contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to (Project **Director)**: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal **Register** notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to the attorney for the

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for a hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room for the particular facility involved.

Arizona Public Service Company, et al., Docket Nos. STN 50-528, STN 50-529, and STN 50-530, Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3, Maricopa County, Arizona

Date of amendment requests: November 30, 1994

Description of amendment requests: The proposed amendment would relocate Table 3.3-2, "Reactor Protective Instrumentation Response Times," and Table 3.3-5, "Engineered Safety Features Response Times," of Technical Specifications (TS) 3/4.3.1 and 3/4.3.2, respectively, to the Palo Verde Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) in accordance with the guidance provided in Generic Letter (GL) 93-08. In addition, the proposed amendment would make administrative changes to two previous TS amendment requests to reflect the deletion of Tables 3.3-2 and 3.3-5. The amendment would also delete an obsolete footnote on page 3/4 3-17 of the Palo Verde Unit 2's TS.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensees have provided their analysis about the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

Standard 1 -- Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

The proposed change relocates two tables of instrument response time limits from the TS to the UFSAR. The changes are in accordance with the guidance provided by the NRC in Generic Letter 93-08. The changes are administrative in nature and do not involve any modifications to plant equipment or affect plant operation. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Standard 2 - Does the proposed change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

The proposed change relocates two tables of instrument response time limits from the TS to the UFSAR. The changes are in accordance with the guidance provided by the NRC in Generic Letter 93-08. The changes are administrative in nature, do not involve any modifications to plant equipment and cause no change in the method by which any safety-related system performs its function. Therefore, the proposed change does not

create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

Standard 3 - Does the proposed change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

The proposed change relocates two tables of instrument response time limits from the TS to the UFSAR. The changes are in accordance with the guidance provided by the NRC in Generic Letter 93-08. The changes are administrative in nature, do not change or alter regulatory requirements and do not affect the safety analysis. Plant procedures contain response time testing acceptance criteria that reflect the reactor trip and ESFAS [engineered safety feature actuation system] response time limits in the tables being relocated from the TS into the UFSAR. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensees' analysis and, based on that review, it appears that the three standards of 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration.

Local Public Document Room location: Phoenix Public Library, 12 East McDowell Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Attorney for licensees: Nancy C. Loftin, Esq., Corporate Secretary and Counsel, Arizona Public Service Company, P.O. Box 53999, Mail Station 9068, Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999

NRC Project Director: Theodore R. Quay

Arizona Public Service Company, et al., Docket Nos. STN 50-528, STN 50-529, and STN 50-530, Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1, 2, and 3, Maricopa County, Arizona

Date of amendment requests: December 7, 1994

Description of amendment requests: The proposed amendment would change Table 4.3-1 of Technical Specification 3/4.3.1 to allow verification of the shape annealing matrix elements used in the Core Protection Calculators. This would provide the option to use generic shape annealing matrix elements in the Core Protection Calculators. Presently, cyclespecific shape annealing elements are determined during startup testing after each core reload. Use of a generic shape annealing matrix would eliminate approximately 2 to 3 hours of critical path work during startup after a refueling outage.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensees have provided their analysis