**ADDRESSES:** Please send correspondence concerning this proposed rule to the Office of Scientific Authority; Mail Stop: 725 Arlington Square; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 1849 C St. NW, Washington, DC 20240 (FAX number 703–358–2276). Express and messengerdelivered mail should be addressed to the Office of Scientific Authority; Room 750, 4401 North Fairfax Drive; Arlington, Virginia, 22203. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the Arlington Square Building, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scientific Authority Finding—Dr. Marshall A. Howe, Office of Scientific Authority; phone 703–358–1708; FAX 703–358–2276.

Management Authority Findings/State Export Programs—Ms. Carol Carson, Office of Management Authority; Mail Stop: Arlington Square, Room 420c; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Washington, DC 20240 (phone 703– 358–2095; FAX 703–358–2280).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 5, 1984 (49 FR 590), the Service published a rule granting export approval for river otters and certain other CITES-listed species of furbearing mammals from specified States and Indian Nations and Tribes for the 1983-84 and subsequent harvest seasons. In succeeding years, approval for export of one or more species of furbearers has been granted to other States and Indian Nations, Tribes, or Reservations through the rulemaking process. These approvals were and continue to be subject to certain population monitoring and export requirements. The purpose of this notice is to announce proposed findings by the Scientific and Management Authorities of the United States on the proposed export of river otters, Lontra (=Lutra) canadensis, harvested in the State of Tennessee, and to add Tennessee to the list of States and Indian Nations for which the export of river otters is approved. The Service proposes these findings for the export of specimens harvested in the State of Tennessee during the 1995–96 season and subsequent seasons, subject to the conditions applying to other approved

CITES regulates import, export, reexport, and introduction from the sea of certain animal and plant species. Species for which the trade is controlled are included in three appendices. Appendix I includes species threatened with extinction that are or may be affected by trade. Appendix II includes species that, although not necessarily now threatened with extinction, may become so unless trade in them is strictly controlled. It also lists species that must be subject to regulation in order that trade in other currently or potentially threatened species may be brought under effective control (e.g., because of difficulty in distinguishing specimens of currently or potentially threatened species from those of other species). Appendix III includes species that any Party identifies as being subject to regulation within its jurisdiction for purposes of preventing or restricting exploitation, and for which it needs the cooperation of other Parties to control trade.

In the January 5, 1984, Federal **Register** (49 FR 590), the Service announced the results of a review of listed species at the Fourth Conference of the CITES Parties that certain species of furbearing mammals, including the river otter, should be regarded as listed in Appendix II of CITES because of similarity in appearance to other listed species or geographically separate populations. The January 5, 1984, document described how the Service, as Scientific Authority, planned to monitor annually the population and trade status of each of these species and to institute restrictive export controls if prevailing export levels appeared to be contributing to a trend of long-term population decline. The document also described how the Service, as Management Authority, would require States to assure that specimens entering trade are marked with approved, serially unique tags as evidence that they had been legally acquired.

## **Scientific Authority Findings**

Article IV of CITES requires that, before a permit to export a specimen of a species included in Appendix II can be granted by the Management Authority of an exporting country, the Scientific Authority must advise "that such export will not be detrimental to the survival of that species." The Scientific Authority for the United States must develop such advice, known as a no-detriment finding, for the export of Appendix II animals in accordance with Section 8A(c)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (the Act). The Act states that the Secretary of the Interior is required to base export determinations and advice "upon the best available biological information derived from professionally accepted wildlife management practices; but is not required to make, or require any State to make, estimates of population size in making such determinations or giving such advice.'

The river otter is managed by the wildlife agencies of individual States or Indian Nations. Those States and Indian Nations from which the Service has approved the export of river otters in 1983-84 and subsequent seasons were identified in the January 5, 1984, Federal Register (49 FR 590) and listed in 50 CFR 23.53. Each export-approved State or Indian Nation in which this animal is harvested has a program to regulate the harvest. Based on information received from the State of Tennessee, the Service proposes adding that State to the list of States and Indian Nations approved for export of river

Given that the river otter is listed on Appendix II of CITES primarily because of similarity of appearance to other listed species in need of rigorous trade controls, an important component of the no-detriment finding by the Scientific Authority is consideration of the impact of river otter trade on the status of these other species. The Scientific Authority has determined that the dual practice of (1) issuing export permits naming the species being traded and (2) marking pelts with tags bearing the name of the species, country and State of origin, year of harvest, and a unique serial number, is sufficient to eliminate potential problems of confusion with, and therefore risk to, other listed species (see Management Authority Findings for tag specifications).

In addition to considering the effect of trade on species or populations other than those being exported from the United States, the Service will regularly examine information provided by the State of Tennessee to determine if there is a population decline in river otters that might warrant more restrictive export controls. This monitoring and assessment will follow the same procedures adopted for other States and Indian Nations. As part of this monitoring program, the States and Indian Nations that have been approved for export of river otters are requested annually to certify that the best available biological information derived from professionally accepted wildlife management practices indicates that harvest of river otters during the forthcoming season will not be detrimental to the survival of the species.

Whenever available information from the States or other sources indicates a possible problem in a particular State, the Scientific Authority will conduct a comprehensive review of accumulated information to determine whether conclusions about the treatment of these species as listed for similarity of