Colombia, 56 FR 50554 (October 7, 1991).

In calculating United States price (USP), we used purchase price (PP) when sales were made to unrelated purchasers in the United States prior to the date of importation, or exporter's sales price (ESP) when sales were made to unrelated purchasers in the United States after the date of importation, both pursuant to section 772 of the Tariff Act.

We calculated purchase price to the first unrelated purchaser in the United States. The terms of PP sales were either f.o.b. Quito or c.i.f. Miami. We made deductions, where appropriate, for foreign inland freight, air freight, brokerage and handling, U.S. Customs duties, and return credits.

ESP, for sales made on consignment or through a related affiliate, was calculated based on the packed price to the first unrelated customer in the United States. We made adjustments, where appropriate, for foreign inland freight, brokerage and handling, air freight, box charges, credit expenses, returned merchandise credits, royalties, U.S. Customs duties, and either commissions paid to unrelated U.S. consignees or indirect selling expenses of related consignees.

Foreign Market Value

In calculating foreign market value, the Department used home market prices since there were sufficient sales of such or similar merchandise in the home market. *See* section 773(a)(1) of the Tariff Act.

Home market prices were based on the packed, ex-factory or delivered prices to unrelated purchasers in the home market pursuant to section 773(a)(1) of the Tariff Act. Where applicable, we made adjustments for post-sale movement expenses and differences in packing in accordance with section 773(a)(1) of the Tariff Act. We also made adjustments for differences in circumstances of sale in accordance with 19 CFR 353.56, as follows. For comparisons to PP sales, we deducted home market direct selling expenses and added U.S. direct selling expenses. For comparisons to ESP sales, we deducted home market direct selling expenses. We also made adjustments, where applicable, for home market indirect selling expenses to offset U.S. commissions in PP and ESP calculations and to offset U.S. indirect selling expenses deducted in ESP calculations, but not exceeding the amount of the indirect U.S. expenses in accordance with 19 CFR 353.56(b).

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of our review, we preliminarily determine that the following margins exist for the period March 1, 1993 through February 28, 1994:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Flores la Antonia	28.44
Flores del Quinche S.A	1.25
Florisol Cia Ltda	0.06
Flores de Ibarra	28.44
Flores de Puewmbo	28.44
Flores del Ecuador	28.44
Flores Pichincha	28.44
Florestrade	28.44
Guaisa S.A	(1)
Inlandes S.A	(1)
Mundiflor	28.44
Velvet Flores Cia S.A	(1)

¹No shipments during the period of review; since there was no prior review of this company, the "all other" rate from the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation is applicable.

Interested parties may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice and may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues in those comments. may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish the final results of the administrative review including the results of its analysis of any such comments or hearing.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be those rates established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall

be 5.89 percent, the "all others" rate from the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.22(c)(5)).

Date: July 26, 1995.

Susan Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–19015 Filed 8–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-570-502]

Iron Construction Castings from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by the Municipal Castings Fair Trade Counsel and its individually-named members. Alhambra Foundry, Inc., Allegheny Foundry Co., Bingham & Taylor Division, Virginia Industries, Inc., Charlotte Pipe & Foundry Co., East Jordan Iron Works, Inc., Inland Foundry Company, Inc., LeBaron Foundry Inc., Municipal Castings, Inc., Neenah Foundry Co., Opelika Foundry Co., Tyler Pipe Industries Inc., U.Š. Foundry & Manufacturing Co., and Vulcan Foundry, Inc., the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on iron construction castings from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The review covers one producer/exporter, the Liaoning Branch of the China National Machinery Import and Export Corporation (MACHIMPEX, Liaoning)