Advisory Committee on Preservation. In accordance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–135, OMB has approved the inclusion of the Advisory Committee on Preservation in NARA's ceiling of discretionary advisory committees. The Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration, has also concurred with the renewal of the Advisory Committee on Preservation in correspondence dated June 29, 1995.

The Archivist of the United States has determined that the renewal of the Advisory Committee is in the public interest due to the expertise and valuable advice the Committee members provide on technical preservation issues affecting Federal records of all types of media. NARA uses the Committee's recommendations in NARA's implementation of strategies for preserving the permanently valuable records of the Federal Government.

Dated: July 14, 1995.

John W. Carlin,

Archivist of the United States. [FR Doc. 95–18304 Filed 7–25–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7515–01–M

NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Endowment for the Arts; National Council on the Arts 125th Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the National Council on the Arts will be held on August 4, 1995 from 8:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. in Room M–09 at the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20506.

This meeting will be open to the public. Topics for discussion will include a Legislative Update, updates from the deputy chairmen and the chairman of the President's Committee on the Arts and the Humanities, a discussion of the FY 97 Budget, a discussion on Blind Judging, reports from the Council Millenium and Council Design Committees, and guidelines and/or program reviews for the Music, Arts in Education, and Theater Programs.

If, in the course of application discussion review, it becomes necessary for the Council to discuss non-public commercial or financial information of intrinsic value, the Council will go into closed session pursuant to subsection (c)(4) of the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552b. Additionally, discussion concerning purely personal information about individuals, submitted with grant applications, such as personal biographical and salary data or medical information, may be conducted by the Council in closed session in accordance with subsection (c)(6) of 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Any interested persons may attend, as observers, Council discussions and reviews which are open to the public. If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of Special Constituencies, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, 202/682/5532, TTY-TDD 202/682–5496, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from the Office of Communications, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, at 202/682/5570.

Dated: July 21, 1995.

Yvonne M. Sabine,

Director, Council and Panel Operations. [FR Doc. 95–18344 Filed 7–25–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7537–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Proposed Generic Communication Generic Letter 89–10, Supplement 7, Valve Mispositioning in Pressurized-Water Reactors

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission. ACTION: Notice of opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is proposing to issue Generic Letter 89-10, Supplement 7 to notify addressees that the NRC is removing the recommendation that MOV mispositioning be considered by pressurized-water reactor licensees in responding to GL 89–10, as was done for boiling-water reactor licensees in Supplement 4. The NRC is seeking comment from interested parties regarding both the technical and regulatory aspects of the proposed generic letter supplement presented under the Supplementary Information heading. This proposed generic letter supplement and supporting documentation were discussed in meeting number 276 of the Committee to Review Generic Requirements (CRGR) on July 11, 1995. The relevant information that was sent to the CRGR to support their review of the proposed generic letter is available in the NRC

Public Document Room under accession number 9507170370. The NRC will consider comments received from interested parties in the final evaluation of the proposed generic letter supplement. The NRC's final evaluation will include a review of the technical position and, when appropriate, an analysis of the value/impact on licensees. Should this generic letter supplement be issued by the NRC, it will become available for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room.

DATES: Comment period expires August 25, 1995. Comments submitted after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given except for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Written comments may also be delivered to 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 am to 4:15 pm, Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW. (Lower Level), Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David C. Fischer, (301) 415–2728.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

NRC Generic Letter 89–10, Supplement 7: Consideration of Valve Mispositioning in Pressurized-Water Reactors

Addressees

All holders of operating licenses (except those licenses that have been amended to a possession only status) or construction permits for nuclear power reactors.

Purpose

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing this generic letter to notify addressees about a revised NRC position regarding consideration of valve mispositioning within the scope of Generic Letter (GL) 89–10 for pressurized-water reactors (PWRs). Although this generic letter forwards a new staff position, no specific action or written response is required.

Background

In GL 89–10 (June 28, 1989), "Safety-Related Motor-Operated Valve Testing and Surveillance," the staff recommended, among other things, that any motor-operated valve (MOV) in a safety-related system that is not blocked