Dated: August 4, 1995.

#### George T. Frampton, Jr.,

Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks

[FR Doc. 95–19730 Filed 8–9–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[PA56-1-7086b; FRL-5253-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania: Reasonably Available Control Technology for Stroehmann Bakeries, Inc., Lycoming and Bradford Counties

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the

revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the purpose of establishing and requiring the use of reasonably available control technology (RACT) to control volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from two Stroehmann Bakeries, Inc. (Stroehmann) facilities located in Sayre Borough, Bradford County and Old Lycoming Township, Lycoming County. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse

comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and in the Technical Support Document prepared for that rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting

on this action should do so at this time. **DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by September 11, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Marcia L. Spink, Associate Director, Air Programs, Mailcode 3AT00, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the

documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Henry, (215) 597–0545.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: June 22, 1995.

## James W. Newsom,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III. [FR Doc. 95–19743 Filed 8–9–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### 40 CFR Part 258

[FRL-5275-3]

RIN 2050-AE24

Alternatives for Ground-Water Monitoring and Delay of General Compliance Date for Small Municipal Solid Waste Landfills Located in Either Dry or Remote Areas

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking and request for comment.

SUMMARY: On October 9, 1991, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated final solid waste disposal facility criteria (40 CFR Part 258) setting in place national minimum standards for municipal solid waste landfills (MSWLFs). In that rulemaking, the Agency provided an exemption from ground-water monitoring for small MSWLF units located in dry or remote locations. The Agency provided this relief as it sought to balance the protection of human health and the environment with the practicable capability of these small community landfill owners and operators.

In 1993, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia vacated this

ground-water monitoring exemption. The Agency today is proposing to provide to approved States and Tribes the flexibility to determine alternative ground-water monitoring requirements, on a site-specific basis, for small MSWLFs that are located in either dry or remote areas (hereafter referred to as ''qualifying small MSWLFs''). Under this proposal, approved States and Tribes may consider site-specific alternatives to conventional groundwater monitoring that are relatively low in cost and are still capable of detecting contamination. Through the use of ground-water monitoring alternatives, the Agency estimates potential annual national cost savings of between \$5.9 million to \$22.2 million. The Agency is providing a 90-day comment period for this portion of today's proposal.

Today's rulemaking also solicits comment on a delay of the general compliance date of the MSWLF criteria for qualifying small MSWLFs. The Agency is providing a 30-day comment period for this separate portion of

today's proposal. **DATES:** The Agency is accepting public comments on the proposed rule changes related to the delay of the compliance date for small MSWLFs located in dry and remote areas in §§ 258.1(d)(3), 258.1(e)(4), 258.2, and 258.50(e) for a 30-day period beginning on August 10, 1995. The Agency also is accepting public comments on a separate proposed rule change allowing the use of alternative ground-water monitoring methods in § 258.50(a) for a 90-day period beginning on August 10, 1995. ADDRESSES: The public should submit an original and two copies of their

comments on this proposed rule to the Docket Clerk (5305), U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. All written comments received by EPA regarding the delay of the compliance date will be placed in public docket number F-95-AGDP-FFFFF. Please place the docket number F-95-AGDP-FFFFF on the comments submitted to the Agency on this issue. Written comments received by EPA regarding the use of alternative ground-water monitoring methods will be placed in public docket number F-95-AGAP-FFFFF. Please place the docket number F-95-AGAP-FFFFF on the comments submitted to the Agency on this issue.

Background information collected in support of today's proposed rule may be found in public docket number F-95-AGAP-FFFFF. All dockets are available for viewing in the RCRA Information Center (RIC), located in Room M2616, U.S. EPA, 401 M Street SW.,