

Comment date: August 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Allegheny Power Service Corporation, on behalf of Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company, West Penn Power Company (the APS Companies)

[Docket No. ER95-1377-000]

Take notice that on July 14, 1995, Allegheny Power Service Corporation on behalf of Monongahela Power Company, The Potomac Edison Company and West Penn Power Company (the APS Companies), filed Standard Transmission Service Agreements to add the following new customers to the APS Companies' Standard Transmission Service Rate Schedule which has been accepted for filing by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission: Baltimore and Gas Electric Company, CNG Power Services Corporation, Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc., Heartland Energy Services, Old Dominion Electric Cooperative, Stand Energy Corporation, and Utility-2000 Energy Corporation. The proposed effective date under the proposed rate schedule is July 12, 1995.

Copies of the filing have been provided to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Maryland Public Service Commission, the Virginia State Corporation Commission, the West Virginia Public Service Commission.

Comment date: August 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Montaup Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1378-000]

Take notice that on July 14, 1995, Montaup Electric Company tendered for filing a proposed supplement to Rate M-14, FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1. The change is a provision which will allow for Service for Resale to Interruptible Customers and will apply to customers who receive all-requirements service under the tariff. The filing is requested to become effective in 60 days.

Comment date: August 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Consumers Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1379-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1995, Consumers Power Company (Consumers), tendered for filing Amendment No. 1 to the Facilities Agreement Between Consumers and the City of Holland (designated Supplement

No. 4 to Consumers Power Company Electric Rate Schedule FERC No. 50).

The Amendment provides for the replacement of and addition of certain facilities at the City of Holland's expense with no change in rates. The new facilities are to be owned by the City of Holland.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Holland Board of Public Works and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Comment date: August 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Madison Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1380-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1995, Madison Gas and Electric Company (MGE), tendered for filing a service agreement with Utility-2000 Energy Corp. under MGE's Power Sales Tariff. MGE requests an effective date 60 days from the filing date.

Comment date: August 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Virginia Electric and Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1383-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1995, Virginia Electric and Power Company (Virginia Power), tendered for filing an executed service agreement between PECO Energy Company and Virginia Power. Under the service agreement Virginia Power agreed to provide services to PECO under Virginia Power's Power Sales Tariff, which was accepted for filing on June 28, 1994 in Docket No. ER94-1022-000. Virginia Power requests waiver of the Commission's sixty-day notice requirement to permit an effective date of January 16, 1995. If this waiver request is denied, Virginia Power requests that the filing be made effective June 17, 1995.

Comment date: August 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Boston Edison Company

[Docket No. ER95-1385-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1995, Boston Edison Company (Edison), tendered for filing an executed service agreement between Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company (MMWEC) and Edison for the provision of firm transmission service for hydro-power produced by the Niagara and St. Lawrence Projects in the State of New York and purchased by the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities, which has designated MMWEC as its agent in this matter, under

Edison's firm transmission tariff, Original Volume No. 4. Since MMWEC will require the firm transmission service of July 1, 1995, Edison requests that date to be the effective date of the rate schedule change.

Copies of this filing have been served upon MMWEC and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Comment date: August 10, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-19124 Filed 8-2-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 11316-001 Alaska]

Iliamna-Newhalen-Nondalton Electric Cooperative; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

July 28, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for exemption for the Tazimina River Hydropower Project, located near the town of Iliamna, Alaska, and has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project. In the EA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential future environmental impacts of the project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protection and enhancement measures, would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment.