Tamini. Therefore, in accordance with section 773(a)(2) of the Tariff Act, we calculated foreign market value based on constructed value of the model sold in the United States.

In accordance with section 773(e) of the Tariff Act, the constructed value includes the costs of materials and fabrication, general expenses, profit, and packing for shipment to the United States. Home market selling expenses were used pursuant to section 773(e)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act. Since the profit submitted by Tamini exceeded the statutory eight percent profit, we applied the submitted profit to the cost of production.

We made circumstance-of-sale adjustments for differences in credit expenses, direct bank charges, warranty expenses, and technical service expenses. Since commissions were granted only in the home market, we offset the commission adjustment by adding U.S. indirect selling expenses to the constructed value. Based on information contained in Tamini's questionnaire responses and on our verification of this information, we have determined that Tamini did not report all of its U.S. indirect selling expenses. Therefore, we offset the full amount of the commission adjustment as best information available.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of our comparison of USP to foreign market value, we preliminarily determine that a weighted-average margin of zero percent exists for sales of LPTs made to the United States by Tamini during the period June 1, 1993 through May 31, 1994.

Parties to this proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days of publication of this notice and may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication or the first business day thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. Service of all briefs and written comments must be in accordance with 19 CFR 353.38(e). The Department will publish the final results of the administrative review, including the results of its analysis of any such comments or hearing.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service upon completion of this review.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Tamini will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fairvalue (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will be 92.47 percent, which is the "new shipper" rate established in the first final results of review of this finding. See Large Power Transformers from Italy: Notice of Final Results of Administrative Review, 49 FR 31313 (August 6, 1984). For a further explanation of our policy concerning the cash deposit rate in this case, see Large Power Transformers from Italy: Notice of Final Results of Administrative Review, 59 FR 48851 (September 23, 1994). These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22(c)(5).

Dated: September 20, 1995. Paul L. Joffe,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-24435 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Intent To Revoke Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of intent to revoke countervailing duty order.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its intent to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below. Domestic interested parties who object to revocation of this order must submit their comments in writing not later than the last day of October 1995.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 2, 1995. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Albright or Cameron Cardozo, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department may revoke a countervailing duty order if the Secretary of Commerce concludes that it is no longer of interest to interested parties. Accordingly, as required by the Department's regulations (at 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4)), we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below, for which the Department has not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months.

In accordance with section 355.25(d)(4)(iii) of the Department's regulations, if no domestic interested party (as defined in sections 355.2 (i)(3), (i)(4), (i)(5), and (i)(6) of the regulations) objects to the Department's intent to revoke this order pursuant to this notice, and no interested party (as defined in section 355.2(i) of the regulations) requests an administrative review in accordance with the Department's notice of opportunity to request administrative review, we shall conclude that the countervailing duty order is no longer of interest to interested parties and proceed with the revocation. However, if an interested party does request an administrative review in accordance with the Department's notice of opportunity to request administrative review, or a domestic interested party does object to the Department's intent to revoke pursuant to this notice, the Department will not revoke the order.