Zone procedures would exempt the refinery from Customs duty payments on the foreign products used in its exports. On domestic sales, the company would be able to choose the finished product duty rate (nonprivileged foreign status-NPF) on certain petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (duty-free). The duty on crude oil ranges from 5.25¢ to 10.5¢/barrel. Marathon indicates that some of the NPF finished products might be used as fuel in the refining process. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures would help improve the refinery's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations (as revised, 56 FR 50790–50808, 10–8–91), a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is March 27, 1995. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to April 10, 1995).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce District Office, Hale Boggs Federal Building, 501 Magazine Street, Room 1043, New Orleans, LA 70130,

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716 U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: January 17, 1995

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–1758 Filed 1–23–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration

[A-588-814]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Japan; Preliminary Results and Termination, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results and termination, in part, of

Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from one respondent and one U.S. producer, the Department of Commerce (the Department) has conducted an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from Japan. The review covers two manufacturers/ exporters of this merchandise to the United States, Toray Industries, Inc. (Toray), and Teijin, Ltd. (Teijin), and the period June 1, 1992 through May 31, 1993. We are now terminating this review, in part, with respect to a third company, Diafoil Co., Ltd. (Diafoil).

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below the foreign market value (FMV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the United States price (USP) and FMV.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 24, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Arthur N. DuBois or Thomas F. Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–6312/3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 7, 1993, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" (58 FR 31941) of the antidumping duty order on PET film (56 FR 25660, June 5, 1991). On June 30, 1993, one respondent, Toray, requested an administrative review and one U.S. producer, Toray Plastics America (TPA), requested an administrative review for two other Japanese manufacturers/exporters of PET film, Teijin and Diafoil. We initiated the review, covering June 1, 1992, through May 31, 1993, on July 21, 1993 (58 FR 39007).

Termination in Part

On February 4, 1994, TPA withdrew its request for review and requested that the Department terminate this review, in part, with respect to Diafoil. Section 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5) of the Department's regulations stipulates that the Secretary may permit a party that requests a review to withdraw the request not later

than 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. This regulation also provides that the Secretary may extend the time limit for withdrawal of a request if it is reasonable to do so. Because no other interested party has requested an administrative review of Diafoil for this period, we are waiving the 90-day requirement in section 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5) and terminating this review, in part, with respect to Diafoil. The Department has now conducted the review of the two remaining companies in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed PET film, sheet, and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. The films excluded from the scope of this order are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of performanceenhancing resin or inorganic layer more than 0.00001 inches (0.254 micrometers) thick. Roller transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by the application of 0.5 micrometers of SBR latex has also been ruled as not within the scope of the

PET film is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheading 3920.62.00.00. The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and for Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

The review covers two Japanese manufacturers/exporters of this merchandise to the United States and the period June 1, 1992, through May 31, 1993.

United States Price (USP)

We calculated the USP based on purchase price, for both Toray and Teijin as all U.S. sales were made to unrelated parties prior to importation into the United States, in accordance with section 772(b) of the Act.

For both Toray and Teijin, we calculated purchase price based on f.o.b. Japanese port or delivered U.S. customer prices. We also made deductions, where appropriate, for price adjustments (rebates) for the costs of foreign inland freight and insurance, bank charges, containerization, warehousing, commissions, credit insurance, inventory carrying charges, other expenses, compensation for credit expense, foreign brokerage and handling, ocean freight, marine insurance, U.S. duty, harbor and U.S.