#### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–24060 Filed 9–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

### [Docket No. CP95-742-000, et al.]

# NorAm Gas Transmission Company, et al. Natural Gas Certificate Filings

September 19, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. NorAm Gas Transmission Company [Docket No. CP95–742–000]

Take notice that on September 8, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NorAm), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95–742–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon and remove an inactive 170 horsepower compressor, the Union City Compressor Station (Union City), located in Johnson County, Arkansas, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NorAm states that Union City is in a state of disrepair and has not operated since January, 1993. NorAm states further that the compressor cylinders would be salvaged and returned to stock and the other equipment and facilities would be junked at no value.

It is said that the compressor station is no longer needed and that NorAm would continue to transport the gas located upstream of the compressor without any interruption or abandonment of production.

Comment date: October 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

# 2. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-752-000]

Take notice that on September 13. 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, filed in Docket No. CP95-752-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a sales tap in Lafourche Parish, Louisiana, under Transco's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-426-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Transco proposes to construct and operate a new sales tap to Cameco Industries, Inc. (Cameco), a manufacturer of agricultural machinery. The sales tap would consist of a 2-inch hot tap approximately at milepost 0.57 on Transco's existing 10-inch Raceland Lateral in Lafourche Parish, Louisiana. Cameco would construct, or cause to be constructed, appurtenant facilities to enable it to receive gas from Transco at such point. Transco estimates the total cost of Transco's proposed facilities to be approximately \$82,000 and states that Cameco would reimburse Transco for all costs associated with such facilities.

Transco states that the new sales tap would be used by Cameco to receive up to 360 Mcf of gas per day from Transco on an interruptible basis. Cameco is not currently a transportation customer of Transco, but Transco would provide interruptible transportation service to Cameco pursuant to Transco's Rate Schedule IT and Part 284(G) of the Commission's Regulations. Transco states that the addition of the sales tap would have no significant impact on Transco's peak day or annual deliveries.

Comment date: November 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company v. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company )

[Docket No. CP95-755-000]

Take notice that on September 13, 1995, Missouri Gas Energy, A Division of Southern Union Company (MGE), 504 Lavaca, Suite 800, Austin, Texas 78701, filed in Docket No. CP95–755–000 a complaint alleging that Panhandle

Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) has acted in an unduly discriminatory manner and requesting that the Commission order Panhandle to construct and operate a new delivery point on its transmission system for interruptible service to MGE under Rate Schedule IT, all as more fully described in the complaint which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

MGE states that it has requested that Panhandle provide an additional 300,000 million Btu's per day in interruptible or IT capacity for the delivery of gas at a new delivery point located on Panhandle's system in the vicinity of the Louisburg Compressor in Miami County, Missouri with the facility costs to be reimbursed by MGE. MGE alleges that Panhandle has refused to take the steps necessary to implement the new delivery point request and to provide the requested Rate Schedule IT service. MGE contends that Panhandle's actions violate the express prohibitions contained in Section 4(d) of the Natural Gas Act against unduly discriminatory conduct as well as the policies underlying the federal antitrust laws. MGE also states that its request is fully in accord with Panhandle's tariff and lists several other examples that it contends that Panhandle has requested and received Commission authorization to add new delivery points for other shippers.

Comment date: October 19, 1995, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

#### 4. U-T Offshore System

[Docket No. CP95-756-000]

Take notice that on September 14, 1995, U-T Offshore System (U-TOS), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP95–756–000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon a transportation service which was authorized in Docket No. CP75–104, 1 all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Û-TOS proposes to terminate the firm transportation service which is being rendered for Fina Natural Gas Company (Fina) under U-TOS' Rate Schedule T-11, as well as associated Interruptible Overrun Transportation Service rendered in accordance with U-TOS' Rate Schedule I. U-TOS states that, by letter dated November 1, 1994, Fina gave U-TOS official notice that Fina was

¹ See 3 FERC ¶ 61,232 (1978), 16 FERC ¶ 61,074 (1981) and 18 FERC ¶ 61,274 (1982).