Kitchens, Inc. (Lebanon Co., kitchen cabinet surface coating) containing provisions limiting this source as a synthetic minor source (below RACT threshold level of 50 TPY potential VOC emissions) is being approved.

(B) Plan approvals (PA), Operating permits (OP):

(1) ESSROC Materials, Inc.—PA 48–0004A, effective December 20, 1994, except conditions (7)(a), (7)(b), (7)(d), (8)(a), (8)(b), (8)(d), (10), (16) through (19) pertaining to particulate matter or SO₂ requirements and condition (25)(d) and (e) pertaining to compliance date extensions, and the expiration date of the plan approval.

(2) Pennsylvania Power & Light—Brunner Island SES—PA 67–2005, effective December 22, 1994, except condition 2.d. and e. pertaining to compliance date extensions, and the expiration date of the plan approval.

(3) PPG Industries, Inc.—OP 21–2002, effective December 22, 1994, except the expiration date of the operating permit.

(4) Stroehmann Bakeries, Inc.—PA 22–2003, effective December 22, 1994, except condition 9.d. and e. pertaining to compliance date extensions and the expiration date of the plan approval.

(5) GE Transportation Systems— Erie—OP 25–025, effective December 21, 1994, except for condition 9 pertaining to pollutants other than VOC and NO_X .

(6) J.E. Baker/DBCA Refractory Facility—OP 67–2001, effective December 22, 1994, except the expiration date of the operating permit.

(7) Lafarge Corp.—PA 39–0011A, effective December 23, 1994, except for condition (4)(d) and (e) pertaining to compliance date extensions, condition (8) pertaining to sulfur in fuel requirements, those in condition (9) not pertaining to VOC or NO_X , and the expiration date of the plan approval, and OP 39–0011, effective December 23, 1994, except conditions (8), (9), and (13) through (15), pertaining to sulfur in fuel requirements, and the expiration date of the operating permit.

(8) West Penn Power Company—Armstrong Power Station—PA 03–000–023, effective December 29, 1994, except for the expiration date of the plan approval and condition 5. pertaining to VOC and condition 9. pertaining to a facility-wide NO_X cap, PA 03–306–004 (for unit 2), effective March 28, 1994, except for condition 12. (d) and (e), pertaining to compliance date extensions, and the expiration date of the plan approval, and PA 03–306–006 (for unit 1), effective November 22, 1994, except for condition 13. (d) and (e), pertaining to compliance date

extensions, and the expiration date of the plan approval.

(9) Plain n' Fancy Kitchens, Inc.—PA 38–318–019C, effective December 23, 1994, except for condition 2.d. and e., pertaining to compliance date extensions, and the expiration date of the plan approval.

[FR Doc. 95–19505 Filed 8–7–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

40 CFR Part 75

[FRL-5274-5]

Acid Rain Program: Continuous Emission Monitoring

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of removal of provisions of direct final rule and extended public comment period.

SUMMARY: On May 17, 1995, EPA published direct final amendments to the Continuous Emission Monitoring (CEM) rule in the Acid Rain Program for the purpose of making implementation of the program simpler, streamlined and more efficient. The amendments to the original January 11, 1993 rule became final and effective on July 17, 1995. During the public comment period on the direct final rule and its companion proposed rule, EPA received significant, adverse comments on those amended provisions that related to alternative monitoring systems and opacity monitoring for a bypass stack. EPA is removing those amended provisions in the direct final rule and republishing the corresponding provisions from the original January 11, 1993 rule. EPA will address the removed, amended provisions in a future final rule. EPA is also extending the public comment period on the removed, amended provisions for 15 days to allow the public to respond to the significant, adverse comments. All other provisions of the direct final rule remain final.

DATES: *Comment date:* Comments in response to the significant, adverse comments on the direct final rule must be received on or before August 23, 1995.

Effective date: The effective date of the republished provisions from the original January 11, 1993 rule is September 7, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Any written comments in response to the significant, adverse comments on the direct final rule must be identified as being in response to such comments in Docket No. A–94–16 and must be submitted in duplicate to:

EPA Air Docket (6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460. The docket is available for public inspection and copying between 8:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the above address. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Margaret Sheppard, Acid Rain Division (6204J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 233–9180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA received significant, adverse comments on certain provisions of the direct final rule amending part 75 from Pavilion Technologies, Inc. The comments are found in Docket No. A-94-16, item V-D-03. Pavilion Technologies, Inc. made significant, adverse comments on the following amended provisions: 75.20(f); 75.41(a)(1), (b)(1)(i), (b)(2)(iv)(A) and (C), (c)(1)(i) and (ii), and (c)(2)(ii); 75.47; and 75.48(a) introductory text, (a)(1), (b), and (c). Therefore, those amended provisions in the direct final rule are being removed and the corresponding provisions in the original January 11, 1993 rule will be effective until EPA addresses the comments in a future final

The Agency notes that, although the commenter requested withdrawal of all direct final amendments pertaining to alternative monitoring systems, the commenter also indicated that it supported the amendment of § 72.20(f)(2) providing for provisional certification of an alternative monitoring system after the system has been approved by the Administrator. However, the commenter objected to the public notice and comment procedure that the direct final rule requires prior to such approval. The direct final rule allows for provisional certification because the alternative monitoring system has already undergone public notice and comment and EPA review. See Docket No. A-94-16, item II-F-2. Consequently, EPA is removing all of the interrelated direct final provisions.

EPA also received one significant, adverse comment on the direct final rule provision, § 75.18(b)(3), from Monitor Labs, Inc. The comment is found in Docket No. A–94–16, item V–D–18 (comment 4). Monitor Labs, Inc. objected to the provision allowing the use of a noncontinuous monitoring method (i.e., Method 9 of appendix A of part 60), in lieu of a continuous opacity monitoring system, for bypass stacks. EPA is therefore removing the direct final provision at § 75.18(b)(3). The