recommended decision, recommending that Respondent's DEA Certificates of Registration be revoked. No exceptions were filed by either party. On June 22, 1995, the administrative law judge transmitted the record to the Deputy Administrator. After a careful consideration of the record in its entirety the Deputy Administrator enters his final order in this matter, in accordance with 21 CFR 1316.67, based on conclusions of law as set forth herein.

Respondent voluntarily surrendered his license to practice medicine in New Jersey effective January 28, 1993. As part of the consent order signed with the New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners (New Jersey Board) Respondent was ordered to deliver to the New Jersey Board his State and Federal controlled substances registrations. On December 28, 1994, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs, State Board of Medicine, issued an order revoking Respondent's license to practice medicine and surgery. Respondent did not deny that he was no longer authorized to handle controlled substances in Pennsylvania or New Jersey

The DEA has consistently held that it does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to register a practitioner unless that practitioner is authorized to dispense controlled substances by the state in which he proposes to practice. See Lawrence R. Alexander, M.D., 57 FR 22256 (1992); Bobby Watts, M.D., 53 FR 11919 (1988); Robert F. Witek, D.D.S., 52 FR 4770 (1987). In such cases a motion for summary disposition is properly entertained. There is no need for a plenary evidentiary hearing since there are no questions of fact to be resolved by such a hearing. Phillip E. Kirk, M.D., 48 FR 32887 (1983), aff'd sub nom, Kirk v. Mullen, 749 F.2d 297 (6th Cir. 1984); Floyd A. Santner, M.D., 47 FR 51831 (1982). The administrative law judge found that, where Respondent is not currently authorized to practice medicine or perform surgery in either New Jersey or Pennsylvania, it is reasonable to infer, and Respondent did not deny, that he also is not authorized to handle controlled substances. Therefore, because Respondent is no longer authorized to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania or the State of New Jersey, the Deputy Administrator cannot permit him to maintain DEA Certificates of Registration in those jurisdictions.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificates of Registration BM2550829 and BM1810109, previously issued to Derrick K. Mobley, M.D., be, and they hereby are, revoked, and that any outstanding applications for renewal of such registrations be, and they hereby are, denied, This order is effective September 13, 1995.

Dated: August 8, 1995.

### Stephen H. Greene,

Deputy Administrator. [FR Doc. 95–20020 Filed 8–11–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

Proposed Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; Evaluation of Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services Systems; Survey of State Administrators

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA 95). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden is minimized, reporting forms are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the **Employment and Training** Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed new collection of the Survey of State Administrators for the Evaluation of Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services Systems. A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the employee listed below in the contact section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before October 13, 1995. If you anticipate that you will be submitting written comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should request an extension from the contact listed below as soon as possible. An effort will be made to try to accommodate each request, unless otherwise justified.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jon Messenger, U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Unemployment Insurance Service, Room S–4519, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210, (202) 219–5608.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

The U.S. Department of Labor, **Employment and Training** Administration (ETA), has undertaken a major initiative to help the State implement effective Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services Systems, as required by the Unemployment Compensation Amendments of 1993, Public Law (P.L.) 103-152. The major goal of the WPRS initiative is to assist those unemployment insurance (UI) claimants who are at greatest risk of becoming unemployed to become reemployed by quickly referring them to reemployment services tailored to their individual needs.

ETA is conducting a comprehensive evaluation of the Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services (WPRS) initiative. This effort is designed to provide both:

- (1) An evaluation of the operation and effectiveness of State WPRS systems, in accordance with P.L. 103–152, which mandates a report to the Congress by November 24, 1996, and
- (2) A longer-range evaluation to provide an assessment of the operation and effectiveness of more mature State WPRS systems.

This Survey of State Administrators will obtain data for an implementation and process analysis of State WPRS systems. The survey will provide indepth knowledge of each State's approach to implementing their WPRS system. This survey will also provide the data necessary for identifying distinct groupings or modes of States' operational approaches to Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services, which will be used in the effectiveness portion of the WPRS evaluation to compare the relative effectiveness different implementation approaches.

### **II. Current Actions**

ETA proposes to conduct a Survey of State Administrators involved in designing and implementing WPRS systems. This includes administrators from the Unemployment Insurance (UI), Employment Service (ES), and Economic Dislocation and Worker Adjustment Assistance (EDWAA) programs. Within basic Federal guidelines, individual States have great