(ii) Use the following equation to calculate the coefficient of correlation, r, between the emissions data from the

alternative monitoring system and the continuous emission monitoring system using all hourly data for which paired values were available from both monitoring systems.

$$r = \frac{\sum e_p e_v - \left(\sum e_p\right) \left(\sum e_v\right) \ n}{\left(\left[\sum e_p^2 - \left(\sum e_p\right)^2 \ n\right] \left[\sum e_p^2 - \left(\sum e_v\right)^2 \ n\right]\right)^{(1\ 2)}}$$

(Eq. 27)

\* \* \* \* \*

5. Section 75.47 is revised to read as follows:

## § 75.47 Criteria for a class of affected units.

- (a) The owner or operator of an affected unit may represent a class of affected units for the purpose of applying to the Administrator for a class-approved alternative monitoring system.
- (b) The owner or operator of an affected unit representing a class of affected units shall provide the following information:
- (1) A description of the affected unit and how it appropriately represents the class of affected units;
- (2) A description of the class of affected units, including data describing all the affected units which will comprise the class; and
- (3) A demonstration that the magnitude of emissions of all units which will comprise the class of affected units are *de minimis*.
- (c) If the Administrator determines that the emissions from all affected units which will comprise the class of units are *de minimis*, then the Administrator shall publish notice in the **Federal Register**, providing a 30-day period for public comment, prior to granting a class-approved alternative monitoring system.
- 6. Section 75.48 is revised to read as follows:

## § 75.48 Petition for an alternative monitoring system.

- (a) The designated representative shall submit the following information in the application for certification or recertification of an alternative monitoring system.
  - (1) Source identification information.
- (2) A description of the alternative monitoring system.
- (3) Data, calculations, and results of the statistical tests, specified in § 75.41(c) of this part, including:
  - (i) Date and hour.
- (ii) Hourly test data for the alternative monitoring system at each required operating level and fuel type.

(iii) Hourly test data for the continuous emissions monitoring system at each required operating level and fuel type.

(iv) Arithmetic mean of the alternative monitoring system measurement values, as specified in Equation 24 in § 75.41(c) of this part, of the continuous emission monitoring system values, as specified on Equation 25 in § 75.41(c) of this part, and of their differences.

- (v) Standard deviation of the difference, as specified in Equation A–8 in appendix A of this part.
- (vi) Confidence coefficient, as specified in Equation A–9 in appendix A of this part.

(vii) The bias test results as specified in § 7.6.4 in appendix A of this part.

- (viii) Variance of the measured values for the alternative monitoring system and of the measured values for the continuous emissions monitoring system, as specified in Equation 22 in § 75.41(c) of this part.
- (ix) F-statistic, as specified in Equation 23 in § 75.41(c) of this part.
- (x) Critical value of F at the 95percent confidence level with n–1 degrees of freedom.
- (xi) Coefficient of correlation, r, as specified in Equation 26 in § 75.41(c) of this part.
- (4) Data plots, specified in §§ 75.41(a)(9) and 75.41(c)(2)(i) of this part.
- (5) Results of monitor reliability analysis.
- (6) Results of monitor accessibility analysis.
- (7) Results of monitor timeliness analysis.
- (8) A detailed description of the process used to collect data, including location and method of ensuring an accurate assessment of operating hourly conditions on a real-time basis.
- (9) A detailed description of the operation, maintenance, and quality assurance procedures for the alternative monitoring system as required in appendix B of this part.
- (10) A description of methods used to calculate heat input or diluent gas concentration, if applicable.
- (11) Results of tests and measurements (including the results of

all reference method field test sheets, charts, laboratory analyses, example calculations, or other data as appropriate) necessary to substantiate that the alternative monitoring system is equivalent in performance to an appropriate, certified operating continuous emission monitoring system.

[FR Doc. 95–19527 Filed 8–7–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## 40 CFR Part 81

[MI39-01-6921a; FRL-5272-9]

Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Correction of Designation of Nonclassified Ozone Nonattainment Areas; State of Michigan

**AGENCY:** United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). **ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action announces the USEPA decision to correct erroneous ozone designations made in 1980 for the Allegan County (Allegan County), Barry County (Barry County), Battle Creek (Calhoun County), Benton Harbor (Berrien County), Branch County (Branch County), Cass County (Cass County), Gratiot County (Gratiot County), Hillsdale County (Hillsdale County), Huron County (Huron County), Ionia County (Ionia County), Jackson (Jackson County), Kalamazoo (Kalamazoo County), Lapeer County (Lapeer County), Lenawee County (Lenawee County), Montcalm (Montcalm County), Sanilac County (Sanilac County), Shiawassee County (Shiawassee County), St. Joseph County (St. Joseph County), Tuscola County (Tuscola County), and Van Buren County (Van Buren County) nonattainment nonclassified/incomplete data areas and the Lansing-East Lansing (Clinton County, Eaton County, and Ingham County) nonattainment nonclassified/transitional area. Pursuant to section 110(k)(6) of the Act, which allows the USEPA to correct its actions, the USEPA is publishing the designation correction of these areas to attainment/unclassifiable for ozone. The