- (2) EPA has determined that the response action should be limited to measures that do not involve construction (e.g., institutional controls); or
- (3) the site qualifies for deletion from the NPL.

Inclusion of a site on the CCL has no legal significance.

In addition to the 83 sites that have been deleted from the NPL because they have been cleaned up (the Waste Research and Reclamation site was deleted based on deferral to another program and is not considered cleaned up), an additional 221 sites are also in the NPL CCL. Thus, as of September 1995, the CCL consists of 304 sites.

Cleanups at sites on the NPL do not reflect the total picture of Superfund accomplishments. As of August, 1995, EPA had commenced 679 removal actions at NPL sites, and 2,108 removal actions at non-NPL sites. Information on removals is available from the Superfund hotline.

#### Action In This Notice

This final rule adds 8 sites to the NPL, 6 to the General Superfund Section and 2 to the Federal Facilities Section. Seven of these sites are added to the NPL based on an HRS score of 28.5 or greater and one is added based on the ATSDR Health Advisory Criteria. This notice also drops one site from proposal to the NPL. This action results in an NPL of 1,238 sites, 1,083 in the General Superfund Section and 155 in the Federal Facilities Section. With the action of a proposed rule published in the Federal Register issue of October 2, 1995, an additional 52 sites are proposed and are awaiting final agency action, 47 in the General Superfund Section and 5 in the Federal Facilities Section. Final and proposed sites now total 1,290.

Based on comments received on the Plymouth Avenue Landfill site in Deland, Florida, EPA recalculated the HRS score and found that it had dropped below 28.5. Consequently, EPA is not taking final action and is withdrawing the Plymouth Avenue Landfill site from proposal to the NPL at this time.

Information Available to the Public

401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460, 703/603–8917 (Please note this is the mailing address only. If you wish to visit the HQ Docket to view documents, see viewing address above.)

### II. Contents of This Notice

This notice promulgates final rules to add 8 sites to the NPL, 6 to the General Superfund Section (Table 1) and 2 to the Federal Facilities Section (Table 2). The following tables present the sites in this rule arranged alphabetically by State and identifies their rank by group number. Group numbers are determined by arranging the NPL by rank and dividing it into groups of 50 sites. For example, a site in Group 4 has a score that falls within the range of scores covered by the fourth group of 50 sites on the NPL.

# NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST FINAL RULE—GENERAL SUPERFUND SECTION

State	Site name	City/County	Group
NJ	Ace Services West Site/Hows Corner Horseshoe Road Tennessee Products RSR Corporation Tutu Wellfield	Colby	5/6 5/6 4 NA 5/6 5/6

Number of Sites Listed: 6.

## NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST FINAL RULE—FEDERAL FACILITIES SECTION

State	Site name	City/County	Group
MD	Indian Head Naval Surface Warfare Center	Indian Head Willow Grove	5/6 5/6

Number of Sites Listed: 2.

# Public Comments

EPA reviewed all comments received on sites included in this notice. Based on comments received on the proposed sites, as well as investigation by EPA and the States (generally in response to comment), EPA recalculated the HRS scores for individual sites where appropriate. EPA's response to site-specific public comments and explanations of any score changes made as a result of such comments are addressed in the "Support Document for the Revised National Priorities List Final Rule—September 1995."

# III. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory

action from Executive Order 12866 review.

# IV. Unfunded Mandates

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), Pub. L. 104-4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, local, and tribal governments and the private sector. Under section 202 of the UMRA, EPA generally must prepare a written statement, including a cost-benefit analysis, for proposed and final rules with "Federal mandates" that may result in expenditures to State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. When a written statement is needed for an EPA rule,

section 205 of the UMRA generally requires EPA to identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives and adopt the least costly, most cost-effective or least burdensome alternative that achieves.

The Headquarters and Regional public dockets for the NPL contain documents relating to the evaluation and scoring of the site in this final rule. The dockets are available for viewing, by appointment only, after the appearance of this notice. The hours of operation for the Headquarters docket are from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. Please contact the Regional Docket for hours.