Since Silarsa did export silicon metal to the United States during the POR in question, but failed to provide the Department with the information needed to conduct the administrative review, we consider the firm to be uncooperative, and we have used as BIA 24.62 percent, the highest rate ever determined in this proceeding. This rate is Silarsa's BIA rate from the first administrative review of this antidumping duty order.

### **Preliminary Results of Review**

We preliminarily determine the margin for this administrative review to be:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin
Silarsa, S.A	24.62

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days and interested parties may request a hearing not later than 10 days after publication of this notice. Interested parties may submit written arguments in case briefs on these preliminary results within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed no later than 7 days after the time limit for filing case briefs. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 7 days after the scheduled date for submission of rebuttal briefs. Copies of case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.38(e). Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in any event not later than the date the case briefs are due, under 19 CFR § 353.38(c). The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review. including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing.

Upon completion of the final results of this review, the Department will determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisement instructions on each exporter directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act:

(1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies, in the event the order is not revoked in part, will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{LTFV}}\xspace^{\ensuremath{\mathsf{investigation}}}$  , but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall be 8.65 percent, the "all others" rate from the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review. This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR § 353.22(c)(5) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: July 26, 1995.

#### Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–19693 Filed 8–8–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

# **International Trade Administration**

## Revocation of Countervailing Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of revocation of countervailing duty orders.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 753(b)(4) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the International Trade Commission (the Commission) has issued a negative injury determination with respect to each of the countervailing duty orders listed in the Appendix to this notice. Therefore, pursuant to section 753(b)(3)(B) of the

Act, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its revocation of these countervailing duty orders.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 9, 1995.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Stephen Lebowitz or Cameron Cardozo,
Office of Countervailing Compliance,
Import Administration, International
Trade Administration, U.S. Department
of Commerce, 14th Street and
Constitution Avenue NW., Washington,
DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On May 26, 1995, the Department published a notice in the **Federal Register** which informed domestic interested parties of their right under section 753(a) of the Act to request an injury investigation from the Commission with respect to certain outstanding countervailing duty orders issued pursuant to former section 303 of the Act. Countervailing Duty Order; Opportunity To Request a Section 753 Injury Investigation, 60 FR 27693 (May 26, 1995), amended 60 FR 32942 (June 26, 1995). In conjunction with this notice, the Department sent letters to domestic interested parties notifying them of their right to request an injury investigation covering the subject orders pursuant to section 753(a) of the Act. The notice and letter advised parties that failure to submit a timely request for an injury investigation would result in the revocation of the subject order(s).

The Commission has notified the Department that it did not receive a timely request under section 753(a) covering any of the countervailing duty orders listed in the Appendix and, therefore, a negative injury determination has been made with respect to these orders pursuant to section 753(b)(4) of the Act. 19 U.S.C. 1675b(b)(4). As a result, the Department hereby revokes these countervailing duty orders pursuant to section 753(b)(3)(B) of the Act and will refund, with interest, any estimated countervailing duties collected since January 1, 1995, the period during which liquidation was suspended pursuant to section 753(a)(4) of the Act.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>At the time the order on Ferrosilicon from Venezuela was issued, part of the merchandise (non-dutiable) covered by the order was subject to the requirement of an affirmative determination of material injury under section 303 of the Act. *See* "Notice of Opportunity to Request a Section 753 Injury Investigation," 60 FR 27963, at 27964 column 3, footnote 1 (May 26, 1995). The Department, therefore, partially revokes the order on Ferrosilicon from Venezuela with respect to subject merchandise entered on or after January 1, 1995 under the following HTS numbers: