process intended under paragraph (1). Thus, EPA believes that paragraph (3)'s reference to paragraph (1) encompasses only the substantive tests in paragraph (1) [and, by extension, paragraph (2)], not the requirement in paragraph (1) for EPA to grant exemptions only when acting on plan revisions.

The CAA requires conformity with regard to federally-supported NO_X generating activities in relevant nonattainment and maintenance areas. However, EPA's conformity rules explicitly provide that these NO_X requirements would not apply if EPA grants an exemption under section 182(f). In response to the comment that section 182(b)(1) should be the appropriate vehicle for dealing with exemptions from the NO_X requirements of the conformity rule, EPA notes that this issue has previously been raised in a formal petition for reconsideration of EPA's final transportation conformity rule and in litigation pending before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit on the substance of both the transportation and general conformity rules. The issue, thus, is under consideration within EPA, but at this time remains unresolved. Additionally, subsection 182(f)(3) requires that NO_X exemption petition determinations be made by the EPA within six months. The EPA has stated in previous guidance that it intends to meet this statutory deadline as long as doing so is consistent with the Administrative Procedure Act. The EPA, therefore, believes that until a resolution of this issue is achieved, the applicable rules governing this issue are those that appear in EPA's final conformity regulations, and EPA remains bound by their existing terms.

Comment

Three years of "clean" data fail to demonstrate that NO_X reductions would not contribute to attainment. EPA's policy erroneously equates the absence of a violation for one three-year period with "attainment."

Response

The EPA has separate criteria for determining if an area should be redesignated to attainment under section 107 of the CAA. The section 107 criteria are more comprehensive than the CAA requires with respect to NO_x exemptions under section 182(f).

Under section 182(f)(1)(A), an exemption from the NO_X requirements may be granted for nonattainment areas outside an ozone transport region if EPA determines that "additional reductions of [NO_X] would not contribute to attainment" of the ozone NAAQS in

those areas. In some cases, an ozone nonattainment area might attain the ozone standard, as demonstrated by 3 years of adequate monitoring data, without having implemented the section 182(f) NO_X provisions over that 3-year period. The EPA believes that, in cases where a nonattainment area is demonstrating attainment with 3 consecutive years of air quality monitoring data without having implemented the section $182(f) NO_X$ provisions, it is clear that the section 182(f) test is met since "additional reductions of [NO_X] would not contribute to attainment" of the NAAQS in that area. The EPA's approval of the exemption, if warranted, would be granted on a contingent basis (i.e., the exemption would last for only as long as the area's monitoring data continue to demonstrate attainment).

Comment

Comments were received regarding exemption of areas from the NO_X requirements of the conformity rules. They argue that such exemptions waive only the requirements of section 182(b)(1) to contribute to specific annual reductions, not the requirement that conformity SIPs contain information showing the maximum amount of motor vehicle NO_X emissions allowed under the transportation conformity rules and, similarly, the maximum allowable amounts of any such NO_x emissions under the general conformity rules. The commenters admit that, in prior guidance, EPA has acknowledged the need to amend a drafting error in the existing transportation conformity rules to ensure consistency with motor vehicle emissions budgets for NO_X, but want EPA in actions on NO_X exemptions to explicitly affirm this obligation and to also avoid granting waivers until a budget controlling future NO_X increases is in place.

Response

With respect to conformity, EPA's conformity rules ^{3,4} provide a NO_X waiver if an area receives a section 182(f) exemption. In its "Conformity; General Preamble for Exemption From Nitrogen Oxides Provisions," 59 FR 31238, 31241 (June 17, 1994), EPA reiterated its view that in order to

conform nonattainment and maintenance areas must demonstrate that the transportation plan and TIP are consistent with the motor vehicle emissions budget for NO_X even where a conformity NO_x waiver has been granted. Due to a drafting error, that view is not reflected in the current transportation conformity rules. As the commenters correctly note, EPA states in the June 17th notice that it intends to remedy the problem by amending the conformity rule. Although that notice specifically mentions only requiring consistency with the approved maintenance plan's NO_X motor vehicle emissions budget, EPA also intends to require consistency with the attainment demonstration's NO_X motor vehicle emissions budget. However, the exemptions were submitted pursuant to section 182(f)(3), and EPA does not believe it is appropriate to delay the statutory deadline for acting on these petitions until the conformity rule is amended. As noted earlier in response to a previous issue raised by these commenters, this issue has also been raised in a formal petition for reconsideration of the Agency's final transportation conformity rule and in litigation pending before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit on the substance of both the transportation and general conformity rules. This issue, thus, is under consideration within the Agency, but at this time remains unresolved. The EPA, therefore, believes that until a resolution of this issue is achieved, the applicable rules governing this issue are those that appear in the Agency's final conformity regulations, and the Agency remains bound by their existing terms.

Final Action

The EPA has evaluated the State's exemption request for consistency with the CAA, EPA regulations, and EPA policy. The EPA believes that the NO_X exemption request and monitoring data qualifies the nonclassifiable ozone nonattainment areas of Louisiana as "clean data areas". This final action on the State of Louisiana's NO_X exemption petition for its nonclassifiable ozone nonattainment areas is unchanged from the November 7, 1994, proposed approval action. In addition, the EPA has determined that the NO_X exemption request meets the requirements and policy set forth in the General Preamble for NO_X exemptions from the build/nobuild test for transportation conformity, and today is approving Louisiana's request for exemption from the NO_X build/no-build test of transportation conformity for the parishes of Beauregard, Grant, Lafayette, Lafourche,

³ "Criteria and Procedures for Determining Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of Transportation Plans, Programs, and Projects Funded or Approved under Title 23 U.S.C. of the Federal Transit Act," November 24, 1993 (58 FR 62188).

⁴ "Determining Conformity of General Federal Actions to State or Federal Implementation Plans; Final Rule," November 30, 1993 (58 FR 63214).