Courts have been careful to distinguish between remote physical effects which arguably might fall within the literal language of section 201(g)(1)(C) or section 201(h)(3) and significant effects on structure or function which clearly fall within the provisions' ambit. "[R]emote physical effect[s] on the body" are not covered by the structure or function provision. E.R. Squibb & Sons, 870 F.2d at 682. On the other hand, products intended to prevent pregnancy, thus affecting the reproductive function of the human body, fall within that definition. Id. at 682-83.

For example, a product intended to reduce the number of bacteria in an animal's digestive system and oral cavity is a drug within the meaning of section 201(g)(1)(C) because it "was intended to alter a function of the animal's body." <u>United States v. Undetermined Quantities . . . "Pet Smellfree"</u>, 22 F.3d 235, 240 (10th Cir. 1994). Similarly, liquid solutions intended to cause hair growth and prevent hair loss are drugs within the meaning of section 201(g)(1)(C) because the hair growth process is a function of the human body. <u>United States v. Kasz Enterprises, Inc.</u>, 855 F. Supp. 534, 540 (D.R.I. 1994), judgment modified on other grounds, 862 F. Supp. 717 (D.R.I. 1994). Likewise, an apparatus containing oxygen that is intended to improve athletic performance by increasing a tired athlete's intake of oxygen falls within sections 201(g)(1)(C) and 201(h)(3) because enhanced oxygen absorption alters a bodily structure or function. <u>United States v. Eighteen Units. More or Less Of An Article of Drug . . . "SPORTS OXYGEN . . . ", Civ. No. 89-2085 (D.N.J. October 27, 1992), reprinted in Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act Judicial Record, 1991-92 115. Cocaine and similar substances with parallel addictive and psychoactive effects also fall within the drug definition because</u>