

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION

## 47 CFR Parts 64 and 69

[CC Docket No. 91-141; DA 95-1287]

Expanded Interconnection With Local  
Telephone Company Facilities;  
CorrectionAGENCY: Federal Communications  
Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains a correction to the final rule [FCC 94-190, 9 FCC Rcd 5154], which was summarized and published in the **Federal Register** on Monday, August 1, 1994 [59 FR 38922]. The rule related to the Commission's policies on expanded interconnection with local telephone company facilities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 15, 1994.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Sieradzki (202) 418-1576 (not a toll-free call).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background**

In the Memorandum Opinion and Order that is the subject of these corrections, the FCC reaffirmed its commitment to its expanded interconnection policy, which creates new opportunities for competitive provision of access services that the local telephone companies traditionally have provided on a monopoly basis, and required certain companies to provide expanded interconnection through virtual collocation.

**Need for Correction**

As published, the document contains an error which may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

**Correction of Publication**

In the last sentence of paragraph 62 on page 38929 of the Synopsis of Memorandum Opinion and Order [59 FR 38922, Aug. 1, 1994], FR Doc. 94-18589 is corrected to read as follows:

We delegate authority to the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, to modify the threshold point for switched transport volume and term discounts in unusual circumstances where a change in the strict requirements would advance the Commission's objectives.

Federal Communications Commission.

**William F. Caton,**

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-22002 Filed 9-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

## 47 CFR Part 90

[PR Docket No. 89-552, GN Docket No. 93-252, and PP Docket No. 93-253; FCC 95-312]

Wireless Telecommunications  
Services; Private Land Mobile Radio  
ServiceAGENCY: Federal Communications  
Commission.ACTION: Final rule; petitions for  
reconsideration.

**SUMMARY:** In this *Second Memorandum Opinion and Order* portion of the adopted *Second Memorandum Opinion and Order and Third Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, the Commission denies a Petition for Reconsideration filed by SunCom Mobile & Data, Inc., denies waiver requests filed by Northeast Florida Telephone Company, Wireless Plus, Inc., and the 220 MHz QO Coalition, grants a Petition to Sever filed by SunCom Mobile & Data, Inc., and extends the deadline for non-nationwide 220 MHz licensees authorized within Line A of the Canadian border to construct and operate their stations to a date 12 months after the date that the terms of an agreement with Canada are released. These actions are taken in response to these requests and petitions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 7, 1995.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martin Liebman, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (202) 418-1310, or Rhonda Lien, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (202) 418-0620.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the *Second Memorandum Opinion and Order* portion of the Commission's *Second Memorandum Opinion and Order and Third Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* in PR Docket No. 89-552, GN Docket No. 93-252, and PP Docket No. 93-253, FCC 95-312, adopted July 28, 1995, and released August 28, 1995. The summary of the *Third Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* portion of this decision may be found elsewhere in this edition of the **Federal Register**. The complete text of this decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street NW., Washington, DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, at (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Synopsis of the Second Memorandum  
Opinion and Order Portion of the  
Second Memorandum Opinion and  
Order and Third Notice of Proposed  
Rulemaking

1. The Commission, in a *Third Report and Order* in GN Docket 93-252 (59 FR 59945, November 21, 1994) denied a Request for Declaratory Ruling filed by SunCom Mobile & Data, Inc. (SunCom) which sought approval to aggregate non-nationwide 220 MHz five-channel blocks on a regional basis to provide multiple-market service on a single system. The Commission denied a concurrently filed waiver request by SunCom to allow an extended period for the construction of its system. SunCom filed a Petition for Reconsideration of these decisions. Wireless Plus, Inc., a manager of 220 MHz stations, filed a waiver request similar to SunCom's Request for Declaratory Ruling. The Commission now denies these three requests.

2. SunCom also filed a Petition to Sever its Requests for Declaratory Ruling and for Waiver from GN Docket No. 93-252, and from other petitions for reconsideration of the *Third Report and Order* in GN Docket 93-252. SunCom asked that the Commission act expeditiously on its Petition for Reconsideration. The Commission is incorporating SunCom's Petition for Reconsideration into this proceeding for disposition, and its Petition to Sever is therefore granted.

3. The Commission received waiver requests from the 220 MHz QO Coalition and Northeast Florida Telephone Company seeking waiver of our rules to permit licensees authorized on Channels 171-180 to operate in the trunked mode. The Commission denies both of these requests.

4. The Commission extends the construction deadline for Phase I non-nationwide 220 MHz licensees located within Line A of the Canadian border until 12 months after the signing of an agreement with Canada on the sharing of 220-222 MHz channels near the border.

5. Authority for issuance of the decision is contained in Sections 4(i), 303(r), and 332 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 303(r), and 332.

**Ordering Clauses**

6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Petition to Sever filed by SunCom Mobile & Data, Inc., IS GRANTED.

7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition for Reconsideration filed by SunCom Mobile & Data, Inc., IS DENIED.