye Co., Ltd. (Cat Eye), a manufacturer/exporter of bicycle speedometers during the period November 1, 1992 through October 31, 1993.

United States Price

The Department used purchase price, as defined in section 772 of the Tariff Act, to calculate USP. Purchase price was based on the f.o.b., packed price from the producer to an unrelated Japanese trading company for sale to the United States under the name "Specialized", or to the first unrelated purchaser in the United States. We made adjustments where applicable, for foreign inland freight, and brokerage and handling charges. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

Foreign Market Value

For its FMV calculation, the Department used home market price, as defined in section 773 of the Tariff Act, since sufficient quantities of such or similar merchandise were sold in the home market to provide a basis for comparison. Home market price was based on the packed, delivered price to unrelated purchasers. We made adjustments, where applicable, for postsale inland freight, quantity rebates, and differences in credit, direct advertising, and packing costs. In addition, we made a difference-in-merchandise adjustment, where appropriate, based on differences in the variable costs of manufacture. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

In our calculations we utilized annual weight-averaged FMVs for purposes of comparison as in antifriction bearings from Japan. See Antifriction Bearings from Japan, et al.; Final Results of Administrative Review, 58 FR 39729 (July 26, 1993).

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of our comparison of USP to FMV, we preliminarily determine that the margin for Cat Eye is 3.62 percent for the period November 1, 1992 through October 31, 1993.

Interested parties may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice and may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication, or on the first workday thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs or rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish the final results of the administrative review, including the results of its analysis of any comments submitted or made during a hearing.

Upon completion of this administrative review, the Department will issue appraisement instructions concerning the respondent directly to Customs.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be that established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a previous review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be the "new shipper" rate established in the first administrative review, as discussed below.

On May 25, 1993, the Court of International Trade (CIT), in *Floral Trade Council* v. *United States*, Slip Op. 93–79, and *Federal-Mogul Corporation and the Torrington Company* v. *United States*, Slip Op. 93–83, decided that once an "all others" rate is established for a company, it can only be changed through an administrative review. The Department has determined that in order to implement these decisions, it is appropriate to reinstate the original "all others" rate from the LTFV investigation (or that rate as amended for correction for clerical errors or as a result of

litigation) in proceedings governed by antidumping duty orders. In proceedings governed by antidumping findings, unless we are able to ascertain the "all others" rate from the Treasury LTFV investigation, the Department has determined that it is appropriate to adopt the "new shipper" rate established in the first final results of the administrative review published by the Department (or that rate as amended for correction of clerical error or as a result of litigation) as the "all others" rate for the purposes of establishing cash deposits in all current and future administrative reviews.

Because this proceeding is governed by an antidumping finding, and we are unable to ascertain the "all others" rate from the Treasury LTFV investigation, the "all others" rate for the purposes of the review will be 26.44 percent, the "new shipper" rate established in the first final results of administrative review published by the Department (47 FR 28978, July 2, 1982).

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)), and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: January 16, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 95–2352 Filed 1–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

(A-570-834)

Notice of Postponement of Final Antidumping Duty Determination: Disposable Pocket Lighters From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. EFFECTIVE DATE: January 31, 1995. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie Anne Osgood or Todd Hansen, Office of Countervailing Investigations, Import